

ACR–AIUM–SRU PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF PERIPHERAL ARTERIAL ULTRASOUND USING COLOR AND SPECTRAL DOPPLER

The American College of Radiology, with more than 40,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice parameters and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice parameters and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice parameter and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review and approval. The practice parameters and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice parameter and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

PREAMBLE

This document is an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. Practice Parameters and Technical Standards are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care¹. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology and our collaborating medical specialty societies caution against the use of these documents in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question. The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the practitioner considering all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidance in this document, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in this document when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by variables such as the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology after publication of this document. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from the guidance in this document may consider documenting in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves the science, and the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to the guidance in this document will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The purpose of this document is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

¹ *Iowa Medical Society and Iowa Society of Anesthesiologists v. Iowa Board of Nursing*, 831 N.W.2d 826 (Iowa 2013) Iowa Supreme Court refuses to find that the "ACR Technical Standard for Management of the Use of Radiation in Fluoroscopic Procedures (Revised 2008)" sets a national standard for who may perform fluoroscopic procedures in light of the standard's stated purpose that ACR standards are educational tools and not intended to establish a legal standard of care. See also, *Stanley v. McCarver*, 63 P.3d 1076 (Ariz. App. 2003) where in a concurring opinion the Court stated that "published standards or guidelines of specialty medical organizations are useful in determining the duty owed or the standard of care applicable in a given situation" even though ACR standards themselves do not establish the standard of care.

I. INTRODUCTION

The clinical aspects contained in specific sections of this practice parameter (Introduction, Indications, Specifications of the Examination, and Equipment Specifications) were developed collaboratively by the American College of Radiology (ACR), the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM), and the Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound (SRU). Recommendations for Qualifications and Responsibilities of Personnel, Written Requests for the Examination, Documentation, and Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control and Patient Education vary among the four organizations and are addressed by each separately.

These practice parameters are intended to assist practitioners performing noninvasive evaluation of the peripheral arteries using color and Doppler waveform analysis ultrasound. The sonographic examination of patients with peripheral vascular disease will, in general, complement the use of other physiologic tests, such as pressure measurements, plethysmographic recordings, and continuous wave Doppler. In selected cases a tailored examination is used to answer a specific diagnostic question. Although it is not possible to detect every abnormality, adherence to the following practice parameters will maximize the probability of detecting most of the abnormalities that occur in the extremity arteries.

II. INDICATIONS FOR PERIPHERAL ARTERIAL EXAMINATIONS

The indications for peripheral arterial ultrasound examination include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. The detection of stenoses or occlusions in segment(s) of the peripheral arteries in symptomatic patients with suspected arterial occlusive disease. These patients could present with recognized clinical indicators, such as claudication, rest pain, ischemic tissue loss, aneurysm, or arterial embolization [[1-18](#)].
2. The monitoring of sites of previous surgical interventions, including sites of previous bypass surgery with either synthetic or autologous vein grafts [[19-25](#)]
3. The monitoring of sites of various percutaneous interventions, including angioplasty, thrombolysis/thrombectomy, atherectomy, or stent placement [[26-31](#)]
4. Follow-up for progression of previously identified disease, such as documented stenosis in an artery that has not undergone intervention, aneurysms, atherosclerosis, or other occlusive diseases
5. The evaluation of suspected vascular and perivascular abnormalities, including such entities as arteritis, fibromuscular dysplasia, masses, aneurysms, pseudoaneurysms, arterial dissections, vascular injuries, arteriovenous fistulae, thromboses, emboli, or vascular malformations [[32-37](#)]
6. Mapping of arteries before surgical interventions [[38-42](#)]
7. Clarifying or confirming the presence of significant arterial abnormalities identified by other imaging modalities
8. Evaluation of arterial integrity in the setting of trauma
9. Evaluation of patients suspected of thoracic outlet syndrome, such as those with positional numbness, pain, tingling, or a cold hand
10. Allen's test to establish patency of palmar arch [[43, 44](#)]
11. Temporal artery evaluation for temporal arteritis and/or to localize temporal arterial biopsy for suspected diagnosis of temporal arteritis [[33, 34](#)]

Additional uses of Doppler ultrasound can include preoperative mapping for dialysis access and postoperative follow-up (see the [ACR–AIUM–SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance of Ultrasound Vessel Mapping Prior to Dialysis Access Creation](#) [[45](#)] and the [ACR–AIUM Practice Parameter for the Performance of Vascular Ultrasound for Postoperative Assessment of Dialysis Access](#)) [[46](#)].

III. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PHYSICIAN

Core Privileging: This procedure is considered part of or amendable to image-guided core privileging.

See the [ACR–SPR–SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Diagnostic Ultrasound Examinations](#) [[47](#)].

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

The written or electronic request for a peripheral arterial ultrasound examination should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for its proper performance and

interpretation.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). The provision of additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination or a provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical problem or question and consistent with the state scope of practice requirements. (ACR Resolution 35, adopted in 2006 – revised in 2016, Resolution 12-b)

The sonographic examination consists of grayscale imaging and spectral Doppler waveforms in the appropriate arterial segments. Color Doppler should be used to improve detection of arterial lesions by identifying sites of narrowing and changes in color associated with stenoses and to guide placement of the sample volume for spectral Doppler assessment [10].

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

A. Appropriate Techniques and Diagnostic Criteria

Specific sonographic techniques must be tailored to the clinical indication, the different arterial segments studied, and the specific pathology being evaluated. Diagnostic criteria for stenosis differ between native and postoperative and postprocedural arteries.

Velocity measurements are derived from angle-corrected spectral Doppler waveforms obtained from longitudinal images. Every attempt should be made to acquire images in which the angle created by the direction of blood flow and the direction of the ultrasound beam is kept at ≤ 60 degrees. Velocity estimates made from images using larger angles are less reliable.

There are published spectral Doppler criteria for velocity ratio, absolute velocity, pulsatility indices, and acceleration time. One or more criteria may be used. The criteria may be validated for some but not all arterial segments (eg, acceleration time has been studied in the iliac and common femoral arteries). Waveform shape, presence or absence of turbulence, and direction of flow may be described for appropriate indications.

For arterial stenoses, color Doppler should be optimized to detect narrowing of the lumen and high velocity in the stenotic region.

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

B. Peripheral Arterial Disease

Physiologic tests of the arterial system, such as ankle brachial index (ABI), segmental pressure, continuous wave Doppler, and plethysmographic waveform analysis, are other arterial examinations performed to determine the presence and degree of arterial disease and/or to identify patients appropriate for imaging [1, 37, 48]. These studies are complementary and not equivalent to the sonographic examination.

The ABI may help evaluate the hemodynamic consequences of lower extremity arterial disease. A contemporaneous ABI, along with imaging supports the imaging findings or may suggest nonvisualized disease, or if discrepant, helps avoid pitfalls.

Representative longitudinal color Doppler and/or gray scale images along with angle-corrected spectral Doppler waveforms with velocity measurements should be documented for each normal arterial segment(s).

Suspected abnormalities should be documented with longitudinal gray scale and color Doppler images. Transverse images may be helpful. Documentation of flow abnormality can be performed by obtaining cine clips.

Angle-corrected spectral Doppler waveforms should be obtained from longitudinal images and includes sites

proximal to, at, and distal to sites of suspected stenosis. The sonographer/technologist should evaluate the vessel thoroughly throughout the stenosis to determine the highest peak systolic velocity (PSV). The highest PSV within the abnormal segment should be compared with velocities in the proximal and distal normal segments. Velocities should generally rise in a stenosis unless the stenosis is unusually long or the stenosis is quite severe.

The highest angle-corrected PSV in a stenosis should be recorded from a longitudinal image. A spectral Doppler waveform with velocity measurements should be recorded in the normal arterial segment 1 to 4 cm proximal (upstream) to a suspected stenosis. A waveform distal to a stenosis should be recorded because it is helpful to document a drop in velocity beyond the stenosis and poststenotic disturbed flow/turbulence. Distal abnormalities, such as a poststenotic tardus parvus waveform, are signs of hemodynamic significance. If present, collateral branches should be recorded and documented including direction of flow within the reconstituted artery.

The location of any diseased or occluded segment(s) should also be documented. Estimated lengths of diseased or occluded segments may be helpful.

Gray scale, color and spectral Doppler evaluation of the following arterial segments should generally be performed as indicated whether or not a stenosis is present or suspected. Documentation of stenosis requires additional images. The accessible portion of the entire vessel or the arterial segment(s) of interest should also be evaluated because stenoses may occur in different or multiple sites.

1. Lower extremity
 - a. Common femoral artery
 - b. Proximal deep femoral artery
 - c. Proximal superficial femoral artery
 - d. Mid superficial femoral artery
 - e. Distal superficial femoral artery above the knee
 - f. Popliteal artery PSVs above and below the knee

If clinically appropriate, gray scale, color and spectral Doppler imaging of the common and external iliac, tibioperoneal trunk, anterior tibial, posterior tibial, peroneal, and dorsalis pedis arteries should be performed.

A focused or limited examination may be appropriate in certain clinical situations.

2. Upper extremity
 - a. Subclavian artery
 - b. Axillary artery
 - c. Brachial artery

If clinically appropriate, gray scale, color and spectral Doppler imaging of the innominate, radial, and ulnar arteries and the palmar arch should be performed.

In cases of suspected thoracic outlet syndrome, provocative testing should be performed. See the [ACR Appropriateness Criteria for Thoracic Outlet Syndrome](#) [49].

A focused or limited examination may be appropriate in certain clinical situations.

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

C. Evaluation of Surgical and Percutaneous Interventions

1. Bypass grafts

An attempt should be made to scan the full length of any arterial bypass graft using gray scale and color Doppler

Representative longitudinal color Doppler and/or gray scale images should be documented for normal

segments.

Angle-corrected spectral Doppler waveforms should be obtained from longitudinal images.

Angle-corrected spectral Doppler waveforms and PSV measurements should be documented in the native artery proximal to the graft anastomosis, at the proximal anastomosis, at representative sites along the graft, at the distal anastomosis, and in the native artery distal to the anastomosis.

Suspected abnormalities should also be imaged with longitudinal gray scale ultrasound. Representative longitudinal color and/or gray scale images of stenoses should be documented. Transverse images may be helpful.

Angle-corrected spectral Doppler waveforms should be obtained from longitudinal images proximal to, at, and distal to sites of suspected stenosis. The sonographer/technologist should evaluate the graft conduit and the contiguous segments of the native arteries carefully along the entire length of the stenosis to determine the highest PSV.

The highest angle-corrected PSV in a stenosis should be recorded from longitudinal images. A spectral Doppler waveform with velocity measurements should be recorded in the normal arterial segment 1 to 4 cm proximal (upstream) to a suspected stenosis. A waveform distal to a stenosis should be recorded because it is helpful to document a drop in velocity beyond the stenosis and poststenotic disturbed flow/turbulence. Distal abnormalities, as well as a poststenotic tardus parvus waveform, are signs of hemodynamic significance. The presence of low PSVs and low-resistance waveforms within an otherwise normal graft should be noted because this can imply an increased risk of graft occlusion.

2. Endovascular interventions

An attempt should be made to sample the site of arterial interventions as well as the segment immediately proximal (upstream) and distal (downstream) to the site of intervention. Stents should generally be scanned longitudinally along their entire length by gray scale and color Doppler, and representative images within the stent should be obtained. Transverse images may be useful to document stent distortion or luminal narrowing by the outside plaque.

Representative longitudinal color Doppler and/or gray scale images should be documented. All velocity measurements must be obtained from a longitudinal image.

Angle-corrected spectral Doppler waveforms obtained from a longitudinal image and PSV measurements should be documented in the native artery proximal to the intervention, at representative sites within an area of intervention (eg, proximal stent, mid stent, distal stent), and in the native artery distal to the intervention.

Angle-corrected spectral Doppler waveforms should be obtained from longitudinal images proximal to, at, and distal to sites of suspected stenosis. The sonographer/technologist should evaluate the vessel thoroughly throughout the stenosis to determine the highest PSV.

The highest angle-corrected PSV in a stenosis should be recorded from longitudinal image. A spectral Doppler waveform with PSV measurements should be recorded in the normal arterial segment 1 to 4 cm proximal (upstream) to a suspected stenosis. A waveform distal to a stenosis should be recorded because it is helpful to document a drop in velocity beyond the stenosis and poststenotic disturbed flow/turbulence. Distal abnormalities, as well as a poststenotic tardus parvus waveform, are signs of hemodynamic significance.

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

D. Other

1. Suspected soft-tissue abnormalities in proximity to arteries

The entire area of a suspected soft-tissue abnormality should be imaged. Spectral and color Doppler should be performed to document the presence or absence of blood flow in the region of the suspected

abnormality.

2. Pseudoaneurysms

In evaluating patients with suspected pseudoaneurysms, the sonographer/technologist should evaluate vasculature and adjacent soft tissues in transverse and longitudinal planes, using color Doppler, at, above, and below the arterial puncture site because the vessel may have been punctured at or several centimeters away from the skin wound. For example, for evaluation of the groin area, Doppler interrogation should be performed from the distal external iliac artery to the proximal superficial femoral artery. Imaging in the longitudinal plane must also be obtained with representative color and spectral Doppler images.

When a pseudoaneurysm is identified, the overall size of the pseudoaneurysm sac, the size of the residual lumen (in cases of partially thrombosed pseudoaneurysm), and the length and width of the communicating channel (neck) should be documented with appropriate gray scale and/or color Doppler techniques. Spectral Doppler waveforms should be obtained in the communicating channel to demonstrate bidirectional "to-and-fro" flow.

In case of therapeutic intervention, color and/or spectral Doppler may be used as a guide to therapy and as a means of documenting therapeutic success [37, 49-53].

When present, the size and location of hematomas should be documented.

The presence of hematomas should be documented and differentiated from pseudoaneurysms with Doppler image optimization to demonstrate absence of flow.

3. Abnormal communication between artery and vein (arterio-venous fistula)

Color and spectral Doppler may be used to document the location of abnormal vascular communications. Spectral Doppler waveforms should be documented from the artery proximal to, in the area of, and distal to abnormal communications. Flow within the fistula should be recorded, if found. A spectral Doppler waveform from the draining vein should be documented above and below the fistula.

Color Doppler is particularly useful for identifying the level of such communications because the flow disturbances in a fistula often create color Doppler signals in the adjacent soft tissue from transmitted vibrations and pressure changes (color bruit).

4. Peripheral aneurysms

The location of aneurysms should be documented. The widest diameter of the artery or aneurysm should be measured (outer wall to outer wall) on gray scale images in short axis of the lumen. If present, patency of the vessel and the presence of intraluminal thrombus should be documented with gray scale and color and spectral Doppler images.

V. DOCUMENTATION

Adequate documentation is essential for high-quality patient care. There should be a permanent record of the ultrasound examination and its interpretation. Comparison with previous relevant imaging studies may prove helpful. Images of all appropriate areas, both normal and abnormal, should be recorded. Variations from normal size should generally be accompanied by measurements. Images should be labeled with the patient identification, facility identification, examination date, and image orientation. An official interpretation (final report) of the ultrasound examination should be included in the patient's medical record. Retention of the ultrasound examination images should be consistent both with clinical need and with relevant legal and local health care facility requirements.

Reporting should be in accordance with the [ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings](#) [54].

VI. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

Peripheral arterial sonography should be performed with a linear array or curved array transducer equipped with pulsed Doppler and color Doppler capability (power Doppler may be used if needed). A linear array transducer helps visualize vessels with better resolution than most curved array transducers. The transducer should operate at the highest clinically appropriate frequency, recognizing that there is a trade-off between resolution and penetration.

Equipment performance monitoring should be in accordance with the [ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Real Time Ultrasound Equipment](#) [55].

VII. QUALITY CONTROL, AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing under the heading *ACR Position Statement on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education* on the ACR website (<https://www.acr.org/Advocacy-and-Economics/ACR-Position-Statements/Quality-Control-and-Improvement>).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This practice parameter was revised according to the process described under the heading *The Process for Developing ACR Practice Parameters and Technical Standards* on the ACR website (<https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Practice-Parameters-and-Technical-Standards>) by the Committee on Practice Parameters – Ultrasound of the ACR Commission on Ultrasound in collaboration with the AIUM and the SRU.

Writing Committee – members represent their societies in the initial and final revision of this practice parameter

ACR

Gunabushanam, Gowthaman MBBS, MD, Chair
Burgan, Constantine MD
Needleman, Laurence MD

SRU

Jones, Jill A MD
Paltiel, Harriet J MD

AIUM

Pellerito, John Stephen MD

Committee on Practice Parameters - Ultrasound

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Gabriel, Helena MD, Chair

Bohm-Velez, Marcela MD

Carnahan, Molly MD

Gunabushanam, Gowthaman MBBS, MD

Melany, Michelle MD

Squires, Judy H MD

Azadi, Javad MD

Burgan, Constantine MD

Fung, Christopher MD

Itani, Malak MD

Penna, Rupinder MD

Committee on Practice Parameters and Technical Standards

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Caplin, Drew M MD, Chair

Nicola, Lauren MD, Chair, Commission on Ultrasound

Comments Reconciliation Committee

Lee, Ryan K MBA, MD - CSC, Chair

Caplin, Drew M MD

Gunabushanam, Gowthaman MBBS, MD

Burgan, Constantine MD

Gabriel, Helena MD

Jones, Jill A MD

REFERENCES

1. Hirsch AT, Haskal ZJ, Hertzler NR, et al. ACC/AHA Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Peripheral Arterial Disease (lower extremity, renal, mesenteric, and abdominal aortic): a collaborative report from the American Associations for Vascular Surgery/Society for Vascular Surgery, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, Society of Interventional Radiology, and the ACC/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines (writing committee to develop guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease)--summary of recommendations. *Journal of Vascular & Interventional Radiology*. 17(9):1383-97; quiz 1398, 2006 Sep. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 17(9):1383-97; quiz 1398, 2006 Sep.
2. Norgren L, Hiatt WR, Dormandy JA, et al. Inter-Society Consensus for the Management of Peripheral Arterial Disease (TASC II). *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2007;33 Suppl 1:S1-75.
3. Lowery AJ, Hynes N, Manning BJ, Mahendran M, Tawfik S, Sultan S. A prospective feasibility study of duplex ultrasound arterial mapping, digital-subtraction angiography, and magnetic resonance angiography in management of critical lower limb ischemia by endovascular revascularization. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2007;21(4):443-451.
4. Nuffer Z, Rupasov A, Bekal N, Murtha J, Bhatt S. Spectral Doppler ultrasound of peripheral arteries: a pictorial review. *Clin Imaging*. 2017;46():S0899-7071(17)30128-6.
5. Bradbury AW, Adam DJ. Diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease of the lower limb. *BMJ*. 2007 Jun 16;334(7606):1229-30.
6. Collins R, Burch J, Cranny G, et al. Duplex ultrasonography, magnetic resonance angiography, and computed tomography angiography for diagnosis and assessment of symptomatic, lower limb peripheral arterial disease: systematic review. *BMJ*. 2007; 334(7606):1257.
7. de Vries SO, Hunink MG, Polak JF. Summary receiver operating characteristic curves as a technique for meta-analysis of the diagnostic performance of duplex ultrasonography in peripheral arterial disease. *Acad Radiol*. 1996;3(4):361-369.
8. Eiberg JP, Grønvald Rasmussen JB, Hansen MA, Schroeder TV. Duplex ultrasound scanning of peripheral arterial disease of the lower limb. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2010 Oct;40(4):507-12.
9. Hingorani AP, Ascher E, Marks N, et al. Limitations of and lessons learned from clinical experience of 1,020 duplex arteriography. *Vascular*. 2008;16(3):147-53.
10. Spronk S, den Hoed PT, de Jonge LC, van Dijk LC, Pattynama PM. Value of the duplex waveform at the common femoral artery for diagnosing obstructive aortoiliac disease. *J Vasc Surg*. 2005 Aug;42(2):236-42; discussion 242.
11. Talbot SR. Assessment of Upper Extremity Arterial Occlusive Disease. In: Pellerito JS, Polak JF, editors. *Introduction to Vascular Ultrasonography*. 6 ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier 2012 262-80.
12. Zierler RE. Ultrasound Assessment of Lower Extremity Arteries. In: Pellerito JS, Polak JF, editors. *Introduction to Vascular Ultrasonography*. 6 ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier 2012:294-306.
13. Mustapha JA, Saab F, Diaz-Sandoval L, et al. Comparison between angiographic and arterial duplex ultrasound assessment of tibial arteries in patients with peripheral arterial disease: on behalf of the Joint Endovascular and Non-Invasive Assessment of Limb Perfusion (JENALI) Group. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2013;25(11):606-611.
14. Sultan S, Tawfik W, Hynes N. Ten-year technical and clinical outcomes in TransAtlantic Inter-Society Consensus II infrainguinal C/D lesions using duplex ultrasound arterial mapping as the sole imaging modality for critical lower limb ischemia. *J Vasc Surg*. 57(4):1038-45, 2013 Apr.
15. Crawford JD, Perrone KH, Jung E, Mitchell EL, Landry GJ, Moneta GL. Arterial duplex for diagnosis of peripheral arterial emboli. *J Vasc Surg*. 64(5):1351-1356, 2016 Nov.
16. Crawford JD, Robbins NG, Harry LA, et al. Characterization of tibial velocities by duplex ultrasound in severe peripheral arterial disease and controls. *J Vasc Surg*. 63(3):646-51, 2016 Mar.
17. Shaalan WE, French-Sherry E, Castilla M, Lozanski L, Bassiouny HS. Reliability of common femoral artery hemodynamics in assessing the severity of aortoiliac inflow disease. *J Vasc Surg*. 2003 May;37(5):960-9.
18. Favaretto E, Pili C, Amato A, et al. Analysis of agreement between Duplex ultrasound scanning and arteriography in patients with lower limb artery disease. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)*. 2007 May;8(5):337-41.
19. Fasih T, Rudol G, Ashour H, Mudawi A, Bhattacharya V. Surveillance versus nonsurveillance for femoro-popliteal bypass grafts. *Angiology*. 2004;55(3):251-6.
20. Ferris BL, Mills JL, Sr., Hughes JD, Durrani T, Knox R. Is early postoperative duplex scan surveillance of leg

bypass grafts clinically important? *J Vasc Surg.* 2003;37(3):495-500.

21. Gerhard-Herman M, Gardin JM, Jaff M, et al. Guidelines for noninvasive vascular laboratory testing: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography and the Society of Vascular Medicine and Biology. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2006 Aug;19(8):955-72.
22. Hodgkiss-Harlow KD, Bandyk DF. Ultrasound Assessment During and After Carotid and Peripheral Intervention. In: Pellerito JS, Polak JF, editors. *Introduction to Vascular Ultrasonography*, 6 ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier 2012:307-23.
23. Visser K, Idu MM, Buth J, Engel GL, Hunink MG. Duplex scan surveillance during the first year after infrainguinal autologous vein bypass grafting surgery: costs and clinical outcomes compared with other surveillance programs. *J Vasc Surg.* 2001 Jan;33(1):123-30.
24. Stone PA, Armstrong PA, Bandyk DF, et al. Duplex ultrasound criteria for femorofemoral bypass revision. *J Vasc Surg.* 2006 Sep;44(3):496-502.
25. Abu Dabrh AM, Mohammed K, Farah W, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of duplex ultrasound surveillance for infrainguinal vein bypass grafts. [Review]. *J Vasc Surg.* 66(6):1885-1891.e8, 2017 12.
26. Pellerito J, Polak, JF, . Ultrasound Assessment During and After Carotid and Peripheral Intervention. In: Pellerito JS, Polak JF, editors. *Introduction to Vascular Ultrasonography*, 7 ed. B. D. Polak J, Pellerito J. Philadelphia: Elsevier 2020 Pages 345. Elsevier.
27. Back MR, Novotney M, Roth SM, et al. Utility of duplex surveillance following iliac artery angioplasty and primary stenting. *J Endovasc Ther.* 2001 Dec;8(6):629-37.
28. Uberoi R, Sarker B, Coleman J, Mudawi A, Ashour H. Duplex follow-up of aorto-iliac stents. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2002 Apr;23(4):331-5.
29. Baril DT, Rhee RY, Kim J, Makaroun MS, Chaer RA, Marone LK. Duplex criteria for determination of in-stent stenosis after angioplasty and stenting of the superficial femoral artery. *J Vasc Surg.* 2009 Jan;49(1):133-8; discussion 139.
30. Langenberger H, Schillinger M, Plank C, et al. Agreement of duplex ultrasonography vs. computed tomography angiography for evaluation of native and in-stent SFA re-stenosis--findings from a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012 Sep;81(9):2265-9.
31. Kawarada O, Higashimori A, Noguchi M, et al. Duplex criteria for in-stent restenosis in the superficial femoral artery. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013 Mar;81(4):E199-205.
32. Gornik HL, Creager MA. Aortitis. [Review] [128 refs]. *Circulation.* 117(23):3039-51, 2008 Jun 10.
33. Tatò F, Hoffmann U. Giant cell arteritis: a systemic vascular disease. *Vasc Med.* 2008;13(2):127-40.
34. Lai K-L, Chen H-H, Lan J-L, Chen D-Y. Ultrasonography of Large-Vessel Vasculitides. *Journal of Medical Ultrasound* 2012;20:72-78.
35. Olin JW, Gornik HL, Bacharach JM, et al. Fibromuscular dysplasia: state of the science and critical unanswered questions: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2014 Mar 04;129(9):1048-78.
36. Burke BJ. Ultrasound in the Assessment and Management of Arterial Emergencies. In: Pellerito JS, Polak JF, editors. *Introduction to Vascular Ultrasonography*. 6 ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier 2012:324-39.
37. Paulson EK, Kliewer MA, Hertzberg BS, O'Malley CM, Washington R, Carroll BA. Color Doppler sonography of groin complications following femoral artery catheterization. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 1995 Aug;165(2):439-44.
38. Ascher E, Hingorani A, Markevich N, et al. Role of duplex arteriography as the sole preoperative imaging modality prior to lower extremity revascularization surgery in diabetic and renal patients. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 2004 Jul;18(4):433-9.
39. Avenarius JK, Breek JC, Lampmann LE, van Berge Henegouwen DP, Hamming JF. The additional value of angiography after colour-coded duplex on decision making in patients with critical limb ischaemia. A prospective study. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2002 May;23(5):393-7.
40. Grassbaugh JA, Nelson PR, Rzcudlo EM, et al. Blinded comparison of preoperative duplex ultrasound scanning and contrast arteriography for planning revascularization at the level of the tibia. *J Vasc Surg.* 2003 Jun;37(6):1186-90.
41. Marti X, Romera A, Vila R, Cairols MA. Role of ultrasound arterial mapping in planning therapeutic options for critical ischemia of lower limbs in diabetic patients. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 26(8):1071-6, 2012 Nov.
42. Wain RA, Berdejo GL, Delvalle WN, et al. Can duplex scan arterial mapping replace contrast arteriography as the test of choice before infrainguinal revascularization?. *J Vasc Surg.* 1999 Jan;29(1):100-7; discussion 107-9.
43. Baetz L, Satiani B. Palmar arch identification during evaluation for radial artery harvest. *Vasc Endovascular Surg.* 2011 Apr;45(3):255-7.
44. Rodriguez E, Ormont ML, Lambert EH, et al. The role of preoperative radial artery ultrasound and digital plethysmography prior to coronary artery bypass grafting. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2001 Feb;19(2):135-9.

- 45.** American College of Radiology. ACR-AIUM-SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance of Ultrasound Vessel Mapping Prior to Dialysis Access Creation. Available at <https://gravitas.acr.org/PPTS/GetDocumentView?docId=192+&releaseId=2>
- 46.** Robbin ML, Lockhart ME. Ultrasound Evaluation Before and After Hemodialysis Access. In: Pellerito JS, Polak JF, editors. Introduction to Vascular Ultrasonography. 6 ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier 2012:281-93.
- 47.** American College of Radiology. ACR–SPR–SRU Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Ultrasound Examinations. Available at: <https://gravitas.acr.org/PPTS/GetDocumentView?docId=24+&releaseId=2>
- 48.** ACCF/ACR/AIUM/ASE/ASN/ICAVL/SCAI/SCCT/SIR/SVM/SVS/SVU [corrected] 2012 appropriate use criteria for peripheral vascular ultrasound and physiological testing part I: arterial ultrasound and physiological testing: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation appropriate use criteria task force, American College of Radiology, American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nephrology, Intersocietal Commission for the Accreditation of Vascular Laboratories, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Interventional Radiology, Society for Vascular Medicine, Society for Vascular Surgery, [corrected] and Society for Vascular Ultrasound. [corrected]. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012 Jul 17;60(3):242-76.
- 49.** Kang SS, Labropoulos N, Mansour MA, et al. Expanded indications for ultrasound-guided thrombin injection of pseudoaneurysms. J Vasc Surg. 2000 Feb;31(2):289-98.
- 50.** Feld R, Patton GM, Carabasi RA, Alexander A, Merton D, Needleman L. Treatment of iatrogenic femoral artery injuries with ultrasound-guided compression. J Vasc Surg. 1992 Dec;16(6):832-40.
- 51.** Kang SS, Labropoulos N, Mansour MA, Baker WH. Percutaneous ultrasound guided thrombin injection: a new method for treating postcatheterization femoral pseudoaneurysms. J Vasc Surg. 1998 Jun;27(6):1032-8.
- 52.** Malgor RD, Labropoulos N, Gasparis AP, Landau DS, Tassiopoulos AK. Results of a new human recombinant thrombin for the treatment of arterial pseudoaneurysm. Vasc Endovascular Surg. 2012 Feb;46(2):145-9.
- 53.** Paulson EK, Sheafor DH, Kliwer MA, et al. Treatment of iatrogenic femoral arterial pseudoaneurysms: comparison of US-guided thrombin injection with compression repair. Radiology. 2000 May;215(2):403-8.
- 54.** American College of Radiology. ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings. Available at <https://gravitas.acr.org/PPTS/GetDocumentView?docId=74+&releaseId=2>
- 55.** American College of Radiology. ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Real Time Ultrasound Equipment. Available at <https://gravitas.acr.org/PPTS/GetDocumentView?docId=118+&releaseId=2>
- *Practice parameters and technical standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised or approved by the ACR Council. For practice parameters and technical standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the practice parameter or technical standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.

Development Chronology for this Practice Parameter

1993 (Resolution 7)
Amended 1995 (Resolution 53)
Revised 1997 (Resolution 29)
Revised 2001 (Resolution 35)
Revised 2006 (Resolution 41, 35)
Revised 2010 (Resolution 30)
Revised 2014 (Resolution 26)
Revised 2019 (Resolution 30)
Amended 2019 (Resolution 23)
Amended 2023 (Resolution 2c)
Revised 2025 (Resolution 14)