

ACR–ACOG–AIUM–SRU PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SONOHYSTEROGRAPHY AND HYSTEROSALPINGO-CONTRAST-SONOGRAPHY (HyCoSy)

The American College of Radiology, with more than 40,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice parameters and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice parameters and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice parameter and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review and approval. The practice parameters and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice parameter and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

PREAMBLE

This document is an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. Practice Parameters and Technical Standards are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care¹. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology and our collaborating medical specialty societies caution against the use of these documents in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the practitioner considering all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidance in this document, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in this document when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by variables such as the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology after publication of this document. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from the guidance in this document may consider documenting in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves the science, and the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to the guidance in this document will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The purpose of this document is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

¹ *Iowa Medical Society and Iowa Society of Anesthesiologists v. Iowa Board of Nursing*, 831 N.W.2d 826 (Iowa 2013) Iowa Supreme Court refuses to find that the "ACR Technical Standard for Management of the Use of Radiation in Fluoroscopic Procedures (Revised 2008)" sets a national standard for who may perform fluoroscopic procedures in light of the standard's stated purpose that ACR standards are educational tools and not intended to establish a legal standard of care. See also, *Stanley v. McCarver*, 63 P.3d 1076 (Ariz. App. 2003) where in a concurring opinion the Court stated that "published standards or guidelines of specialty medical organizations are useful in determining the duty owed or the standard of care applicable in a given situation" even though ACR standards themselves do not establish the standard of care.

I. INTRODUCTION

The clinical aspects contained in specific sections of this practice parameter (Introduction, Indications and Contraindications, Specifications of the Examination, and Equipment Specifications) were developed collaboratively by the American College of Radiology (ACR), the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM), the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), and the Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound (SRU). Recommendations for Qualifications and Responsibilities of Personnel, Written Requests for the Examination, Documentation, and Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control and Patient Education vary among the organizations and are addressed by each separately.

This practice parameter has been developed to assist qualified physicians performing saline infusion sonohysterography (SIS) and hysterosalpingo contrast sonography (HyCoSy); each procedure is addressed separately. Properly performed SIS and HyCoSy can provide information about the uterus, endometrium, and fallopian tubes. Additional studies may be necessary for complete diagnosis. Adherence to the following practice parameter will maximize the diagnostic benefit of each procedure.

SIS is the evaluation of the endometrial cavity using the transcervical injection of sterile fluid. Various terms, such as sonohysterography or hysterosonography, have been used to describe this technique. The primary goal of sonohysterography is to visualize the endometrial cavity in more detail than is possible with standard transvaginal ultrasound (US) [1]. The accuracy of SIS approaches hysteroscopy in detecting endometrial abnormalities [2,3].

HyCoSy, also known as sonosalpingography, is the US evaluation of tubal patency. Tubal patency is demonstrated by instilling contrast into the fallopian tubes via the endometrial cavity, with either direct visualization of fluid flowing through the various tubal segments and out of the tube or the accumulation of fluid in the cul-de-sac. An increase in the amount of free pelvic fluid at the end of the procedure indicates that at least one tube is patent. HyCoSy has been demonstrated to have an accuracy essentially equivalent to hysterosalpingogram (HSG) and chromoperturbation at laparoscopy [2,3].

II. INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS

A. Sonohysterography (SIS):

1. Indications [1,4-13]

- a. Indications include, but are not limited to, evaluation of the following:
- b. Abnormal uterine bleeding
- c. Uterine cavity evaluation, especially relating to uterine leiomyomas, polyps, synechiae, and cesarean scar niches [14]
- d. Abnormalities detected on transvaginal sonography, including focal or diffuse endometrial or intracavitary abnormalities
- e. Congenital or acquired abnormalities of the uterus Infertility [15-17]
- f. Recurrent pregnancy loss
- g. Suboptimal visualization of the endometrium by standard sonography

2. Contraindications

- a. Sonohysterography should not be performed in a woman who is pregnant or who could be pregnant. In patients with regular cycles, this is usually avoided by scheduling the examination in the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle, after menstrual flow has completely or almost completely ceased and before the patient has ovulated. In a patient with regular cycles, sonohysterography should ideally be performed prior to the 10th day of the menstrual cycle. Sonohysterography should not be performed in patients with a pelvic infection or unexplained pelvic tenderness that could be due to pelvic inflammatory disease. Active vaginal bleeding is not a contraindication to the procedure but may make the interpretation more challenging [18].

B. HyCoSy

1. Indications [15,16]

- a. Indications include, but are not limited to, evaluation of the following:
- b. Determination of tubal patency in patients desiring fertility [19]

- c. Confirmation of tubal occlusion after sterilization procedures [20]
2. Contraindications
 - a. HyCoSy should not be performed in a woman who is pregnant or who could be pregnant. In patients with regular cycles, this is usually avoided by scheduling the examination in the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle, after menstrual flow has completely or almost completely ceased and before the patient has ovulated. HyCoSy should not be performed in patients with a pelvic infection or unexplained pelvic tenderness that could be due to pelvic inflammatory disease. The presence of a hydrosalpinx is not an absolute contraindication to HyCoSy [21]. HyCoSy should not be performed in the presence of active vaginal bleeding.

III. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

See the [ACR–SPR–SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Diagnostic Ultrasound Examinations](#) [22].

It is strongly recommended that the physician performing the study has documented formal training in the performance, interpretation, and reporting of US examinations of the female pelvis. Additionally, the physician should supervise and interpret US examinations of the female pelvis on a regular basis and be familiar with techniques of cervical cannulation.

IV. WRITTEN REQUEST FOR THE EXAMINATION

The written or electronic request for SIS and HyCoSy should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). The provision of additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination, or a provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical problem or question and consistent with the state scope of practice requirements. (ACR Resolution 35 adopted in 2006 – revised in 2016, Resolution 12-b)

V. SPECIFICATIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL EXAMINATIONS

A. Patient Preparation

Pelvic organ tenderness should be assessed during the preliminary transvaginal sonogram. If the patient's history or physical examination is concerning for active pelvic inflammatory disease, SIS/HyCoSy should be deferred until an appropriate course of treatment has been completed. A pregnancy test is advised when clinically indicated. Patients should be questioned about a latex allergy or a reaction to povidone-iodine or other topical antiseptic (2%-4% chlorhexidine gluconate is a safe alternative [23,24]) prior to use of these products. In patients with regular cycles, a sonohysterogram or HyCoSy should be performed in the early follicular phase, as close to the end of menstrual bleeding as possible.

B. Procedure

1. SIS

A transvaginal sonogram should be performed prior to performing an SIS. The presence of unusual pain, lesions, or purulent vaginal or cervical discharge may require rescheduling the procedure pending further evaluation or treatment. The pre-SIS US allows identification of pertinent pelvic anatomy, may visualize other adnexal or ovarian abnormalities, and allows the unenhanced (with no fluid) assessment of the myometrium and endometrium. This study allows visualization of the

orientation and flexion of the uterus, which may assist in placement of the catheters. Prior to insertion, the catheter should be flushed with sterile fluid to avoid introducing air during the study. After cleansing the external os, the cervical canal and/or uterine cavity should be catheterized using an aseptic technique and normal saline or other contrast fluid instilled slowly by means of manual injection under real-time sonographic imaging. Imaging should include real-time scanning of the endometrium and cervical canal [25,26].

2. HyCoSy

A transvaginal sonogram should be performed prior to performing HyCoSy. The presence of unusual pain or purulent vaginal or cervical discharge may require rescheduling the procedure pending further evaluation or treatment. The preliminary US allows identification of pertinent pelvic anatomy and may visualize other adnexal or ovarian abnormalities. The preliminary study visualizes the orientation and flexion of the uterus, which may assist in placement of the catheters. A sonohysterogram (SIS) can be performed, as described above, immediately prior to HyCoSy. If performing an SIS, the catheter should be flushed with sterile fluid prior to insertion. After cleansing the external os, the cervical canal or uterine cavity should be catheterized using an aseptic technique, typically using a balloon catheter to avoid backflow of fluid during HyCoSy. Appropriate sterile fluid, with air, contrast, or foam, is instilled slowly by means of manual injection under real-time sonographic imaging [19,25-27]. Commercial devices that mix air and saline together to form the air-infused saline for HyCoSy are available. One can produce similar results by filling a 30-cc syringe with 15 cc of saline and 15 cc of air. Pushing the plunger while rocking the syringe up and down effectively infuses air with saline, which is easily seen on US.

C. Contrast Agent

1. SIS

Sterile normal saline should be used for sonohysterography.

2. HyCoSy

Appropriate sterile fluid, such as normal saline infused with air or appropriate contrast medium, should be used for HyCoSy.

D. Analgesics

1. SIS

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) may benefit some patients during SIS

2. HyCoSy

Some authors advocate the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatories to reduce pain and potentially reduce tubal spasm, similar with HSG [28-30].

E. Images [31]

1. SIS

Precatheterization images should be obtained and recorded in accordance with the [ACR-ACOG-AIUM-SPR-SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance of Ultrasound of the Female Pelvis](#) [32].

It is recommended to instill fluid into the endometrial cavity with real-time US, ensuring adequate visualization. A complete survey of the uterine cavity should be performed, with images obtained to document normal and abnormal findings. Images should include sagittal and transverse images of the endometrium, with measurement of each layer of the endometrium in the sagittal plane. One should also evaluate the endometrium for any asymmetry, irregularity, or presence of focal lesions. 3-D imaging may be helpful in the evaluation. If an intrauterine balloon is used for the examination, additional images should be obtained at the end of the procedure with the balloon deflated to fully evaluate the endometrial cavity, particularly the cervical canal and lower portion of the endometrial cavity, including a cesarean scar niche, if present.

The location of any focal lesions should be demonstrated in sagittal and transverse planes, or with 3-D imaging. The size, sonographic characteristics, and depth of penetration into the myometrium, in the case of submucous myomas, should be documented. The use of color Doppler or power Doppler

may be helpful in evaluating the vascularity of an intrauterine abnormality.

3-D imaging, specifically reconstructed coronal plane imaging, is also useful in the assessment of Müllerian duct anomalies and for preoperative mapping of myomas [33,34].

2. HyCoSy

Precatheterization images of the pelvis should be obtained and recorded in accordance with the [ACR-ACOG-AIUM-SPR-SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance of Ultrasound of the Female Pelvis](#) [32].

It is recommended to instill fluid into the endometrial cavity with real-time US, ensuring adequate visualization. If SIS is performed prior to HyCoSy, images are obtained as described above. Prior to instilling contrast for HyCoSy, the uterus is imaged in a transverse plane, visualizing both cornua simultaneously. Contrast is then instilled under direct US visualization, assessing the passage of contrast through the courses of the fallopian tubes, including the interstitial and isthmic portions, the ampulla, and passage of contrast from the fimbria. Accumulation of contrast in the pelvis is consistent with at least one patent tube. Rotating the patient on each hip may assist in demonstrating tubal patency. Various authors have found power Doppler and 3-D imaging helpful in evaluating tubal patency [35,36]. The lack of tubal patency should be considered with swirling of contrast in the cornual regions of the endometrium. Tubal spasm may result in a similar appearance [3,37].

F. Postprocedure Care

The imaging or referring physician should discuss the SIS and/or HyCoSy findings with the patient. The patient may experience leaking of fluid after the procedure that could be blood-tinged or have a similar color as the cleaning solution. The patient should contact their physician if symptoms such as fever, persistent pain, or unusual bleeding develop following the procedure.

VI. DOCUMENTATION

Adequate documentation is essential for high quality in patient care. There should be a permanent record of the US examination and its interpretation. Comparison with prior relevant imaging studies may prove helpful. Images of all appropriate areas, both normal and abnormal, should be recorded. Variations from normal size should generally be accompanied by measurements. The initials of the operator should be accessible on the images or electronically on the PACS. Images should be labeled with the patient identification, facility identification, examination date, and image orientation. An official interpretation (final report) of the US examination should be included in the patient's medical record. Retention of the US examination images should be based on clinical need and relevant legal and local health care facility requirements.

Reporting should be in accordance with the [ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings](#) [38].

1. SIS

Measurement of the endometrium should be done in the sagittal plane by measuring each layer of the endometrium separately and then adding the results together to obtain the endometrium thickness. One should document whether the layers are uniform and symmetric or if there is asymmetry or irregularities present. Measurement of endometrial polyps and fibroids should be made in three orthogonal planes. When addressing fibroids, a comment about the subjective depth of projection into the endometrial cavity, as a percentage of the overall size of the fibroid, is helpful in determining treatment options.

2. HyCoSy

Images should be obtained in the transverse plane, ideally visualizing both uterine cornua simultaneously.

Documentation should include flow of contrast through the interstitial portion of the tube, the ampullary portion of the tube, and out the fimbriated end of the tube. Documentation should include any change in the amount of cul-de-sac fluid during the HyCoSy. Flow of contrast may not be seen in all tubal segments because of overlying bowel loops or acoustic shadows from bowel contents. If brisk flow is seen through at least one tubal segment, without associated tubal dilatation, the tube is considered patent. The lack of flow into and through the tube should be documented

VII. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

Equipment performance monitoring should be in accordance with the [ACR-AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Real Time Ultrasound Equipment](#) [30].

HyCoSy is usually conducted with a high-frequency transvaginal transducer. The transducer should be adjusted to operate at the highest clinically appropriate frequency under the ALARA ("as low as reasonably achievable") principle.

VIII. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Vaginal transducers should be covered by a protective sheath prior to insertion. Coupling gel should be used. Following the examination, the sheath should be disposed of and the transducer cleaned with a high-level disinfectant. The type of solution and amount of time for cleaning should follow manufacturer and infectious disease control recommendations.

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing under the heading *ACR Position Statement on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control and Patient Education* on the ACR website (<https://www.acr.org/Advocacy-and-Economics/ACR-Position-Statements/Quality-Control-and-Improvement>).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This practice parameter was revised according to the process described under the heading *The Process for Developing ACR Practice Parameters and Technical Standards* on the ACR website (<https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Practice-Parameters-and-Technical-Standards>) by the Committee on Practice Parameters – Ultrasound of the ACR Commission on Ultrasound in collaboration with the AIUM, the ACOG, and the SRU.

Collaborative Committee

Members represent their societies in the initial and final revision of this practice parameter.

ACR

Marcela Böhm-Velez, MD, FACR, Chair

Rochelle F. Andreotti, MD

Carol B. Benson, MD, FACR

Christopher Fung, MD

Amy S. Thurmond, MD

AIUM

Phyllis Glanc, MD

Yvette Groszmann, MD, FAIUM

Ulrike Hamper, MD

James Shwayder, MD

ACOG

Bethany Skinner, MD

SRU

Sandra Allison, MD

Thomas C. Winter III, MD

Committee on Practice Parameters – Ultrasound

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Sheila Sheth, MD, FACR, Chair

Jamie Hui, MD

Osama Ali, MD

Stephen I. Johnson, MD

Marcela Böhm-Velez, MD, FACR

David U. Kim, MD

Kaleigh Burke, MD

Harriet J. Paltiel, MD

Baljot S. Chahal, MD, MBA, BSc

Kristin L. Rebik, DO

Nirvikar Dahiya, MD, FAIUM, FSRU

Henrietta K. Rosenberg, MD, FACR

Christopher Fung, MD

Joel P. Thompson, MD

Helena Gabriel, MD

Beverly G. Coleman, MD, FACR, Chair, Commission on Ultrasound

Jacqueline A. Bello, MD, FACR, Chair, Commission on Quality and Safety

Mary S. Newell, MD, FACR, Chair, Committee on Practice Parameters and Technical Standards

Comments Reconciliation Committee

Traci Pritchard, MD, FACR– Chair

Christopher Fung, MD

Lauren Golding, MD – Vice Chair

Phyllis Glanc, MD-

Comments Reconciliation Committee

Sandra Allison, MD

Yvette Groszmann, MD

Rochelle F. Andreotti, MD

Ulrike Hamper, MD

Richard Barr, MD

Amy L. Kotsenas, MD

Richard A. Barth, MD, FACR

Paul A Larson, MD, FACR

Jacqueline Anne Bello, MD

Mary S. Newell, MD

Carol B. Benson, MD, FACR

Sheila Sheth, MD, FACR

Marcela Bohm-Velez, MD, FACR

James Shwayder, MD

Bryann Bromley, MD

Bethany Skinner, MD

Beverley Coleman, MD, FACR

Amy S. Thurmond, MD

Richard Duszak, Jr., MD

Thomas C. Winter III, MD

Samuel A Einstein, PHD

REFERENCES

1. Bree RL, Bowerman RA, Bohm-Velez M, et al. US evaluation of the uterus in patients with postmenopausal bleeding: A positive effect on diagnostic decision making. *Radiology* 2000;216:260-4.
2. Exacoustos C, Zupi E, Carusotti C, Lanzi G, Marconi D, Arduini D. Hysterosalpingo-contrast sonography compared with hysterosalpingography and laparoscopic dye pertubation to evaluate tubal patency. *J Am Assc Gynecol Laparosc* 2003;10:367-72.
3. Saunders RD, Shwayder JM, Nakajima ST. Current methods of tubal patency assessment. *Fertility and sterility* 2011;95:2171-79.
4. Becker E, Jr., Lev-Toaff AS, Kaufman EP, Halpern EJ, Edelweiss MI, Kurtz AB. The added value of transvaginal sonohysterography over transvaginal sonography alone in women with known or suspected leiomyoma. *Journal of ultrasound in medicine : official journal of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine* 2002;21:237-47.
5. Breitkopf DM, Frederickson RA, Snyder RR. Detection of benign endometrial masses by endometrial stripe measurement in premenopausal women. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 2004;104:120-5.
6. Doubilet PM. Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound Consensus Conference statement on postmenopausal bleeding. *Journal of ultrasound in medicine : official journal of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine* 2001;20:1037-42.
7. Dubinsky TJ, Stroehlein K, Abu-Ghazze Y, Parvey HR, Maklad N. Prediction of benign and malignant endometrial disease: hysterosonographic-pathologic correlation. *Radiology* 1999;210:393-7.

8. Goldstein RB, Bree RL, Benson CB, et al. Evaluation of the woman with postmenopausal bleeding: Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound-Sponsored Consensus Conference statement. *Journal of ultrasound in medicine : official journal of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine* 2001;20:1025-36.
9. Goldstein SR. Use of ultrasonohysterography for triage of perimenopausal patients with unexplained uterine bleeding. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 1994;170:565-70.
10. Laifer-Narin S, Ragavendra N, Parmenter EK, Grant EG. False-normal appearance of the endometrium on conventional transvaginal sonography: comparison with saline hysterosonography. *AJR. American journal of roentgenology* 2002;178:129-33.
11. Laifer-Narin SL, Ragavendra N, Lu DS, Sayre J, Perrella RR, Grant EG. Transvaginal saline hysterosonography: characteristics distinguishing malignant and various benign conditions. *AJR. American journal of roentgenology* 1999;172:1513-20.
12. Mihm LM, Quick VA, Brumfield JA, Connors AF, Jr., Finnerty JJ. The accuracy of endometrial biopsy and saline sonohysterography in the determination of the cause of abnormal uterine bleeding. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2002;186:858-60.
13. Hajishafiha M, Zobairi T, Zanjani VR, Ghasemi-Rad M, Yekta Z, Mladkova N. Diagnostic value of sonohysterography in the determination of fallopian tube patency as an initial step of routine infertility assessment. *Journal of ultrasound in medicine : official journal of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine* 2009;28:1671-7.
14. van der Voet L, Vervoort A, Veersema S, BijdeVaate A, Brölmann H, Huirne J. Minimally invasive therapy for gynaecological symptoms related to a niche in the caesarean scar: a systematic review. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2014;121:145-56.
15. Alcázar JL, Martínez-Astorquiza Corral T, Orozco R, Dominguez-Piriz J, Juez L, Errasti T. Three-Dimensional Hysterosalpingo-Contrast-Sonography for the Assessment of Tubal Patency in Women with Infertility: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. *Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation* 2016;81:289-95.
16. Chen F, Quan J, Huang P, You X. Hysterosalpingo-Contrast Sonography With Four-Dimensional Technique for Screening Fallopian Tubal Patency: Let's Make an Exploration. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology* 2017;24:407-14.
17. Seshadri S, El-Toukhy T, Douiri A, Jayaprakasan K, Khalaf Y. Diagnostic accuracy of saline infusion sonography in the evaluation of uterine cavity abnormalities prior to assisted reproductive techniques: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Hum Reprod Update* 2015;21:262-74.
18. Berry E, Lindheim SR, Connor JP, et al. Sonohysterography and endometrial cancer: incidence and functional viability of disseminated malignant cells. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2008;199:240 e1-8.
19. Luciano D, Exacoustos C, Luciano A. Contrast Ultrasonography for Tubal Patency. *J Min Invas Gynecol* 2014;21:994-98.
20. Luciano DE, Exacoustos C, Johns A, Luciano AA. Can hysterosalpingo-contrast sonography replace hysterosalpingography in confirming tubal blockage after hysteroscopic sterilization and in the evaluation of the uterus and tubes in infertile patients? *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2011;204:79.e1-79.e5
21. Pereira N, Hutchinson A, Lekovich J, Hobeika E, Elias R. Antibiotic Prophylaxis for Gynecologic Procedures prior to and during the Utilization of Assisted Reproductive Technologies: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Pathogens*: Hindawi Publishing Corporation; 2016:1-8.
22. American College of Radiology. ACR-SPR-SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Diagnostic Ultrasound Examinations. Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/US-Perf-Interpret.pdf>. Accessed January 7, 2019.
23. Al-Niimi A, Rice LW, Shitanshu U, et al. Safety and tolerability of chlorhexidine gluconate (2%) as a vaginal operative preparation in patients undergoing gynecologic surgery. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2016;44:996-98.
24. Patterson P. Quandary: what to do for vaginal prep. *OR Manager* 2011;27:19-21, 23.
25. Lindheim SR, Sprague C, Winter TC, 3rd. Hysterosalpingography and sonohysterography: lessons in technique. *AJR. American journal of roentgenology* 2006;186:24-9.
26. Spielloch RL, Winter TC, Schouweiler C, Ansay S, Evans MD, Lindheim SR. Optimal catheter placement during sonohysterography: a randomized controlled trial comparing cervical to uterine placement. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 2008;111:15-21.

- Revised 2020 (Resolution 4), Filippi V, et al. Sonohysterosalpingography: Comparison of foam and saline solution. J Clin Ultrasound 2017;45:67-71.
28. Ludwin I, Martins W, Natri C, Ludwin A. Pain Intensity During Ultrasound Assessment of Uterine Cavity and Tubal Patency With and Without Painkillers: Prospective Observational Study. J Min Invas Gynecol 2017;24:599-608.
 29. Schoubroeck DV, Bosch TVD, Ameye L, Boes AS, D'Hooghe T, Timmerman D. Pain during Fallopian-tube patency testing by hysterosalpingo-foam sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2015;45:346-50.
 30. American College of Radiology. ACR-AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Real Time Ultrasound Equipment. Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/US-Equip.pdf>. Accessed January 7, 2019.
 31. Ludwin I, Martins WP, Natri CO, Ludwin A. Pain Intensity During Ultrasound Assessment of Uterine Cavity and Tubal Patency With and Without Painkillers: Prospective Observational Study. Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology 2017;24:599-608.
 32. American College of Radiology. ACR-ACOG-AIUM-SPR-SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance of Ultrasound of the Female Pelvis Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/US-Pelvis.pdf>. Accessed July 24, 2019.
 33. Benacerraf BR, Shipp TD, Bromley B. Improving the efficiency of gynecologic sonography with 3-dimensional volumes: a pilot study. Journal of ultrasound in medicine : official journal of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine 2006;25:165-71.
 34. Ghate SV, Crockett MM, Boyd BK, Paulson EK. Sonohysterography: do 3D reconstructed images provide additional value? AJR. American journal of roentgenology 2008;190:W227-33.
 35. Ludwin A, Natri C, Ludwin I, Martins W. Hysterosalpingo-lidocaine-foam sonography combined with power Doppler imaging (HyLiFoSy-PD) in tubal patency assessment: 'flaming tube' sign. . Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2017;50:808-10.
 36. Riganelli L, Casorelli A, Caccetta J, et al. Ultrasonography reappraisal of tubal patency in assisted reproduction technology patients: comparison between 2D and 3D-sonohysterosalpingography. A pilot study. Minerva Ginecol 2018;70:123-28.
 37. Ludwin A, Ludwin I, Martins W. Venous intravasation during evaluation of tubal patency by ultrasound contrast imaging. . Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2018;51:143-45.
 38. American College of Radiology. ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings. Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/US-Perf-Interpret.pdf>. Accessed January 7, 2018.

*Practice parameters and technical standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council. For practice parameters and technical standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the practice parameter or technical standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.

Development Chronology for this Practice Parameter

2002 (Resolution 28)

Amended 2006 (Resolution 35)

Revised 2007 (Resolution 26)

Revised 2011 (Resolution 6)

Amended 2014 (Resolution 39)

Revised 2015 (Resolution 37)

Revised 2020 (Resolution 4)

Amended 2023 (Resolution 2c)