

**American College of Radiology
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Hydrocephalus-Child**

Variant: 1 Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

| Procedure | Appropriateness Category | Peds Relative Radiation Level |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| US head | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without IV contrast | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without and with IV contrast | May Be Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head without IV contrast | May Be Appropriate | ☼☼☼ |
| MRI head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRV head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRV head without IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ☼☼☼ |
| CT head without and with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ☼☼☼☼ |

Variant: 2 Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

| Procedure | Appropriateness Category | Peds Relative Radiation Level |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| MRI head without IV contrast | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| Radiography head neck chest abdomen | May Be Appropriate | ☼☼ |
| US head | May Be Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head without IV contrast | May Be Appropriate | ☼☼☼ |
| MRI head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without and with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRV head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRV head without IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ☼☼☼ |
| CT head without and with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ☼☼☼☼ |

Variant: 3 Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

| Procedure | Appropriateness Category | Peds Relative Radiation Level |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| US head | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without IV contrast | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head without IV contrast | May Be Appropriate | ☼☼☼ |
| Radiography head neck chest abdomen | Usually Not Appropriate | ☼☼ |
| MRI head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without and with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRV head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| MRV head without IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ☠☠☠ |
| CT head without and with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ☠☠☠☠ |

Variant: 4 Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

| Procedure | Appropriateness Category | Peds Relative Radiation Level |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| MRI head without IV contrast | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head without IV contrast | Usually Appropriate | ☠☠☠ |
| MRI head without and with IV contrast | May Be Appropriate | ○ |
| US head | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRV head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRV head without IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ☠☠☠ |
| CT head without and with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ☠☠☠☠ |

Variant: 5 Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

| Procedure | Appropriateness Category | Peds Relative Radiation Level |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| MRI head without IV contrast | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head without IV contrast | May Be Appropriate | ☠☠☠ |
| Radiography head neck chest abdomen | Usually Not Appropriate | ☠☠ |
| US head | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without and with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRV head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRV head without IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ☠☠☠ |
| CT head without and with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ☠☠☠☠ |

Variant: 6 Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

| Procedure | Appropriateness Category | Peds Relative Radiation Level |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Radiography head neck chest abdomen | Usually Appropriate | ☠☠ |
| MRI head without IV contrast | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated | Usually Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head without IV contrast | Usually Appropriate | ☠☠☠ |
| US abdomen | May Be Appropriate | ○ |
| MRI head without and with IV contrast | May Be Appropriate | ○ |
| Nuclear medicine ventriculography | May Be Appropriate | ☠☠☠☠ |
| US head | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| MRI head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRV head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| MRV head without IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ○ |
| CT head with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ |
| CT head without and with IV contrast | Usually Not Appropriate | ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ |

Panel Members

Camilo Jaimes, MD^a, Joanne M. Rispoli, MD^b, Jeremy Y. Jones, MD^c, Gregory W. Albert, MD, MPH^d, Thangamadhan Bosemani, MD^e, Hisham Dahmouh, MBBCh^f, Carolina V. Guimaraes, MD^g, Edwin Gulko, MD^h, Cory M. Pfeifer, MD, MS, MPH, MBAⁱ, Jonathan Pindrik, MD^j, Diana P. Rodriguez, MD^k, Bindu N. Setty, MD^l, Sherwin S. Chan, MD, PhD^m

Summary of Literature Review

Introduction/Background

Hydrocephalus is a disorder of altered cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) physiology and circulation, resulting in abnormal ventricular enlargement associated with increased intracranial pressure [1]. Infants with hydrocephalus typically present with progressive macrocephaly due to the high compliance of the cranial vault, whereas older children more commonly display symptoms of increased intracranial pressure, such as headaches, vomiting, and lethargy [1]. The classic understanding of hydrocephalus as the direct result of obstruction to bulk CSF flow, either in the ventricles or subarachnoid space, is evolving toward models that incorporate various hemodynamic contributions, including arterial pulsation, parenchymal compliance, venous pressure, and cellular water/ion transport mechanisms [2].

The epidemiology of hydrocephalus varies by geography and socioeconomic status. Approximately 400,000 new cases of pediatric hydrocephalus occur annually, with more than 80% arising in low- and middle-income countries [3]. Congenital hydrocephalus frequently results from mutations affecting genes that regulate brain development, particularly those involved in cerebral aqueduct formation, or is associated with open neural tube defects. In high-income countries, posthemorrhagic hydrocephalus associated with preterm birth is a leading cause of hydrocephalus, accounting for nearly 6,000 new cases every year [4]. Hydrocephalus can also be acquired due to other pathological processes that affect CSF pathways in the ventricular system or subarachnoid space, such as infection, hemorrhage from other causes (such as trauma or vascular malformations), or direct mass effect from tumors.

The primary goal of hydrocephalus treatment is to restore CSF homeostasis through surgical CSF diversion. Ventriculoperitoneal shunting is a widely used approach, providing a reliable method for CSF diversion in the short term. Although effective, shunts have high complication rates, with up to a 40% failure rate in the first 2 years due to obstruction, infection, or over-shunting [3, 5], and an independent 5% risk of infection with every procedure [6]. Endoscopic third ventriculostomy (ETV), often performed with choroid plexus cauterization, has gained adoption as an alternative approach, particularly for noncommunicating hydrocephalus [1, 7]. Those who remain symptom free beyond the initial postoperative months tend to have more durable long-term outcomes compared to shunted patients. Additionally, infection rates are lower than with shunts and overall

morbidity is reduced [7].

Special Imaging Considerations

Factors unique to children influence both the choice and appropriateness of imaging. The developmental neuroanatomy of the skull plays a crucial role, as in newborns and infants, the open fontanelles serve as acoustic windows for sonographic evaluation of the brain parenchyma, ventricles, and extra-axial CSF spaces. Second, the heightened sensitivity of younger children to ionizing radiation necessitates careful selection of imaging modalities and optimized protocols for radiation-based techniques. Third, younger children may be unable to follow commands or remain still during image acquisition, which complicates MRI scans and may necessitate sedation.

In certain cases of congenital hydrocephalus, abnormalities may be detectable via obstetric ultrasound (US) and fetal MRI; however, these modalities fall outside the scope of this document.

Initial Imaging Definition

Initial imaging is defined as imaging at the beginning of the care episode for the medical condition defined by the variant. More than one procedure can be considered usually appropriate in the initial imaging evaluation when:

- There are procedures that are equivalent alternatives (ie, only one procedure will be ordered to provide the clinical information to effectively manage the patient's care)

OR

- There are complementary procedures (ie, more than one procedure is ordered as a set or simultaneously wherein each procedure provides unique clinical information to effectively manage the patient's care).

Discussion of Procedures by Variant

Variant 1: Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

Infants presenting with hydrocephalus are a heterogeneous group of patients, including those with known prenatal abnormalities, those with undetected anomalies on prenatal screening US, and a subgroup who experience a perinatal insult, such as hemorrhage or infection, leading to a derangement in CSF dynamics.

Genetic conditions can manifest prenatally or in the early postnatal period. Several mutations with Mendelian inheritance are known to cause congenital hydrocephalus, most of which follow an X-linked pattern. These include *L1CAM*, which accounts for approximately 10% of cases in boys, as well as *OTUD5*, *AP1S2*, and *Xp22.33* duplications. Other patterns of inheritance have also been described, including autosomal recessive mutations in *MPDZ*, *CCDC88C*, and genes associated with dystroglycanopathies [8]. In addition to ventriculomegaly, many of these conditions are associated with aqueductal stenosis.

Hydrocephalus may also be associated with complex malformation patterns, such as open neural

tube defects. Normal brain and CSF pathway development is significantly impaired by the presence of an open defect, which allows CSF leakage and permits amniotic fluid entry into the neural tube. The resulting complex abnormalities include Chiari II malformations, gray matter heterotopia, callosal dysgenesis, and ventriculomegaly. Up to 80% of patients with postnatally repaired myelomeningoceles develop hydrocephalus and require CSF diversion, compared to only 40% in those who underwent prenatal repair [9].

The epidemiology of acquired hydrocephalus in the perinatal period varies significantly by geography and socioeconomic conditions [3]. In high-income countries, most cases are related to posthemorrhagic hydrocephalus in the setting of preterm birth. The risk of hemorrhage with intraventricular extension is particularly high in very-low-birth-weight infants (<1,500 g), affecting approximately 25%. In turn, an estimated 20% to 25% of patients with high-grade intraventricular hemorrhage of prematurity will develop hydrocephalus [4]. Globally, the burden of disease is much higher due to postinfectious hydrocephalus, which is most often acquired during or immediately after birth [3]. The severe inflammation associated with neonatal meningitis damages cilia, causes scarring, and obstructs drainage pathways and cisternal spaces. Approximately 35% of newborns with neonatal meningitis develop postinfectious hydrocephalus and require CSF diversion [10].

Variante 1: Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

A. CT head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of CT of the head with IV contrast in an infant with suspected hydrocephalus. If the clinical findings are suspicious for meningitis or an infectious etiology as the source of the symptomatology, this examination could be considered.

Variante 1: Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

B. CT head without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of CT head without and with IV contrast in the initial evaluation of an infant with suspected hydrocephalus.

Variante 1: Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

C. CT head without IV contrast

CT head without IV contrast may be appropriate in the assessment of an infant with suspected hydrocephalus. It demonstrates both supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber, is sensitive for intra- and extra-axial hemorrhage, and detects mass lesions resulting in ventricular obstruction. Basal cistern caliber and herniation are readily depicted on accompanying multiplanar reformats. When an infant's clinical or neurologic status dictates urgent imaging, multidetector CT acquisition of the head takes only seconds, mitigating motion artifacts and abrogating the need for sedation. Furthermore, in settings where ventricular caliber is the primary concern, for example with a history of repaired myelomeningocele or fetal ventriculomegaly, lower dose CT techniques allow for the targeted assessment of ventricular size and extra-axial CSF space caliber, with only cursory evaluation of the parenchyma [21, 22].

Variante 1: Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

D. MRI head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRI head with IV contrast in the initial evaluation of an infant with suspected hydrocephalus.

Variante 1: Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

E. MRI head without and with IV contrast

If the clinical findings are suspicious for a meningitis or an infectious etiology, MRI head without and with intravenous (IV) contrast may be considered. It readily demonstrates both supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber. The addition of gadolinium-based contrast in the initial evaluation may reveal active leptomeningeal inflammation, as well as relevant complications including ventriculitis, cerebritis, parenchymal abscess, extra-axial empyema, and infarction [20].

Variante 1: Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

F. MRI head without IV contrast

Noncontrast MRI is the usual imaging modality for evaluating infants with suspected hydrocephalus. Its high soft tissue contrast is a critical advantage in this population. MRI demonstrates both supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber, is sensitive for intracranial hematoma, and characterizes tumors resulting in obstruction. High spatial resolution T2-weighted or flow sensitive sequences may characterize the level of CSF bulk flow obstruction, if any, distinguishing obstructive versus communicating hydrocephalus [13, 14]. Detailed anatomy of the third ventricular floor and adjacent adhesions may inform surgical planning and decision making regarding ETV [15, 16]. The primary disadvantage is the longer acquisition time, which can lead to motion artifacts or require sedation for a diagnostic examination. However, many young infants can tolerate the examination without sedation during natural sleep, with feed and swaddle techniques efficacious [17].

Variante 1: Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

G. MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated

Abbreviated MRI (AB-MRI) protocols address one of the limitations of comprehensive multisequence noncontrast MRI examinations, long acquisition time and consequent motion artifact, by using motion-insensitive and fast sequences. These protocols usually employ single-shot T2-weighted sequences, which allow single-slice acquisition in about a second and whole brain coverage is under a minute. No sedation is required. Supra- and infratentorial ventricles as well as extra-axial CSF spaces are well delineated, with parenchymal assessment less robust than on conventional MRI [18, 19]. An AB-MRI protocol for initial assessment of hydrocephalus may be useful when the primary clinical interest is ventricular caliber, for example with a history of repaired myelomeningocele or fetal ventriculomegaly.

Variante 1: Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

H. MRV head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MR venography (MRV) head with IV contrast in the initial evaluation of an infant with suspected hydrocephalus.

Variante 1: Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

I. MRV head without IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRV head without IV contrast in the initial evaluation of an infant with suspected hydrocephalus.

Variant 1:Infant. Suspected hydrocephalus. Abnormal prenatal imaging or signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

J. US head

US is a safe and portable imaging modality that can aid in evaluating infants with suspected hydrocephalus, who have an open anterior fontanelle, particularly those 6 months of age or younger. The use of the fontanelles as acoustic windows allows reliable assessment of the size and configuration of the lateral and third ventricles, as well as the frontal and parietal extra-axial CSF spaces [11]. Assessment of the fourth ventricle and posterior fossa cisterns is more challenging, although these can be visualized via a transmastoid approach. US measurements for evaluating hydrocephalus have been shown to correlate well with MRI findings [12]. US may be useful as an initial assessment of suspected hydrocephalus, when the primary interest is in supratentorial ventricular caliber, for example with history of repaired myelomeningocele, fetal ventriculomegaly, or new concern for hydrocephalus in setting or prior germinal matrix hemorrhage.

Variant 2:Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

Management of hydrocephalus often requires follow-up imaging to assess for stability of ventricle size, shunt complications, or shunt failure. Complications of ventriculoperitoneal shunting can include malfunctions of the catheter or reservoir, infection, or overdrainage. In general, about a third of shunts fail by 1 year and up to 80% by 10 years. [23]. Reported revision rates; however, are as high as 72% for patients with post hemorrhagic hydrocephalus and 67% for those with congenital defects, with a higher shunt revision rate for patients <6 months of age [24].

As an alternative to ventriculoperitoneal shunting placement, ETV with or without choroid plexus cauterization can be performed. Long-terms success rates of ETV are variable but in selected patients approach 60%, with success rates correlating with the ETV success score. In this score, older age, absence of previous shunting, and obstructive etiologies about aqueduct/tectum favorably affect outcome [16]. Imaging may influence patient selection by characterizing etiology and the morphology of aqueduct, third ventricular floor, and adjacent cisterns, as well as in follow-up to assure stability of ventricular size and ventriculostomy patency [25, 26].

Variant 2:Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

A. CT head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of CT head with IV contrast as follow-up imaging in infants with known hydrocephalus.

Variant 2:Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

B. CT head without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of CT head without and with IV contrast as follow-up imaging in infants with known hydrocephalus.

Variant 2:Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

C. CT head without IV contrast

Noncontrast head CT may be a useful modality for longitudinal evaluation of patients with known hydrocephalus and CSF diversion. Lower dose CT techniques allow targeted assessment of supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber, accompanying size of sulci and cisterns, and continuity of shunt if present [21, 22].

Variant 2:Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

D. MRI head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRI head with IV contrast as follow-up imaging in infants with known hydrocephalus.

Variant 2:Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

E. MRI head without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRI head without and with IV contrast as follow-up imaging in infants with known hydrocephalus. This may be considered; however, when clinical suspicion for intracranial infection is high.

Variant 2:Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

F. MRI head without IV contrast

Infants with known hydrocephalus and CSF diversion may be followed using noncontrast MRI of the head, which facilitates assessment of supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber as well as shunt continuity. In those infants with previous third ventriculostomy, high-resolution T2-weighted sequences or flow sequences may assess patency [26, 27]. The favorable safety profile of nonsedated MRI is especially important in this patient population, who often require repeat imaging studies. However, as infants grow, the likelihood of requiring sedation for the acquisition of a comprehensive MRI examination increases, which may necessitate consideration of alternate imaging strategies.

Variant 2:Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

G. MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated

AB-MRI protocols address one of the primary limitations of comprehensive multisequence noncontrast MRI examinations, long acquisition time and consequent motion artifact, by using motion-insensitive and fast sequences. These protocols usually employ single-shot T2-weighted sequences, which allow single-slice acquisition in about a second and whole brain coverage is under a minute. As such, no sedation is required. An AB-MRI protocol for assessment of hydrocephalus in the setting of CSF diversion is usually appropriate for surveillance, as it allows assessment of supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber, as well as accompanying size of extra-axial CSF spaces [18, 19]. Direct shunt visualization may be more challenging with single-shot sequences, with the author's institution adding a fast gradient echo T1 or T2 sequence to assist in this regard.

Variant 2:Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

H. MRV head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRV head with IV contrast as follow-up imaging in infants with known hydrocephalus.

Variant 2:Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

I. MRV head without IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRV head without IV contrast as follow-up imaging in infants with known hydrocephalus.

Variant 2:Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

J. Radiography head neck chest abdomen

Radiography of the neck, chest, and abdomen can be useful in evaluating suspected shunt malfunction, particularly to assess for shunt discontinuity, disconnection, fracture, or migration.

Shunt series radiographs provide a rapid method to evaluate the entire shunt tract from the skull to the peritoneum. In symptomatic patients, radiographs are typically used in conjunction with cranial imaging to help localize potential shunt failure. In asymptomatic patients undergoing routine surveillance, radiography is generally not indicated unless there is clinical concern for hardware complications.

Variante 2: Infant. Known hydrocephalus. Follow-up imaging.

K. US head

Cranial US may be a useful imaging modality for infants with known hydrocephalus who have open fontanelles, particularly those 6 months of age or younger. It may be considered for follow-up in infants who are asymptomatic or require frequent monitoring. The use of the anterior fontanelle as an acoustic window allows reliable assessment of the size and configuration of the lateral and third ventricles, as well as the frontal and parietal extra-axial CSF spaces [11]. Assessment of the fourth ventricle and posterior fossa cisterns is more challenging, although these can be visualized via a transmastoid approach. US measurements for evaluating hydrocephalus have been shown to correlate well with MRI [12].

Variante 3: Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

Macrocephaly is defined as head circumference exceeding two standard deviations above normative data, placing it above the 97th percentile of the population [28]. In asymptomatic and otherwise healthy infants with macrocephaly, imaging is usually normal or reveals benign enlargement of the subarachnoid spaces (BESS) [28, 29].

BESS typically presents clinically with a rapid increase in head circumference around 6 months of postnatal age, without signs of acute neurological illness. Localizing symptoms, such as a downward gaze, are absent. Findings on physical examination are generally mild and variably present, including mild frontal bossing, prominence of the fontanelle, irritability, or hypotonia [30]. The pathophysiology of BESS is not fully understood, but is frequently attributed to immature arachnoid villi, which temporarily impair CSF resorption [31, 32]. Neuroimaging in BESS typically demonstrates prominence of the subarachnoid spaces, particularly in the frontal regions, with only mild to moderate enlargement of the ventricular system [33]. BESS does not typically require imaging follow-up, as the progressive maturation of the arachnoid villi leads to normalization of head growth by approximately 18 months of age. Occasionally, infants with BESS develop small subdural collections, which are usually inconsequential [34].

A large series of asymptomatic infants with imaging for macrocephaly have demonstrated a 1% to 2% incidence of clinically significant findings. This includes instances of hydrocephalus secondary to aqueductal stenosis or intracranial tumor, as well as subdural hemorrhages attributed to nonaccidental trauma [28, 29, 35].

Variante 3: Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

A. CT head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of contrast-enhanced head CT in the initial evaluation of an asymptomatic infant with macrocephaly.

Variante 3: Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

B. CT head without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of CT head without and with IV contrast in the initial evaluation of an asymptomatic infant with macrocephaly.

Variant 3:Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure.

Initial imaging.

C. CT head without IV contrast

Noncontrast head CT can assess ventricular size, evaluate extra-axial CSF space enlargement and/or fluid collections, and identify space occupying lesions including masses. Sedation is usually not necessary, and CT can be used in older infants with a closing fontanelle when US may have a limited acoustic window [28].

Variant 3:Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure.

Initial imaging.

D. MRI head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of MRI head with IV contrast in the initial evaluation of an asymptomatic infant with macrocephaly.

Variant 3:Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure.

Initial imaging.

E. MRI head without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of MRI head without and with IV contrast in the initial evaluation of an asymptomatic infant with macrocephaly.

Variant 3:Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure.

Initial imaging.

F. MRI head without IV contrast

Noncontrast MRI provides high-resolution assessment of the ventricular system, extra-axial CSF spaces, and brain parenchyma. MRI reliably detects the characteristic findings of BESS, one of the more common etiologies of asymptomatic macrocephaly, while simultaneously evaluating for alternative causes. However, it may require sedation in older infants and is time intensive [28].

Variant 3:Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure.

Initial imaging.

G. MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated

AB-MRI protocols, consisting primarily of single-shot T2-weighted sequences, can be used to assess the ventricular system and extraaxial CSF spaces, reliably detecting characteristic findings of BESS. Missios et al [36], found that 97.5% of 102 asymptomatic macrocephaly patients screened with quick (abbreviated) brain MRI required no additional imaging.

Variant 3:Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure.

Initial imaging.

H. MRV head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRV head with IV contrast in the initial evaluation of an asymptomatic infant with macrocephaly.

Variant 3:Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure.

Initial imaging.

I. MRV head without IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRV head without IV contrast in the initial evaluation of an asymptomatic infant with macrocephaly.

Variant 3:Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

J. Radiography head neck chest abdomen

There is no relevant literature to support the use of radiography in the initial evaluation of an asymptomatic infant with macrocephaly.

Variant 3:Infant. Macrocephaly. No signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

K. US head

Cranial US offers a rapid and portable method to assess supratentorial ventricular size and extra-axial CSF spaces. In cases of BESS, it demonstrates abundant anechoic CSF along the convexities of the frontal and parietal lobes. Although most asymptomatic infants with macrocephaly will demonstrate normal imaging or BESS, 8 of 440 (1.8%) such infants screened by US in a series by Thomas et al [29] demonstrated clinically relevant findings including aqueductal stenosis with hydrocephalus, intracranial tumors, and subdural hematomas. In a series by Naffaa et al [35], 4 out of 318 such infants (1.2%), 3 to 6 months of age, screened with US needed neurosurgical intervention, again for hydrocephalus, intracranial tumor, and subdural hematoma associated with nonaccidental trauma. Haws et al [33] found that no additional imaging was required following a US diagnosis of benign macrocrania/BESS in a series of 466 infants without neurologic findings.

Variant 4:Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

In older children whose skulls are less compliant, hydrocephalus more frequently manifests with signs and symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. It is important to recognize that even in this group of patients, the presentation is influenced by the acuity with which the inciting cause develops. Acute hydrocephalus is more frequently obstructive and can present with signs and symptoms including headache, nausea, vomiting, altered mental status, papilledema, and vision changes. If not recognized in a timely manner, acute hydrocephalus may be lethal.

The role of imaging at initial presentation is to confirm the diagnosis of acute hydrocephalus by demonstrating abnormal dilation of the ventricular system, documenting the presence of transependymal edema as a surrogate marker for acuity, and potentially outlining the etiology. This facilitates triage to an appropriate intervention that relieves the obstruction and potentially addresses the underlying cause.

In childhood, brain tumors frequently present with obstructive hydrocephalus. Approximately half of pediatric brain tumors arise in the posterior fossa, where the confined space and intricate anatomy predispose lesions to obstruct the cerebral aqueduct, fourth ventricle, or its outlets. Both high-grade tumors, such as medulloblastoma, ependymoma, and atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor, as well as low-grade tumors, such as pilocytic astrocytoma, can present with equally florid symptoms. Lesions in the supratentorial space can also result in hydrocephalus, including those at the foramen of Monroe (eg, subependymal giant cell astrocytoma, colloid cyst).

Nonneoplastic lesions can also result in acute obstruction leading to symptomatic elevation of intracranial pressure. Rarely, infectious agents such as intraventricular neurocysticercosis can

obstruct CSF drainage either due to associated inflammation or mass effect from cystic lesions. Congenital lesions such as aqueductal stenosis and webs have a more insidious onset and are therefore less likely to present with a florid case of intracranial pressure elevation.

Variant 4:Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

A. CT head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of contrast-enhanced head CT in the initial evaluation of suspected hydrocephalus in children. A contrast-enhanced head CT may be considered if there is clinical suspicion for neoplasm, vascular malformation, or infection.

Variant 4:Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

B. CT head without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of CT head without and with IV contrast in the initial evaluation of suspected hydrocephalus in children.

Variant 4:Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

C. CT head without IV contrast

Noncontrast head CT is a ubiquitous modality for the rapid assessment of suspected hydrocephalus [21]. It demonstrates both supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber, is sensitive for intra- and extra-axial hemorrhage, and detects mass lesions resulting in ventricular obstruction. Basal cistern caliber and herniation are readily depicted on accompanying multiplanar reformats. When a child's clinical or neurologic status dictates urgent imaging, multidetector CT acquisition of the head takes only seconds, mitigating motion artifacts and abrogating the need for sedation.

Variant 4:Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

D. MRI head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRI head with IV contrast in the initial evaluation of suspected hydrocephalus in children.

Variant 4:Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

E. MRI head without and with IV contrast

If clinical findings are suspicious for meningitis or an infectious etiology as a cause of hydrocephalus, initial MRI head without and with IV contrast may be considered. It readily demonstrates both supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber. The addition of gadolinium-based contrast in the initial evaluation may reveal active leptomeningeal inflammation, as well as relevant complications including ventriculitis, cerebritis, parenchymal abscess, extra-axial empyema, and infarction [20]. Contrast-enhanced imaging may also be useful if there is a high clinical suspicion of findings or noncontrast MRI findings suggesting a vascular lesion, tumor, or other abnormality with disruption of the blood brain barrier.

Variant 4:Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

F. MRI head without IV contrast

Noncontrast MRI is the usual imaging modality for evaluating children with suspected

hydrocephalus. Its high soft tissue contrast is a critical advantage in this population. MRI demonstrates both supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber, is sensitive for intracranial hematoma, and characterizes tumors resulting in obstruction. High spatial resolution T2-weighted or flow sensitive sequences may characterize the level of CSF bulk flow obstruction, if any, distinguishing obstructive versus communicating hydrocephalus [13, 14]. Detailed anatomy of the third ventricular floor and adjacent adhesions may inform surgical planning and decision making regarding ETV [15, 16]. The primary disadvantage is the longer acquisition time, which can lead to motion artifacts or require sedation for a diagnostic examination.

Variante 4: Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

G. MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated

AB-MRI protocols address one of the limitations of comprehensive multisequence noncontrast MRI examinations, long acquisition time and consequent motion artifact, by using motion-insensitive and fast sequences. These protocols usually employ single-shot T2-weighted sequences, which allow single-slice acquisition in about a second and whole brain coverage is under a minute. No sedation is required. Supra- and infratentorial ventricles as well as extra-axial CSF spaces are well delineated, with parenchymal assessment less robust than on conventional MRI [18, 19]. As such, in children with signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure, AB-MRI may be useful as the initial assessment of ventricular caliber, but may be limited in characterizing underlying hydrocephalus etiology.

Variante 4: Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

H. MRV head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of contrast-enhanced MRV in the initial evaluation of suspected hydrocephalus in children. MRV may be considered if there is clinical concern for venous sinus thrombosis or anomalies contributing to elevated intracranial pressure.

Variante 4: Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

I. MRV head without IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of noncontrast MRV in the initial evaluation of suspected hydrocephalus in children. MRV may be considered if there is clinical concern for venous sinus thrombosis or anomalies contributing to elevated intracranial pressure.

Variante 4: Child greater than 1 year of age. Suspected hydrocephalus. Signs or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure. Initial imaging.

J. US head

In older children with closed fontanelles, cranial US has no significant role in the initial evaluation of suspected hydrocephalus in children. Its usefulness is limited to infants.

Variante 5: Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

Surveillance of asymptomatic children with CSF diversion, beyond stabilization of the hydrocephalus, aims to identify individuals with late-onset shunt or ETV failure. The radiological surveillance of these children lacks standardization and is highly dependent on the practitioner. Imaging surveillance carries the theoretical potential of identifying occult shunt malfunction or ETV failure before catastrophic consequences ensue [37]. Insufficient CSF drainage may go

unrecognized if signs and symptoms are subtle, if the patient's baseline neurological status is severely compromised, or if personnel involved in care are unfamiliar with its manifestations. Evidence suggests that <4% of shunt revisions occur as a result of a routine visit in an asymptomatic child [38].

In a recent survey of pediatric neurosurgeons, 75% of respondents reported obtaining imaging in asymptomatic patients with a ventricular shunt. Among these, 33% obtained annual imaging, 26% every 2 to 3 years, and 15% every 5 to 10 years. A slightly lower percentage of respondents (67%) performed imaging for asymptomatic patients with an ETV. Of these, 41% conducted imaging annually, 18% every 2 to 3 years, and 12% every 5 to 10 years [37]. Independent of the modality of CSF diversion used, respondents of this survey favor imaging.

Variant 5:Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

A. CT head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of contrast-enhanced head CT in the follow-up imaging of asymptomatic children with known hydrocephalus.

Variant 5:Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

B. CT head without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of CT head without and with IV contrast in the follow-up imaging of asymptomatic children with known hydrocephalus.

Variant 5:Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

C. CT head without IV contrast

Noncontrast head CT may be a useful modality for longitudinal evaluation of patients with known hydrocephalus and CSF diversion. Lower dose CT techniques allow targeted assessment of supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber, accompanying size of sulci and cisterns, and continuity of visualized shunt if present [21, 22].

Variant 5:Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

D. MRI head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of MRI head with IV contrast in the follow-up imaging of asymptomatic children with known hydrocephalus.

Variant 5:Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

E. MRI head without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of MRI head without and with IV contrast in the follow-up imaging of asymptomatic children with known hydrocephalus.

Variant 5:Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

F. MRI head without IV contrast

Noncontrast MRI is a useful modality for following asymptomatic children with known hydrocephalus. It readily demonstrates both supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber. In those

children with previous third ventriculostomy, high-resolution T2-weighted sequences or flow sequences may assess patency [26, 27].

Variant 5:Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

G. MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated

AB-MRI is the usual modality for routine surveillance in asymptomatic children with known or treated hydrocephalus. Abbreviated protocols address one of the primary limitations of comprehensive multisequence noncontrast MRI examinations, long acquisition time and consequent motion artifact, by using motion-robust and fast sequences. These protocols usually employ single-shot T2-weighted sequences, which allow single-slice acquisition in about a second and whole brain coverage is under a minute. As such, no sedation is required. An AB-MRI protocol for assessment of hydrocephalus allows assessment of supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber, as well as accompanying size of extra-axial CSF spaces [14, 18]. Direct shunt visualization may be more challenging with single-shot sequences, with the author's institution adding a fast gradient echo T1 or T2 sequence to assist in this regard.

Variant 5:Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

H. MRV head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of MRV head with IV contrast in the follow-up imaging of asymptomatic children with known hydrocephalus.

Variant 5:Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

I. MRV head without IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of noncontrast MRV head in the follow-up imaging of asymptomatic children with known hydrocephalus.

Variant 5:Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

J. Radiography head neck chest abdomen

Radiography is usually unwarranted in the routine follow-up of asymptomatic children with hydrocephalus. Shunt series radiographs may be considered if there is specific clinical concern for hardware complications, such as fracture, disconnection, or migration. However, in asymptomatic patients without suspicion for shunt malfunction, routine radiographic surveillance is not indicated.

Variant 5:Child greater than 1 year of age. Known hydrocephalus. No signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

K. US head

In older children with closed fontanelles, cranial US has no significant role in the follow-up imaging of asymptomatic children with known hydrocephalus. Its usefulness is limited to infants.

Variant 6:Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

The two most common forms of CSF diversion in pediatric hydrocephalus are ventricular shunt catheters and ETV. The approach to a child with CSF diversion who presents with symptoms concerning for perturbed or worsening CSF dynamics varies depending on the type of diversion in place.

Ventricular shunt catheters most commonly consist of a proximal intracranial catheter, a subcutaneous reservoir, a one-way valve, and a distal catheter. The proximal catheter resides in the CSF, exits through a burr hole, and connects to the distal catheter via the reservoir and valve. The distal catheter typically terminates in the peritoneum, with less common placements in the pleural space or right heart atrium. Early complications of ventricular shunt placement may result from incorrect positioning, segment disconnection, or infection. Late-stage complications can include catheter breakage or migration, obstruction, over-drainage, or infection [39].

Children with shunt failure generally present with signs of elevated intracranial pressure, such as nausea, vomiting, seizures, irritability, headaches, and altered mental status. To identify abnormalities in catheter integrity, such as kinks, disconnections, or fractures, imaging of the entire catheter course is necessary, often using conventional radiography. To assess catheter obstruction, infection, CSF accumulation, or over-drainage, imaging of the brain—and occasionally the abdomen to evaluate the distal tip—may be required. In equivocal cases, catheter patency and flow can be assessed by injecting a radionuclide or iodinated contrast agent into the reservoir and documenting its transit using scintigraphy or fluoroscopy/CT.

ETV has gained popularity as a CSF diversion option in suitable patients. Compared to ventricular shunts, ETV may carry a higher risk of failure within the first 3 months. However, beyond this period, the risk of failure is lower for ETV and continues to decrease over time [7]. Direct evaluation of ETV patency can only be performed using MRI due to its soft tissue resolution and sensitivity to flow. In the absence of MRI, surrogate markers of failure such as enlarging ventricles may be used.

Variant 6:Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

A. CT head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of contrast-enhanced head CT in the evaluation of suspected shunt malfunction.

Variant 6:Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

B. CT head without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of CT head without and with IV contrast in the evaluation of suspected shunt malfunction.

Variant 6:Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

C. CT head without IV contrast

Noncontrast head CT is a widely used and effective imaging modality for evaluating suspected shunt malfunction in symptomatic children [42, 43]. It allows rapid assessment of ventricular size and the position of cranial shunt components. Lower dose CT techniques allow for the targeted assessment of ventricular size and extra-axial CSF space caliber, with only cursory evaluation of the parenchyma [21, 22].

Variant 6:Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

D. MRI head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRI head with IV contrast in the evaluation of suspected shunt malfunction.

Variante 6:Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

E. MRI head without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRI head without and with IV contrast in the evaluation of suspected shunt malfunction. It might be considered if there is a high suspicion of intracranial infection accompanying clinically suspected shunt malfunction.

Variante 6:Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

F. MRI head without IV contrast

Noncontrast head MRI is useful for evaluating children with suspected shunt malfunction. Its high soft tissue contrast is a critical advantage in this population. MRI demonstrates both supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber, cranial shunt catheter components, and allows visualization of transependymal edema. In those children with previous third ventriculostomy, high-resolution T2-weighted sequences or flow sequences may assess patency [26, 27].

Variante 6:Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

G. MRI head without IV contrast abbreviated

In the acutely symptomatic child with shunted hydrocephalus, AB-MRI protocols are useful and frequently considered a first-line strategy. AB-MRI address one of the primary limitations of comprehensive multisequence noncontrast MRI examinations, long acquisition time and consequent motion artifact, by using motion-insensitive and fast sequences. These usually employ single-shot T2-weighted sequences, which allow single-slice acquisition in about a second and whole brain coverage is under a minute. No sedation is required. AB-MRI protocols reliably assess supra- and infratentorial ventricular caliber, as well as accompanying size of extra-axial CSF spaces [18, 19]. Direct shunt catheter visualization may be more challenging with single-shot sequences, with the author's institution adding fast gradient echo T1 or T2 sequences to assist in this regard.

Variante 6:Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

H. MRV head with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of MRV head with IV contrast in the evaluation of suspected shunt malfunction.

Variante 6:Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

I. MRV head without IV contrast

There is no relevant literature to support the use of noncontrast MRV head in the evaluation of suspected shunt malfunction.

Variante 6:Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

J. Nuclear medicine ventriculography

Nuclear medicine shuntography is not routinely used for initial imaging in suspected shunt malfunction. It may be employed secondarily, for example, when clinical concern for shunt

dysfunction persists in the setting of stable ventricular caliber, or when a shunt is radiographically fractured but there are no clinical symptoms (to assess for functioning fibrous tract) [44]. With ventricular reflux of radionuclide and prompt distal drainage and dispersion following injection into shunt reservoir, Thompson et al [45] reported a negative predictive value exceeding 90%.

Variants 1 and 2: Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

K. Radiography head neck chest abdomen

Shunt series, frontal and lateral radiographs of the head, neck, chest, and abdomen, are used to detect mechanical disruption of the shunt catheter, including fracture (usually in the neck), disconnection at the valve, and kinking. Radiographs may also suggest adhesions or pseudocysts at the abdominal level. However, shunt failure in children is more commonly due to intrinsic catheter obstruction, which is occult radiographically. Sensitivity for shunt failure is therefore low, below 20% [40, 41]. As such, radiographs are usually used in conjunction with cranial cross-sectional imaging.

Variants 1 and 2: Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

L. US abdomen

Abdominal US is not usually indicated in the initial imaging of suspected shunt malfunction. However, it may be useful when there is clinical concern for abdominal complications related to the distal shunt catheter, such as pseudocyst formation, ascites, bowel perforation, or infection. US offers a noninvasive method to evaluate for these complications.

Variants 1 and 2: Child. Known hydrocephalus with cerebral spinal fluid diversion. Signs or symptoms of shunt malfunction. Follow-up imaging.

M. US head

In older children with closed fontanelles, cranial US has no significant role in the evaluation of suspected shunt malfunction. Its usefulness is limited to infants with an open anterior fontanelle.

Summary of Highlights

This is a summary of the key recommendations from the variant tables. Refer to the complete narrative document for more information.

- Variants 1 and 2: For infants with suspected hydrocephalus due to abnormal prenatal imaging (fetal ventriculomegaly), for those presenting with signs and symptoms that suggest increased intracranial pressure, and for follow-up imaging, MRI head without IV contrast or alternatively AB-MRI head without IV contrast are recommended. These examinations accurately assess ventricular size, characterize level of obstruction if any, and assess for underlying causes including tumors or hemorrhage. AB-MRI is particularly apropos when the main objective is assessment of current ventricular caliber. Alternatively, US of the head is appropriate, initially or in follow-up, when ventricular caliber is the primary concern and the anterior fontanelle is open. CT head without IV contrast may be considered when an infant's clinical or neurologic status dictates urgent and rapid imaging. If infection is a concern at initial assessment, MRI head without and with IV contrast may assess for accompanying intracranial complications, including abscess or empyema. Radiography of the head, neck, chest, and abdomen (shunt series) may be used in follow-up of symptomatic infants or when

there is clinical concern for shunt disconnection.

- Variant 3: In the evaluation of infants with macrocephaly, but no accompanying neurologic signs or symptoms, US head, MRI head without IV contrast, or AB-MRI are recommended. These usually demonstrate normal findings or reveal benign external enlargement of the subarachnoid spaces. When abnormal, underlying obstructive hydrocephalus or subdural collections associated with nonaccidental trauma may be detected.
- Variants 4, 5, and 6: For children with suspected hydrocephalus due to signs and symptoms that suggest increased intracranial pressure as well as for both asymptomatic or symptomatic follow-up imaging, MRI head without IV contrast or alternatively AB-MRI are recommended to accurately assess ventricular size, characterize level of obstruction if any, and assess for underlying causes. AB-MRI is particularly apropos when the main objective is assessment of current ventricular caliber. CT head without IV contrast is an alternative recommendation at initial presentation or during symptomatic follow-up when clinical or neurologic status dictates urgent and rapid imaging. If infection is a concern at initial assessment, MRI head without and with IV contrast may assess for accompanying intracranial complications, including abscess or empyema. Radiography of the head, neck, chest, and abdomen (shunt series) is recommended in follow-up of symptomatic children but is insensitive in isolation and complements cross-sectional MRI or CT imaging.

Supporting Documents

The evidence table, literature search, and appendix for this topic are available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/list>. The appendix includes the strength of evidence assessment and the final rating round tabulations for each recommendation.

For additional information on the Appropriateness Criteria methodology and other supporting documents, please go to the ACR website at <https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Clinical-Tools-and-Reference/Appropriateness-Criteria>.

Gender Equality and Inclusivity Clause

The ACR acknowledges the limitations in applying inclusive language when citing research studies that predates the use of the current understanding of language inclusive of diversity in sex, intersex, gender, and gender-diverse people. The data variables regarding sex and gender used in the cited literature will not be changed. However, this guideline will use the terminology and definitions as proposed by the National Institutes of Health.

Appropriateness Category Names and Definitions

| Appropriateness Category Name | Appropriateness Rating | Appropriateness Category Definition |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Usually Appropriate | 7, 8, or 9 | The imaging procedure or treatment is indicated in the specified clinical scenarios at a favorable risk-benefit ratio for patients. |
| May Be Appropriate | 4, 5, or 6 | The imaging procedure or treatment may be indicated in the specified clinical scenarios as an alternative to imaging procedures or treatments with a more favorable risk-benefit ratio, or the risk-benefit |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--|
| | | ratio for patients is equivocal. |
| May Be Appropriate (Disagreement) | 5 | The individual ratings are too dispersed from the panel median. The different label provides transparency regarding the panel's recommendation. "May be appropriate" is the rating category and a rating of 5 is assigned. |
| Usually Not Appropriate | 1, 2, or 3 | The imaging procedure or treatment is unlikely to be indicated in the specified clinical scenarios, or the risk-benefit ratio for patients is likely to be unfavorable. |

Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, because of both organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared with those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations

| Relative Radiation Level* | Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range | Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| ○ | 0 mSv | 0 mSv |
| ☸ | <0.1 mSv | <0.03 mSv |
| ☸ ☸ | 0.1-1 mSv | 0.03-0.3 mSv |
| ☸ ☸ ☸ | 1-10 mSv | 0.3-3 mSv |
| ☸ ☸ ☸ ☸ | 10-30 mSv | 3-10 mSv |
| ☸ ☸ ☸ ☸ ☸ | 30-100 mSv | 10-30 mSv |

*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (e.g., region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as "Varies."

References

1. Kahle KT, Kulkarni AV, Limbrick DD Jr, Warf BC. Hydrocephalus in children. [Review]. Lancet. 387(10020):788-99, 2016 Feb 20.
2. Greitz D. Paradigm shift in hydrocephalus research in legacy of Dandy's pioneering work: rationale for third ventriculostomy in communicating hydrocephalus. Childs Nerv Syst. 2007 May;23(5):487-9.
3. Dewan MC, Rattani A, Mekary R, et al. Global hydrocephalus epidemiology and incidence: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neurosurg. 2019 Apr 01;130(4):1065-1079.

4. Christian EA, Jin DL, Attenello F, et al. Trends in hospitalization of preterm infants with intraventricular hemorrhage and hydrocephalus in the United States, 2000-2010. *J Neurosurg Pediatr.* 2016 Mar;17(3):260-9.
5. Kestle JR, Walker ML, A multicenter prospective cohort study of the Strata valve for the management of hydrocephalus in pediatric patients. *J Neurosurg.* 2005 Mar;102(2 Suppl):141-5.
6. Kandasamy J, Dwan K, Hartley JC, et al. Antibiotic-impregnated ventriculoperitoneal shunts--a multi-centre British paediatric neurosurgery group (BPNG) study using historical controls. *Childs Nerv Syst.* 2011 Apr;27(4):575-81.
7. Kulkarni AV, Drake JM, Kestle JR, et al. Endoscopic third ventriculostomy vs cerebrospinal fluid shunt in the treatment of hydrocephalus in children: a propensity score-adjusted analysis. *Neurosurgery.* 2010 Sep;67(3):588-93.
8. Kahle KT, Klinge PM, Koschnitzky JE, et al. Paediatric hydrocephalus. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2024 May 16;10(1):35.
9. Adzick NS, Thom EA, Spong CY, et al. A randomized trial of prenatal versus postnatal repair of myelomeningocele. *N Engl J Med.* 2011 Mar 17;364(11):993-1004.
10. Chen B, Zhai Q, Ooi K, Cao Y, Qiao Z. Risk Factors for Hydrocephalus in Neonatal Purulent Meningitis: A Single-Center Retrospective Analysis. *Journal of Child Neurology.* 36(6):491-497, 2021 05.
11. Subramaniam S, Chen AE, Khwaja A, Rempell R. Identifying infant hydrocephalus in the emergency department with transfontanellar POCUS. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2019 Jan;37(1):S0735-6757(18)30823-4.
12. Radhakrishnan R, Brown BP, Kralik SF, et al. Frontal Occipital and Frontal Temporal Horn Ratios: Comparison and Validation of Head Ultrasound-Derived Indexes With MRI and Ventricular Volumes in Infantile Ventriculomegaly. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2019 Oct;213(4):925-931.
13. Ucar M, Tokgoz N, Damar C, Alimli AG, Oncu F. Diagnostic performance of heavily T2-weighted techniques in obstructive hydrocephalus: comparison study of two different 3D heavily T2-weighted and conventional T2-weighted sequences. *Jpn J Radiol.* 2015 Feb;33(2):94-101.
14. Mohammad SA, Osman NM, Khalil RM. Phase-contrast and three-dimensional driven equilibrium (3D-DRIVE) sequences in the assessment of paediatric obstructive hydrocephalus. *Childs Nerv Syst.* 2018 Nov;34(11):2223-2231.
15. Shi J, Fu W, Wu Q, Zhang H, Zheng Z, Zhu J. Endoscopic third ventriculostomy associated 3D-constructive inference steady state MRI for obstructed hydrocephalus: a retrospective study. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* 2013 Jul;115(7):S0303-8467(12)00477-5.
16. Verhey LH, Kulkarni AV, Reeder RW, et al. A re-evaluation of the Endoscopic Third Ventriculostomy Success Score: a Hydrocephalus Clinical Research Network study. *J Neurosurg Pediatr.* 2024 May 01;33(5):417-427.
17. Harrington SG, Jaimes C, Weagle KM, Greer MC, Gee MS. Strategies to perform magnetic resonance imaging in infants and young children without sedation. *Pediatr Radiol.* 2022 Feb;52(2):374-381.

18. O'Neill BR, Pruthi S, Bains H, et al. Rapid sequence magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of children with hydrocephalus. *World Neurosurg.* 2013 Dec;80(6):S1878-8750(12)01240-5.
19. Patel DM, Tubbs RS, Pate G, Johnston JM, Blount JP. Fast-sequence MRI studies for surveillance imaging in pediatric hydrocephalus. *J Neurosurg Pediatr.* 2014 Apr;13(4):440-7.
20. Kralik SF, Vallejo JG, Kukreja MK, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of MRI for Detection of Meningitis in Infants. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2022 Sep;43(9):1350-1355.
21. Gabriel S, Eckel LJ, DeLone DR, et al. Pilot study of radiation dose reduction for pediatric head CT in evaluation of ventricular size. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2014 Dec;35(12):2237-42.
22. Wallace AN, Vyhmeister R, Bagade S, et al. Evaluation of the use of automatic exposure control and automatic tube potential selection in low-dose cerebrospinal fluid shunt head CT. *Neuroradiology.* 2015 Jun;57(6):639-44.
23. Lee KS, Chari A, Gillespie CS, et al. Endoscopic third ventriculostomy for shunt malfunction in the pediatric population: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression analysis. *J Neurosurg Pediatr.* 2023 May 01;31(5):423-432.
24. Tervonen J, Leinonen V, Jääskeläinen JE, Koponen S, Huttunen TJ. Rate and Risk Factors for Shunt Revision in Pediatric Patients with Hydrocephalus-A Population-Based Study. *World Neurosurg.* 2017 May;101():S1878-8750(17)30192-4.
25. Ucar M, Guryildirim M, Tokgoz N, et al. Evaluation of aqueductal patency in patients with hydrocephalus: three-dimensional high-sampling-efficiency technique (SPACE) versus two-dimensional turbo spin echo at 3 Tesla. *Korean Journal of Radiology.* 15(6):827-35, 2014 Nov-Dec.
26. Algin O, Ucar M, Ozmen E, et al. Assessment of third ventriculostomy patency with the 3D-SPACE technique: a preliminary multicenter research study. *J Neurosurg.* 2015 Jun;122(6):1347-55.
27. Dinçer A, Yildiz E, Kohan S, Memet Özek M. Analysis of endoscopic third ventriculostomy patency by MRI: value of different pulse sequences, the sequence parameters, and the imaging planes for investigation of flow void. *Childs Nerv Syst.* 2011 Jan;27(1):127-35.
28. Sampson MA, Berg AD, Huber JN, Olgun G. Necessity of Intracranial Imaging in Infants and Children With Macrocephaly. *Pediatr Neurol.* 2019 Apr;93():S0887-8994(18)30900-7.
29. Thomas CN, Kolbe AB, Binkovitz LA, McDonald JS, Thomas KB. Asymptomatic macrocephaly: to scan or not to scan. *Pediatr Radiol.* 2021 May;51(5):811-821.
30. Zahl SM, Egge A, Helseth E, Wester K. Benign external hydrocephalus: a review, with emphasis on management. *Neurosurg Rev.* 2011 Oct;34(4):417-32.
31. Kuruvilla LC. Benign enlargement of sub-arachnoid spaces in infancy. *J Pediatr Neurosci.* 2014 May;9(2):129-31.
32. Kendall B, Holland I. Benign communicating hydrocephalus in children. *Neuroradiology.* 1981 Mar;21(2):93-6.
33. Haws ME, Linscott L, Thomas C, Orscheln E, Radhakrishnan R, Kline-Fath B. A Retrospective Analysis of the Utility of Head Computed Tomography and/or Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Management of Benign Macrocrania. *J Pediatr.* 2017 Mar;182():S0022-3476(16)31262-8.

34. McNeely PD, Atkinson JD, Saigal G, O'Gorman AM, Farmer JP. Subdural hematomas in infants with benign enlargement of the subarachnoid spaces are not pathognomonic for child abuse. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2006 Sep;27(8):1725-8.
35. Naffaa L, Rubin M, Stamler AC, Haddad M, Saade C. The diagnostic yield of ultrasound of the head in healthy infants presenting with the clinical diagnosis of benign macrocrania. *Clin Radiol*. 2017 Jan;72(1):S0009-9260(16)30346-4.
36. Missios S, Quebada PB, Forero JA, et al. Quick-brain magnetic resonance imaging for nonhydrocephalus indications. *J Neurosurg Pediatr*. 2008 Dec;2(6):438-44.
37. Hersh DS, Kumar R, Klimo P, Bookland M, Martin JE. Hydrocephalus surveillance following shunt placement or endoscopic third ventriculostomy: a survey of surgeons in the Hydrocephalus Clinical Research Networks. *J Neurosurg Pediatr*. 2021 Aug 01;28(2):139-146.
38. Colak A, Albright AL, Pollack IF. Follow-up of children with shunted hydrocephalus. *Pediatr Neurosurg*. 1997 Oct;27(4):208-10.
39. Maller VV, Agarwal A, Kanekar S. Imaging of Ventricular Shunts. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR*. 2016 Apr;37(2):S0887-2171(16)00004-4.
40. Desai KR, Babb JS, Amodio JB. The utility of the plain radiograph "shunt series" in the evaluation of suspected ventriculoperitoneal shunt failure in pediatric patients. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2007 May;37(5):452-6.
41. Pujara S, Natalwala A, Robertson I. Referrals for suspected ventriculo-peritoneal shunt dysfunction and necessity for further imaging. *Br J Neurosurg*. 2017 Jun;31(3):320-321.
42. Florin TA, Aronson PL, Hall M, et al. Emergency Department Use of Computed Tomography for Children with Ventricular Shunts. *J Pediatr*. 2015 Dec;167(6):S0022-3476(15)01028-8.
43. Antonucci MC, Zuckerbraun NS, Tyler-Kabara EC, Furtado AD, Murphy ME, Marin JR. The Burden of Ionizing Radiation Studies in Children with Ventricular Shunts. *J Pediatr*. 2017 Mar;182():S0022-3476(16)31354-3.
44. Khalatbari H, Parisi MT. Complications of CSF Shunts in Pediatrics: Functional Assessment With CSF Shunt Scintigraphy-Performance and Interpretation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2020 Dec;215(6):1474-1489.
45. Thompson EM, Wagner K, Kronfeld K, Selden NR. Using a 2-variable method in radionuclide shuntography to predict shunt patency. *J Neurosurg*. 2014 Dec;121(6):1504-7.

Disclaimer

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness

of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.

^aMassachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts. ^bResearch Author, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts. ^cPanel Chair, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, Ohio. ^dArkansas Children's Hospital and University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas; American Academy of Pediatrics. ^eRadiology Associates of North Texas, Fort Worth, Texas. ^fLucile Packard Children's Hospital at Stanford, Stanford, California. ^gUniversity of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina. ^hWestchester Medical Center, Valhalla, New York. ⁱPhoenix Children's, Phoenix, Arizona. ^jNationwide Children's Hospital and The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio; American Association of Neurological Surgeons/Congress of Neurological Surgeons. ^kNationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, Ohio. ^lBoston Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts; Committee on Emergency Radiology-GSER. ^mSpecialty Chair, Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, Missouri.