ACR-ASNR-SPR PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE AND INTERPRETATION OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING (MRI) OF THE BRAIN

The American College of Radiology, with more than 40,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice parameters and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice parameters and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice parameter and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review and approval. The practice parameters and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice parameter and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

This document is an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. Practice Parameters and Technical Standards are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care 1. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology and our collaborating medical specialty societies caution against the use of these documents in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the practitioner considering all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidance in this document, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in this document when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by variables such as the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology after publication of this document. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from the guidance in this document may consider documenting in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves the science, and the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to the guidance in this document will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The purpose of this document is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

1 lowa Medical Society and lowa Society of Anesthesiologists v. Iowa Board of Nursing, 831 N.W.2d 826 (Iowa 2013) lowa Supreme Court refuses to find that the "ACR Technical Standard for Management of the Use of Radiation in Fluoroscopic Procedures (Revised 2008)" sets a national standard for who may perform fluoroscopic procedures in light of the standard's stated purpose that ACR standards are educational tools and not intended to establish a legal standard of care. See also, Stanley v. McCarver, 63 P.3d 1076 (Ariz. App. 2003) where in a concurring opinion the Court stated that "published standards or guidelines of specialty medical organizations are useful in determining the duty owed or the standard of care applicable in a given situation" even though ACR standards themselves do not establish the standard of care.

I. INTRODUCTION

This practice parameter was revised collaboratively by the ACR, the American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), and the Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a proven and well-established imaging modality in the evaluation and assessment of the brain. MRI is the most comprehensive imaging technique available to evaluate the brain *in vivo* because of its high sensitivity in exploiting inherent contrast differences of tissues as a result of variable magnetic relaxation properties and magnetic susceptibilities. It provides detailed anatomic information on congenital or acquired conditions involving the cranium, brain parenchyma, meninges, and subarachnoid spaces, and is often used to further characterize abnormalities (or suspected abnormalities) detected on other imaging tests (eg, CT or sonography). Brain MRI is frequently employed sequentially to monitor progression of disease and effects of treatment. MRI is a rapidly evolving technology, and ongoing technical advancements will continue to improve the diagnosis of brain disorders. This practice parameter outlines the principles for performing high-quality MRI of the brain.

II. INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS

A. Indications

Primary indications for MRI of the brain include the following:

- 1. Headache with neurological findings or suspected brain structural abnormality (see <u>ACR Appropriateness</u> <u>Criteria® Headache</u> [3])
- 2. Acute mental status change, delirium, or new onset psychosis (see <u>ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Mental Status Change, Delirium, and New Onset Psychosis</u> [4])
- 3. Head trauma (diffuse axonal injury, unexplained neurologic deficit, nonaccidental trauma, traumatic brain injury) (see <u>ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Head Trauma</u> [5])
- 4. Cerebrovascular disease: acute or chronic ischemia or infarction, arterial or venous (see <u>ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Cerebrovascular Disease</u> [6])
- 5. Cerebrovascular disease: aneurysm, vascular malformation, and subarachnoid hemorrhage (see <u>ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Cerebrovascular Diseases-Aneurysm, Vascular Malformation, and Subarachnoid Hemorrhage [7])</u>
- 6. MR angiography/arteriography and MR venography may provide more detailed noninvasive vascular information (see the <u>ACR-ASNR-SNIS-SPR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Cervicocerebral Magnetic Resonance Angiography [MRA] [8])</u>
- 7. Hemorrhage (determining age, evaluating chronic, detecting microhemorrhages, hemorrhagic transformation of stroke) [9-11]
- 8. Neoplasm (primary or secondary/metastatic disease) or other mass-like conditions [12-15]
- 9. Evaluation of response to and potential iatrogenic effects of treatment (radiation, chemotherapy) [16-18]
- 10. Infectious, inflammatory, toxic/metabolic, and autoimmune (including demyelinating) disorders [19-27]
- 11. Dementia (see ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Dementia [28])
- 12. Seizures and epilepsy (see ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Seizures and Epilepsy [29])
- 13. Ataxia (see ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Dizziness and Ataxia [30])
- 14. Movement disorders and neurodegenerative disease (see <u>ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Movement</u>
 <u>Disorders and Neurodegenerative Diseases</u> [31])
- 15. Neuroendocrine imaging (hypothalamic/pituitary axis) (see <u>ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Neuroendocrine Imaging</u> [267])
- 16. Cranial neuropathy (see ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Cranial Neuropathy [32])
- 17. Hearing loss and/or vertigo (see ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Hearing Loss and/or Vertigo [33])
- 18. Alterations in cerebrospinal fluid pressure (spontaneous intracranial hypotension, idiopathic intracranial hypertension, hydrocephalus) [34-36]
- 19. Congenital anatomic and developmental abnormalities [37-44]
- 20. To further characterize abnormalities (or suspected abnormalities) detected on other imaging tests (eg, CT or sonography)
- 21. Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy

Extended indications for brain MRI include techniques that provide additional real-time, dynamic, or quantitative information that assists in therapeutic guidance or clinical decision making.

- 1. Image guidance for surgical or interventional treatment planning [82-86] (see the <u>ACR-ASNR Practice</u>

 <u>Parameter for the Performance of Non-Breast Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Guided Procedures [87])</u>
- 2. Cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) flow, blood flow, and brain perfusion [34,35,53,88-92]
- 3. Spectroscopy [,<u>50,89</u>,<u>93-96</u>]
- 4. Functional imaging [82,97-100]
- 5. Volumetry [40,44,101]
- 6. Morphometry [79,80,102]
- 7. Diffusion tensor imaging/diffusion kurtosis/tractography [65,103-108]
- 8. Combination with positron emission tomography [109-111]
- 9. Radiogenomics [112]
- 10. Radiomics [113]

II. INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS

B. Contraindications

All patients should be screened for potential contraindications before MRI scanning (see the <u>ACR Practice</u> <u>Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [114]</u>).

II. INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS

C. Safety

See the <u>ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)</u>, the <u>ACR Manual on Contrast Media</u>, and the ACR Manual on MR Safety [114-116].

Peer-reviewed literature pertaining to MR safety should be reviewed on a regular basis.

III. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

See the ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [114].

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

The written or electronic request for MRI of the brain should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for its proper performance and interpretation.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). Additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination or a provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical problem or question and consistent with the state scope of practice requirements. (ACR Resolution 35, adopted in 2006 – revised in 2016, Resolution 12-b)

The supervising physician must have complete understanding of the indications, risks, and benefits of the examination, as well as alternative imaging procedures [116-119]. The physician must be familiar with potential hazards associated with MRI, including conditional, legacy, or unsafe implants; foreign bodies; and potential adverse reactions to contrast media. The physician should be familiar with relevant ancillary studies that the patient may have undergone. (See the ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings

[120]). The physician performing MRI interpretation must have a clear understanding and knowledge of the anatomy and pathophysiology relevant to the MRI examination.

The clinical request form should be initiated by the referring physician or any appropriate allied healthcare professional acting within their scope of practice. It should contain pertinent information regarding the clinical indication for the procedure.

The supervising physician must also understand the pulse sequences to be used and their effect on the appearance of the images, including the potential generation of image artifacts. Standard imaging protocols may be established and varied on a case-by-case basis when necessary. These protocols should be reviewed and updated periodically.

A. Patient Selection

The physician responsible for the examination should supervise patient selection and preparation and be available in person or by phone for consultation. Patients and all other persons entering the MRI safety zone (employees and nonemployees) must be screened and interviewed (when their condition permits) before the examination to exclude individuals who may be at risk by exposure to the MRI environment.

Certain indications require administration of intravenous (IV) contrast media. IV contrast enhancement should be performed using appropriate injection protocols and in accordance with the institution's policy on IV contrast utilization. Patients receiving IV gadolinium chelates should be evaluated for risk factors or contraindications to IV MRI contrast media, especially the potential risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis [121]. (See the ACR—SPR Practice Parameter for the Use of Intravascular Contrast Media [122], the ACR Manual on Contrast Media [115], the ACR Manual on MR Safety [116], and the ACR website.)

Patients suffering from anxiety or claustrophobia may require sedation or additional assistance. Administration of anxiolytics or moderate sedation may be needed to achieve a successful examination. If moderate sedation is necessary, refer to the <u>ACR–SIR Practice Parameter for Minimal and/or Moderate Sedation/Analgesia</u> [123]. For pediatric patients, support from child life specialists can be beneficial and may avoid sedation in some cases.

B. Facility Requirements

The facility must be adequately staffed with appropriately trained personnel as per the <u>ACR Manual on MR Safety</u> [124].

Appropriate emergency equipment and medications must be immediately available to treat adverse reactions associated with administered medications. The equipment and medications should be monitored for inventory and drug expiration dates on a regular basis. The equipment, medications, and other emergency support must also be appropriate for the range of ages and sizes in the patient population.

C. Examination Technique

MRI examination of the brain can be performed on closed and open MRI systems of various field strengths using a local surface coil (head coil) and a wide array of pulse sequences [,,27,35,37,39,42,43,,50,52,64,72,89,98,,125-146]. In less usual circumstances, when there is a need to minimize patient radiofrequency exposure and reduce the specific absorption rate while scanning patients with various devices, examinations of the brain may be performed with transmit/receive coils or receive-only coils [268].

This is a rapidly evolving field, and the appropriate pulse sequences and plane of imaging must be individualized and tailored to the clinical question at hand with the available imaging equipment and software. A typical imaging protocol for MRI of the brain includes sagittal T1-weighted (or a 3-D T1-weighted volumetric acquisition), axial fast spin-echo or turbo spin-echo (or equivalent) T2-weighted and axial T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images, and diffusion weighted images..

Under certain clinical circumstances in which patient motion may be unavoidable (uncooperative or pediatric patients), very rapid acquisitions, such as echo planar imaging or single-shot fast spin-echo imaging, can be performed to obtain T2 information. Diffusion weighted imaging is essential for many indications, particularly in the assessment of infarction, abscess, dermoid/epidermoid, active demyelination, and hypercellular neoplasm [26,125,147-153]. Inclusion of gradient recall echo or susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI) markedly improves the detection/assessment of calcifications, microhemorrhages, and intravascular thrombosis. The entire brain should be covered in multiple imaging planes. (See the ACR MRI Accreditation webpage [154]).

The repetition time (TR) and echo time required to optimize image quality depend on the field strength of the magnet and therefore need adjustment from magnet to magnet. For example, lower-field-strength magnets may require lower TRs, whereas higher-field-strength magnets may require longer TRs for image optimization.

Slice thickness, spatial resolution, signal-to-noise ratio, acquisition time, and contrast are all interrelated. To optimize spatial resolution, imaging of the brain should be performed with a slice thickness of no greater than 5 mm and an interslice gap of no greater than 2.5 mm [155]. Thinner slices (<5 mm) and smaller interslice gaps (<2.5 mm) or interleaved images without a slice gap provide superior image detail if clinical circumstances warrant.

Gadolinium chelates may be administered by IV when there is suspicion of a breakdown of the blood-brain barrier. Recently, controversy has arisen regarding reports of gadolinium deposition in tissues, and questions have been raised about the safety of these chelates [160,161]. The clinical significance of tissue deposition remains unknown, but most experts believe that gadolinium chelates are safe. However, any contrast agent should only be administered under the supervision of a physician when clinically indicated [160]. Alternatives to gadolinium chelates might also be contemplated in the appropriate setting [162]. Postcontrast images, when indicated, should be obtained preferably in two or more orthogonal planes. (Alternatively, one postcontrast series could be obtained using a T1-weighted 3-D volumetric acquisition and reconstructed in orthogonal planes). Postcontrast FLAIR images may add value in the assessment of meningeal disease [163]. (Please see the ACR Manual on Contrast Media [115].)

With the advent of high-performance gradient coil assemblies, amplifiers, and other technical enhancements, advanced imaging applications are also an option with the appropriate hardware and software. Improvements in the receiver and data acquisition systems also allow for more rapid imaging. Higher-field-strength MR (eg, 3T and 7T) may provide added utility in some clinical situations [75,164-170]. Although a detailed discussion of all the evolving advanced imaging techniques is beyond the scope of this practice parameter, it should be noted that rapid pulse sequences and other advanced imaging techniques may provide added value for MRI of the brain [171]. These can include, but are not limited to, echo planar imaging [172], parallel imaging [43,126,134,173,174], compressed sensing [175,176], diffusion-tensor imaging [39,65,104,105,107,126,138,151,177-180], rapid gradient echo pulse sequences (capable of providing T1 or T2 information and enabling 3-D acquisitions) [181], SWI [182-188], functional imaging [177,189-204], perfusion imaging [205-212], chemical exchange saturation transfer [213-216], volumetric imaging [35,217-221], morphometric imaging [222-232], magnetic source imaging [233], and other quantitative applications [151,234-244].

Certain clinical circumstances may warrant the use of proton MR spectroscopy [93-95,245-251] as an adjunct to routine MR brain imaging. (See the <u>ACR-ASNR-SPR Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy of the Central Nervous System</u> [252].) Additional techniques that may be useful under the appropriate clinical circumstances include 3-D imaging techniques [253-256], neuronavigation, and intraoperative MRI [83,,139,257], magnetization transfer imaging [258-262], CSF flow study using phase-contrast pulse sequences [263], and variations of single-shot fast spinecho or turbo spin-echo imaging.

It is the responsibility of the supervising physician to determine whether additional pulse sequences or nonconventional pulse sequences and imaging techniques confer added benefit for the diagnosis and management of the patient. Generally, MRI examination of the brain should be performed within parameters approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Examinations that use techniques not approved by the FDA can be considered when they are judged to be medically appropriate.

Reporting should be in accordance with the <u>ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings</u> [120].

VI. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

Equipment monitoring should be in accordance with the <u>ACR-AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical</u> Physics Performance Monitoring of Magnetic Resonance (MR) Imaging Equipment [264].

The MRI equipment specifications and performance must meet all state and federal requirements. The requirements include, but are not limited to, specifications of maximum static magnetic strength, maximum rate of change of magnetic field strength (dB/dt), maximum radiofrequency power deposition (specific absorption rate), and maximum acoustic noise levels [265,266].

Specific policies and procedures related to MRI safety should be in place with documentation that is updated annually and compiled under the supervision and direction of the supervising MRI physician. Guidelines should be provided that deal with potential hazards associated with the MRI examination of the patient as well as to others in the immediate area. Screening forms must also be provided to detect those patients who may be at risk for adverse events associated with the MRI examination.

VII. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing under the heading *Position Statement on QC & Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education* on the ACR website (https://www.acr.org/Advocacy-and-Economics/ACR-Position-Statements/Quality-Control-and-Improvement).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This parameter was revised according to the process described under the heading *The Process for Developing ACR Practice Parameters and Technical Standards* on the ACR website (https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Practice-Parameters-and-Technical-Standards) by the Committee on Practice Parameters — Neuroradiology of the Commission on Neuroradiology and the Committee on Practice Parameters — Pediatric Radiology of the ACR Commissions on Pediatric Radiology in collaboration with the ASNR and the SPR.

Writing Committee – members represent their societies in the initial and final revision of this practice parameter

ACR Hutchins, Troy A MD, Chair Maloney, John A MD Srinivasan, Ashok MD ASNR
Becker, Jennifer L MD
Gusman, Mariya MD
Lee, Thomas MD
Mazaheri, Parisa MD

<u>SPR</u>

Nagaraj, Usha MD Wilson, Nagwa MD, PhD

<u>Committee on Practice Parameters – Neuroradiology</u>

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Shah, Lubdha M MD, Chair

Amrhein, Timothy J MD

Becker, Jennifer L MD

Chiang, Gloria C MD

Aiken, Ashley H MD

Austin, Matthew J MD

Chaudhry, Umar S MD

Hutchins, Troy A MD

Isikbay, Masis BS, MD Riley, Kalen MD Ormsby, Jacob MBA, MD Sio, Terence Tai-Weng MD, MS

Committee on Practice Parameters and Technical Standards

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Newell, Mary S MD, Chair

Caplin, Drew M MD

Committee on Practice Parameters – Pediatric Imaging

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Levin, Terry L MD, Chair

Amodio, John B MD

Betz, Bradford W MD

Blumfield, Einat MD

Collard, Michael MD

Goldman-Yassen, Adam MD

Lai, Hollie A MD

Lala, Shailee V MD Lasiecka, Zofia M MD, PhD

Laufer, Adina MD

Maloney, John A MD

Shah, Summit MD

Li, Arleen MD

Noda, Sakura MD

Trout, Andrew T MD

Vatsky, Seth DO

Barth, Richard MD, Chair, Commission on Pediatric Radiology Jordan, John E MD, Chair, Commission on Neuroradiology Larson, David B MBA, MD, Chair, Commission on Quality and Safety

Comments Reconciliation Committee

Kagetsu, Nolan MD - CSC, Chair Lee, Ryan K MBA, MD - CSC, Co-Chair

Amodio, John B MD

Becker, Jennifer L MD

Crummy, Timothy MD, MHA - CSC

Hutchins, Troy A MD

Larson, David B MBA, MD

Levin, Terry L MD

Mazaheri, Parisa MD

Barth, Richard MD

Caplin, Drew M MD

Gusman, Mariya MD

Jordan, John E MD

Lee, Thomas MD

Maloney, John A MD

Nagaraj, Usha MD

Newell, Mary S MD Schoppe, Kurt MD - CSC Shah, Lubdha M MD Srinivasan, Ashok MD

REFERENCES

Wilson, Nagwa MD, PhD

- 1. Dowling MM, Noetzel MJ, Rodeghier MJ, et al. Headache and migraine in children with sickle cell disease are associated with lower hemoglobin and higher pain event rates but not silent cerebral infarction. The Journal of pediatrics 2014;164:1175-80 e1.
- 2. Ozge A, Termine C, Antonaci F, Natriashvili S, Guidetti V, Wober-Bingol C. Overview of diagnosis and management of paediatric headache. Part I: diagnosis. The journal of headache and pain 2011;12:13-23.
- 3. Douglas AC, Wippold FJ, 2nd, Broderick DF, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Headache. J Am Coll Radiol 2014;11:657-67.
- 4. Luttrull MD, Boulter DJ, Kirsch CFE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(*) Acute Mental Status Change, Delirium, and New Onset Psychosis. J Am Coll Radiol 2019;16:S26-s37.

- 5. Shih RY, Burns J, Ajam AA, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Head Trauma: 2021 Update. J Am Coll Radiol 2021;18:S13-s36.
- 6. Salmela MB, Mortazavi S, Jagadeesan BD, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Cerebrovascular Disease. J Am Coll Radiol 2017;14:S34-s61.
- 7. Ledbetter LN, Burns J, Shih RY, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Cerebrovascular Diseases-Aneurysm, Vascular Malformation, and Subarachnoid Hemorrhage. J Am Coll Radiol 2021;18:S283-s304.
- 8. American College of Radiology. ACR–ASNR–SNIS–SPR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Cervicocerebral Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA). Available at: https://www.acr.org/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/cervicocerebralmra.pdf?la=en. Accessed January 30, 2023.
- 9. Burgess RE, Kidwell CS. Use of MRI in the assessment of patients with stroke. Current neurology and neuroscience reports 2011;11:28-34.
- 10. Vernooij MW, van der Lugt A, Ikram MA, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of cerebral microbleeds: the Rotterdam Scan Study. Neurology 2008;70:1208-14.
- 11. Kidwell CS, Chalela JA, Saver JL, et al. Comparison of MRI and CT for detection of acute intracerebral hemorrhage. JAMA: the journal of the American Medical Association 2004;292:1823-30.
- 12. Cha S. Update on brain tumor imaging: from anatomy to physiology. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2006;27:475-87.
- 13. Fukui MB, Meltzer CC, Kanal E, Smirniotopoulos JG. MR imaging of the meninges. Part II. Neoplastic disease. Radiology 1996;201:605-12.
- 14. Hanssens P, Karlsson B, Yeo TT, Chou N, Beute G. Detection of brain micrometastases by high-resolution stereotactic magnetic resonance imaging and its impact on the timing of and risk for distant recurrences. Journal of neurosurgery 2011;115:499-504.
- 15. Nguyen TB, Cron GO, Bezzina K, et al. Correlation of Tumor Immunohistochemistry with Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced and DSC-MRI Parameters in Patients with Gliomas. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2016;37:2217-23.
- 16. Hill KL, Jr., Lipson AC, Sheehan JM. Brain magnetic resonance imaging changes after sorafenib and sunitinib chemotherapy in patients with advanced renal cell and breast carcinoma. Journal of neurosurgery 2009;111:497-503.
- 17. Norden AD, Young GS, Setayesh K, et al. Bevacizumab for recurrent malignant gliomas: efficacy, toxicity, and patterns of recurrence. Neurology 2008;70:779-87.
- 18. Rush S, Elliott RE, Morsi A, et al. Incidence, timing, and treatment of new brain metastases after Gamma Knife surgery for limited brain disease: the case for reducing the use of whole-brain radiation therapy. Journal of neurosurgery 2011;115:37-48.
- 19. Tan ZS, Beiser AS, Fox CS, et al. Association of metabolic dysregulation with volumetric brain magnetic resonance imaging and cognitive markers of subclinical brain aging in middle-aged adults: the Framingham Offspring Study. Diabetes care 2011;34:1766-70.
- 20. Zuccoli G, Siddiqui N, Bailey A, Bartoletti SC. Neuroimaging findings in pediatric Wernicke encephalopathy: a review. Neuroradiology 2010;52:523-9.
- 21. Bartynski WS. Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome, part 1: fundamental imaging and clinical features. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2008;29:1036-42.
- 22. Ge Y. Multiple sclerosis: the role of MR imaging. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2006;27:1165-76
- 23. Noujaim SE, Rossi MD, Rao SK, et al. CT and MR imaging of neurocysticercosis. AJR. American journal of roentgenology 1999;173:1485-90.
- 24. Tsuchiya K, Inaoka S, Mizutani Y, Hachiya J. Fast fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery MR of intracranial infections. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 1997;18:909-13.
- 25. Moonis G, Subramaniam RM, Trofimova A, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Dementia. J Am Coll Radiol 2020;17:S100-s12.
- 26. Lee RK, Burns J, Ajam AA, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Seizures and Epilepsy. J Am Coll Radiol 2020;17:S293-s304.
- 27. Juliano AF, Policeni B, Agarwal V, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(*) Ataxia. J Am Coll Radiol 2019:16:S44-s56.
- 28. Harvey HB, Watson LC, Subramaniam RM, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Movement Disorders and Neurodegenerative Diseases. J Am Coll Radiol 2020;17:S175-s87.

- 29. Rath TJ, Policeni B, Juliano AF, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Cranial Neuropathy: 2022 Update. J Am Coll Radiol 2022;19:S266-s303.
- 30. Sharma A, Kirsch CFE, Aulino JM, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(*) Hearing Loss and/or Vertigo. J Am Coll Radiol 2018;15:S321-s31.
- 31. Algin O, Turkbey B. Evaluation of Aqueductal Stenosis by 3D Sampling Perfection with Application-Optimized Contrasts Using Different Flip Angle Evolutions Sequence: Preliminary Results with 3T MR Imaging. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2011.
- 32. Bradley WG, Safar FG, Furtado C, Ord J, Alksne JF. Increased intracranial volume: a clue to the etiology of idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus? AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2004;25:1479-84.
- 33. Dobrocky T, Grunder L, Breiding PS, et al. Assessing Spinal Cerebrospinal Fluid Leaks in Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension With a Scoring System Based on Brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging Findings. JAMA neurology 2019;76:580-87.
- 34. Griffiths PD, Russell SA, Mason G, Morris J, Fanou E, Reeves MJ. The use of in utero MR imaging to delineate developmental brain abnormalities in multifetal pregnancies. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2012;33:359-65.
- 35. Kim MJ, Provenzale JM, Law M. Magnetic resonance and diffusion tensor imaging in pediatric white matter diseases. Topics in magnetic resonance imaging: TMRI 2006;17:265-74.
- 36. Au R, Massaro JM, Wolf PA, et al. Association of white matter hyperintensity volume with decreased cognitive functioning: the Framingham Heart Study. Archives of neurology 2006;63:246-50.
- 37. Ayrignac X, Nicolas G, Carra-Dalliere C, Hannequin D, Labauge P. Brain Calcifications in Adult-Onset Genetic Leukoencephalopathies: A Review. JAMA neurology 2017;74:1000-08.
- 38. Vymazal J, Righini A, Brooks RA, et al. T1 and T2 in the brain of healthy subjects, patients with Parkinson disease, and patients with multiple system atrophy: relation to iron content. Radiology 1999;211:489-95.
- 39. Stahl R, Dietrich O, Teipel SJ, Hampel H, Reiser MF, Schoenberg SO. White matter damage in Alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment: assessment with diffusion-tensor MR imaging and parallel imaging techniques. Radiology 2007;243:483-92.
- 40. Smith EE, Egorova S, Blacker D, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging white matter hyperintensities and brain volume in the prediction of mild cognitive impairment and dementia. Archives of neurology 2008;65:94-100.
- 41. Dillon WP. Tumors in and adjacent to the brain. Current opinion in neurology and neurosurgery 1990;3:864-
- 42. Gonzalez RG. Imaging-guided acute ischemic stroke therapy: From "time is brain" to "physiology is brain". AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2006;27:728-35.
- 43. Parsons MW, Li T, Barber PA, et al. Combined (1)H MR spectroscopy and diffusion-weighted MRI improves the prediction of stroke outcome. Neurology 2000;55:498-505.
- 44. Srinivasan A, Goyal M, Al Azri F, Lum C. State-of-the-art imaging of acute stroke. Radiographics: a review publication of the Radiological Society of North America, Inc 2006;26 Suppl 1:S75-95.
- 45. Catanese L, Tarsia J, Fisher M. Acute Ischemic Stroke Therapy Overview. Circulation research 2017;120:541-58.
- 46. Charidimou A, Karayiannis C, Song TJ, et al. Brain microbleeds, anticoagulation, and hemorrhage risk: Meta-analysis in stroke patients with AF. Neurology 2017;89:2317-26.
- 47. Mandell DM, Mossa-Basha M, Qiao Y, et al. Intracranial Vessel Wall MRI: Principles and Expert Consensus Recommendations of the American Society of Neuroradiology. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2017;38:218-29.
- 48. Abe T, Singer RJ, Marks MP, Norbash AM, Crowley RS, Steinberg GK. Coexistence of occult vascular malformations and developmental venous anomalies in the central nervous system: MR evaluation. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 1998;19:51-7.
- 49. Martin AJ, Hetts SW, Dillon WP, et al. MR imaging of partially thrombosed cerebral aneurysms: characteristics and evolution. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2011;32:346-51.
- 50. Wagner BJ, Richardson KJ, Moran AM, Carrier DA. Intracranial vascular malformations. Seminars in ultrasound, CT, and MR 1995;16:253-68.
- 51. Wang WC, Gallagher DM, Pegelow CH, et al. Multicenter comparison of magnetic resonance imaging and transcranial Doppler ultrasonography in the evaluation of the central nervous system in children with sickle cell disease. Journal of pediatric hematology/oncology 2000;22:335-9.

- 52. Barkovich AJ. The encephalopathic neonate: choosing the proper imaging technique. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 1997;18:1816-20.
- 53. Mukherjee P, McKinstry RC. Diffusion tensor imaging and tractography of human brain development. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2006;16:19-43, vii.
- 54. Barkovich AJ. Malformations of neocortical development: magnetic resonance imaging correlates. Current opinion in neurology 1996;9:118-21.
- 55. Ashwal S, Wycliffe ND, Holshouser BA. Advanced neuroimaging in children with nonaccidental trauma. Developmental neuroscience 2010;32:343-60.
- 56. Barnes PD. Imaging of nonaccidental injury and the mimics: issues and controversies in the era of evidence-based medicine. Radiologic clinics of North America 2011;49:205-29.
- 57. Kou Z, Wu Z, Tong KA, et al. The role of advanced MR imaging findings as biomarkers of traumatic brain injury. The Journal of head trauma rehabilitation 2010;25:267-82.
- 58. Kubal WS. Updated imaging of traumatic brain injury. Radiologic clinics of North America 2012;50:15-41.
- 59. Chong BW, Kucharczyk W, Singer W, George S. Pituitary gland MR: a comparative study of healthy volunteers and patients with microadenomas. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 1994;15:675-9.
- 60. Famini P, Maya MM, Melmed S. Pituitary magnetic resonance imaging for sellar and parasellar masses: tenyear experience in 2598 patients. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2011;96:1633-41.
- 61. Nagae-Poetscher LM, Jiang H, Wakana S, Golay X, van Zijl PC, Mori S. High-resolution diffusion tensor imaging of the brain stem at 3 T. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2004;25:1325-30.
- 62. Craven I, Griffiths PD, Hoggard N. Magnetic resonance imaging of epilepsy at 3 Tesla. Clinical radiology 2011;66:278-86.
- 63. Lee JW, Wen PY, Hurwitz S, et al. Morphological characteristics of brain tumors causing seizures. Archives of neurology 2010;67:336-42.
- 64. Moore KR, Funke ME, Constantino T, Katzman GL, Lewine JD. Magnetoencephalographically directed review of high-spatial-resolution surface-coil MR images improves lesion detection in patients with extratemporal epilepsy. Radiology 2002;225:880-7.
- 65. Sitoh YY, Tien RD. Neuroimaging in epilepsy. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI 1998;8:277-88.
- 66. Wagner J, Weber B, Urbach H, Elger CE, Huppertz HJ. Morphometric MRI analysis improves detection of focal cortical dysplasia type II. Brain: a journal of neurology 2011;134:2844-54.
- 67. Mietchen D, Gaser C. Computational morphometry for detecting changes in brain structure due to development, aging, learning, disease and evolution. Frontiers in neuroinformatics 2009;3:25.
- 68. Wang YX, King AD, Zhou H, et al. Evolution of radiation-induced brain injury: MR imaging-based study. Radiology 2010;254:210-8.
- 69. Amiez C, Kostopoulos P, Champod AS, et al. Preoperative functional magnetic resonance imaging assessment of higher-order cognitive function in patients undergoing surgery for brain tumors. Journal of neurosurgery 2008;108:258-68.
- 70. Nimsky C, Ganslandt O, Von Keller B, Romstock J, Fahlbusch R. Intraoperative high-field-strength MR imaging: implementation and experience in 200 patients. Radiology 2004;233:67-78.
- 71. Pamir MN, Ozduman K, Dincer A, Yildiz E, Peker S, Ozek MM. First intraoperative, shared-resource, ultrahigh-field 3-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging system and its application in low-grade glioma resection. Journal of neurosurgery 2010;112:57-69.
- 72. Miller BA, Salehi A, Limbrick DD, Jr., Smyth MD. Applications of a robotic stereotactic arm for pediatric epilepsy and neurooncology surgery. Journal of neurosurgery. Pediatrics 2017;20:364-70.
- 73. Roland JL, Griffin N, Hacker CD, et al. Resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging for surgical planning in pediatric patients: a preliminary experience. Journal of neurosurgery. Pediatrics 2017;20:583-90.
- 74. American College of Radiology. ACR—ASNR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Non-Breast Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Guided Procedures. Available at: https://www.acr.org/- /media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Guided-NonBreast.pdf. Accessed January 30, 2023.
- 75. Barajas RF, Jr., Chang JS, Segal MR, et al. Differentiation of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme from radiation necrosis after external beam radiation therapy with dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced perfusion MR imaging. Radiology 2009;253:486-96.
- 76. Poussaint TY, Rodriguez D. Advanced neuroimaging of pediatric brain tumors: MR diffusion, MR perfusion, and MR spectroscopy. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2006;16:169-92, ix.

- 77. Boxerman JL, Ellingson BM, Jeyapalan S, et al. Longitudinal DSC-MRI for Distinguishing Tumor Recurrence From Pseudoprogression in Patients With a High-grade Glioma. American journal of clinical oncology 2017;40:228-34.
- 78. Haller S, Zaharchuk G, Thomas DL, Lovblad KO, Barkhof F, Golay X. Arterial Spin Labeling Perfusion of the Brain: Emerging Clinical Applications. Radiology 2016;281:337-56.
- 79. Lanzman B, Heit JJ. Advanced MRI Measures of Cerebral Perfusion and Their Clinical Applications. Topics in magnetic resonance imaging: TMRI 2017;26:83-90.
- 80. Castillo M, Kwock L, Scatliff J, Mukherji SK. Proton MR spectroscopy in neoplastic and non-neoplastic brain disorders. Magnetic resonance imaging clinics of North America 1998;6:1-20.
- 81. Hollingworth W, Medina LS, Lenkinski RE, et al. A systematic literature review of magnetic resonance spectroscopy for the characterization of brain tumors. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2006;27:1404-11.
- 82. Bizzi A, Blasi V, Falini A, et al. Presurgical functional MR imaging of language and motor functions: validation with intraoperative electrocortical mapping. Radiology 2008;248:579-89.
- 83. Buckner RL. Event-related fMRI and the hemodynamic response. Human brain mapping 1998;6:373-7.
- 84. Turner R, Howseman A, Rees GE, Josephs O, Friston K. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of the human brain: data acquisition and analysis. Experimental brain research. Experimentelle Hirnforschung. Experimentation cerebrale 1998;123:5-12.
- 85. Seshadri S, Wolf PA, Beiser A, et al. Stroke risk profile, brain volume, and cognitive function: the Framingham Offspring Study. Neurology 2004;63:1591-9.
- 86. Greenwood RS, Tupler LA, Whitt JK, et al. Brain morphometry, T2-weighted hyperintensities, and IQ in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. Archives of neurology 2005;62:1904-8.
- 87. Ellmore TM, Beauchamp MS, O'Neill TJ, Dreyer S, Tandon N. Relationships between essential cortical language sites and subcortical pathways. Journal of neurosurgery 2009;111:755-66.
- 88. Leclercq D, Duffau H, Delmaire C, et al. Comparison of diffusion tensor imaging tractography of language tracts and intraoperative subcortical stimulations. Journal of neurosurgery 2010;112:503-11.
- 89. Peng H, Arfanakis K. Diffusion tensor encoding schemes optimized for white matter fibers with selected orientations. Magnetic resonance imaging 2007;25:147-53.
- 90. Marrale M, Collura G, Brai M, et al. Physics, Techniques and Review of Neuroradiological Applications of Diffusion Kurtosis Imaging (DKI). Clinical neuroradiology 2016;26:391-403.
- 91. Shizukuishi T, Abe O, Aoki S. Diffusion tensor imaging analysis for psychiatric disorders. Magnetic resonance in medical sciences: MRMS: an official journal of Japan Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 2013;12:153-9.
- 92. Delso G, Furst S, Jakoby B, et al. Performance measurements of the Siemens mMR integrated whole-body PET/MR scanner. Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine 2011;52:1914-22.
- 93. Schmidt GP, Kramer H, Reiser MF, Glaser C. Whole-body magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography-computed tomography in oncology. Topics in magnetic resonance imaging: TMRI 2007;18:193-202.
- 94. Zaidi H, Ojha N, Morich M, et al. Design and performance evaluation of a whole-body Ingenuity TF PET-MRI system. Physics in medicine and biology 2011;56:3091-106.
- 95. Smits M, van den Bent MJ. Imaging Correlates of Adult Glioma Genotypes. Radiology 2017;284:316-31.
- 96. Zhou M, Scott J, Chaudhury B, et al. Radiomics in Brain Tumor: Image Assessment, Quantitative Feature Descriptors, and Machine-Learning Approaches. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2018;39:208-16.
- 97. American College of Radiology. ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Available at: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Perf-Interpret.pdf. Accessed January 30, 2023.
- 98. American College of Radiology. ACR Manual on Contrast Media. Available at: https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Contrast-Manual. Accessed January 30, 2023.
- 99. American College of Radiology. ACR Committee on MR Safety. 2024 ACR Manual on MR Safety. Available at: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Radiology-Safety/MR-Safety/Manual-on-MR-Safety.pdf.
- 100. Seidenwurm DJ, McDonnell CH, 3rd, Raghavan N, Breslau J. Cost utility analysis of radiographic screening for an orbital foreign body before MR imaging. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2000;21:426-33.
- 101. Shellock FG. Reference Manual for Magnetic Resonance Safety. Implants and Devices: 2012 Edition. Los

- Angeles, Calif: Biomedical Research Publishing Company; 2012.
- 102. Shellock FG, Crues JV. MR procedures: biologic effects, safety, and patient care. Radiology 2004;232:635-52.
- 103. American College of Radiology. ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings. Available at: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CommunicationDiag.pdf. Accessed January 30, 2023.
- 104. Grobner T. Gadolinium--a specific trigger for the development of nephrogenic fibrosing dermopathy and nephrogenic systemic fibrosis? Nephrology, dialysis, transplantation: official publication of the European Dialysis and Transplant Association European Renal Association 2006;21:1104-8.
- 105. American College of Radiology. ACR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Use of Intravascular Contrast Media Available at: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/IVCM.pdf?la=en. Accessed January 30, 2023.
- 106. American College of Radiology. ACR–SIR Practice Parameter for Minimal and/or Moderate Sedation/Analgesia. Available at: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Sed-Analgesia.pdf. Accessed January 30, 2023.
- 107. American College of Radiology. ACR Manual on MR Safety. Available at: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Radiology-Safety/MR-Safety/Manual-on-MR-Safety.pdf. Accessed July 1, 2023.
- 108. Albers GW, Lansberg MG, Norbash AM, et al. Yield of diffusion-weighted MRI for detection of potentially relevant findings in stroke patients. Neurology 2000;54:1562-7.
- 109. Alexander AL, Lee JE, Wu YC, Field AS. Comparison of diffusion tensor imaging measurements at 3.0 T versus 1.5 T with and without parallel imaging. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2006;16:299-309, xi.
- 110. Greenspan SL, Mathews VP, Caldemeyer KS, Patel MR. FLAIR and HASTE imaging in neurologic diseases. Magnetic resonance imaging clinics of North America 1998;6:53-65.
- 111. Kates R, Atkinson D, Brant-Zawadzki M. Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR): clinical prospectus of current and future applications. Topics in magnetic resonance imaging: TMRI 1996;8:389-96.
- 112. Larkman DJ, Nunes RG. Parallel magnetic resonance imaging. Physics in medicine and biology 2007;52:R15-55.
- 113. Meltzer CC, Fukui MB, Kanal E, Smirniotopoulos JG. MR imaging of the meninges. Part I. Normal anatomic features and nonneoplastic disease. Radiology 1996;201:297-308.
- 114. Mukherjee P, Chung SW, Berman JI, Hess CP, Henry RG. Diffusion tensor MR imaging and fiber tractography: technical considerations. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2008;29:843-52.
- 115. Schwartz RB, Hsu L, Wong TZ, et al. Intraoperative MR imaging guidance for intracranial neurosurgery: experience with the first 200 cases. Radiology 1999;211:477-88.
- 116. Tyler DJ, Robson MD, Henkelman RM, Young IR, Bydder GM. Magnetic resonance imaging with ultrashort TE (UTE) PULSE sequences: technical considerations. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI 2007;25:279-89.
- 117. Dmytriw AA, Sawlani V, Shankar J. Diffusion-Weighted Imaging of the Brain: Beyond Stroke. Canadian Association of Radiologists journal = Journal l'Association canadienne des radiologistes 2017;68:131-46.
- 118. Ho ML, Campeau NG, Ngo TD, Udayasankar UK, Welker KM. Pediatric brain MRI part 1: basic techniques. Pediatric radiology 2017;47:534-43.
- 119. Ho ML, Campeau NG, Ngo TD, Udayasankar UK, Welker KM. Pediatric brain MRI, Part 2: Advanced techniques. Pediatric radiology 2017;47:544-55.
- 120. Mavroidis P, Giankou E, Tsikrika A, et al. Brain imaging: Comparison of T1W FLAIR BLADE with conventional T1W SE. Magnetic resonance imaging 2017;37:234-42.
- 121. Celsa Hygino da Cruz L. *Clinical Applications of Diffusion Imaging of the Brain, An Issue of Neuroimaging Clinics* Saunders; 2011.
- 122. Della Nave R, Foresti S, Pratesi A, et al. Whole-brain histogram and voxel-based analyses of diffusion tensor imaging in patients with leukoaraiosis: correlation with motor and cognitive impairment. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2007;28:1313-9.
- 123. Gray L, MacFall J. Overview of diffusion imaging. Magnetic resonance imaging clinics of North America 1998;6:125-38.
- 124. Kranz PG, Eastwood JD. Does diffusion-weighted imaging represent the ischemic core? An evidence-based systematic review. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2009;30:1206-12.
- 125. Seppi K, Poewe W. Brain magnetic resonance imaging techniques in the diagnosis of parkinsonian syndromes. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2010;20:29-55.

- 126. Stricker NH, Schweinsburg BC, Delano-Wood L, et al. Decreased white matter integrity in late-myelinating fiber pathways in Alzheimer's disease supports retrogenesis. NeuroImage 2009;45:10-6.
- 127. Wang S, Kim S, Chawla S, et al. Differentiation between glioblastomas, solitary brain metastases, and primary cerebral lymphomas using diffusion tensor and dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced MR imaging. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2011;32:507-14.
- 128. American College of Radiology. MRI Accreditation. Available at: https://www.acraccreditation.org/modalities/mri. Accessed July 1, 2023.
- 129. American College of Radiology. MRI Exam-Specific Parameters: Head and Neck Module (Revised 4-6-2022). Available at: https://accreditationsupport.acr.org/support/solutions/articles/11000061019-mri-exam-specific-parameters-head-and-neck-module-revised-4-6-2022- Accessed July 1, 2023.
- 130. Knauth M, Forsting M, Hartmann M, Heiland S, Balzer T, Sartor K. MR enhancement of brain lesions: increased contrast dose compared with magnetization transfer. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 1996;17:1853-9.
- 131. Gulani V, Calamante F, Shellock FG, Kanal E, Reeder SB. Gadolinium deposition in the brain: summary of evidence and recommendations. The Lancet. Neurology 2017;16:564-70.
- 132. Roberts DR, Lindhorst SM, Welsh CT, et al. High Levels of Gadolinium Deposition in the Skin of a Patient With Normal Renal Function. Investigative radiology 2016;51:280-9.
- 133. Daldrup-Link HE. Ten Things You Might Not Know about Iron Oxide Nanoparticles. Radiology 2017;284:616-29.
- 134. Fukuoka H, Hirai T, Okuda T, et al. Comparison of the added value of contrast-enhanced 3D fluid-attenuated inversion recovery and magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition of gradient echo sequences in relation to conventional postcontrast T1-weighted images for the evaluation of leptomeningeal diseases at 3T. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2010;31:868-73.
- 135. Bagnato F, Hametner S, Yao B, et al. Tracking iron in multiple sclerosis: a combined imaging and histopathological study at 7 Tesla. Brain: a journal of neurology 2011;134:3602-15.
- 136. DeLano MC, Fisher C. 3T MR imaging of the brain. Magnetic resonance imaging clinics of North America 2006;14:77-88.
- 137. Tallantyre EC, Morgan PS, Dixon JE, et al. 3 Tesla and 7 Tesla MRI of multiple sclerosis cortical lesions. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI 2010;32:971-7.
- 138. Van de Moortele PF, Akgun C, Adriany G, et al. B(1) destructive interferences and spatial phase patterns at 7 T with a head transceiver array coil. Magnetic resonance in medicine: official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 2005;54:1503-18.
- 139. Willinek WA, Kuhl CK. 3.0 T neuroimaging: technical considerations and clinical applications. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2006;16:217-28, ix.
- 140. Zwanenburg JJ, Hendrikse J, Luijten PR. Generalized Multiple-Layer Appearance of the Cerebral Cortex with 3D FLAIR 7.0-T MR Imaging. Radiology 2012;262:995-1001.
- 141. Zwanenburg JJ, Hendrikse J, Visser F, Takahara T, Luijten PR. Fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) MRI at 7.0 Tesla: comparison with 1.5 and 3.0 Tesla. European radiology 2010;20:915-22.
- 142. Mabray MC, Cha S. Advanced MR Imaging Techniques in Daily Practice. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2016;26:647-66.
- 143. Carroll TJ, Horowitz S, Shin W, et al. Quantification of cerebral perfusion using the "bookend technique": an evaluation in CNS tumors. Magnetic resonance imaging 2008;26:1352-9.
- 144. Lindholm TL, Botes L, Engman EL, et al. Parallel imaging: is GRAPPA a useful acquisition tool for MR imaging intended for volumetric brain analysis? BMC medical imaging 2009;9:15.
- 145. Skare S, Newbould RD, Nordell A, Holdsworth SJ, Bammer R. An auto-calibrated, angularly continuous, two-dimensional GRAPPA kernel for propeller trajectories. Magnetic resonance in medicine: official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 2008;60:1457-65.
- 146. Ye JC. Compressed sensing MRI: a review from signal processing perspective. BMC Biomedical Engineering 2019;1:8.
- 147. Lustig M, Donoho DL, Santos JM, Pauly JM. Compressed Sensing MRI [A look at how CS can improve on current imaging techniques]. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*. Vol 25; 2008:72-82.
- 148. Gupta A, Shah A, Young RJ, Holodny AI. Imaging of brain tumors: functional magnetic resonance imaging and diffusion tensor imaging. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2010;20:379-400.

- 149. Hess CP, Mukherjee P. Visualizing white matter pathways in the living human brain: diffusion tensor imaging and beyond. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2007;17:407-26, vii.
- 150. Provenzale JM, Liang L, DeLong D, White LE. Diffusion tensor imaging assessment of brain white matter maturation during the first postnatal year. AJR. American journal of roentgenology 2007;189:476-86.
- 151. Rovaris M, Agosta F, Pagani E, Filippi M. Diffusion tensor MR imaging. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2009;19:37-43.
- 152. Kakeda S, Korogi Y, Hiai Y, et al. Detection of brain metastasis at 3T: comparison among SE, IR-FSE and 3D-GRE sequences. European radiology 2007;17:2345-51.
- 153. Haacke EM, Mittal S, Wu Z, Neelavalli J, Cheng YC. Susceptibility-weighted imaging: technical aspects and clinical applications, part 1. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2009;30:19-30.
- 154. Haller S, Bartsch A, Nguyen D, et al. Cerebral microhemorrhage and iron deposition in mild cognitive impairment: susceptibility-weighted MR imaging assessment. Radiology 2010;257:764-73.
- 155. Li C, Ai B, Li Y, Qi H, Wu L. Susceptibility-weighted imaging in grading brain astrocytomas. European journal of radiology 2010;75:e81-5.
- 156. Tong KA, Ashwal S, Obenaus A, Nickerson JP, Kido D, Haacke EM. Susceptibility-weighted MR imaging: a review of clinical applications in children. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2008;29:9-17.
- 157. Ben Bashat D, Sivan I, Ziv M, et al. T1-weighted functional imaging based on a contrast agent in presurgical mapping. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI 2008;28:1245-50.
- 158. Bizzi A. Presurgical mapping of verbal language in brain tumors with functional MR imaging and MR tractography. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2009;19:573-96.
- 159. Filippi M, Rocca MA. Functional MR imaging in multiple sclerosis. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2009;19:59-70.
- 160. Kokkonen SM, Nikkinen J, Remes J, et al. Preoperative localization of the sensorimotor area using independent component analysis of resting-state fMRI. Magnetic resonance imaging 2009;27:733-40.
- 161. Lai G, Schneider HD, Schwarzenberger JC, Hirsch J. Speech stimulation during functional MR imaging as a potential indicator of autism. Radiology 2011;260:521-30.
- 162. Petrella JR, Shah LM, Harris KM, et al. Preoperative functional MR imaging localization of language and motor areas: effect on therapeutic decision making in patients with potentially resectable brain tumors. Radiology 2006;240:793-802.
- 163. Pillai JJ. Insights into adult postlesional language cortical plasticity provided by cerebral blood oxygen level-dependent functional MR imaging. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2010;31:990-6.
- 164. Pillai JJ, Zaca D. Relative utility for hemispheric lateralization of different clinical fMRI activation tasks within a comprehensive language paradigm battery in brain tumor patients as assessed by both threshold-dependent and threshold-independent analysis methods. NeuroImage 2011;54 Suppl 1:S136-45.
- 165. Schwenkreis P, Maier C, Tegenthoff M. Functional imaging of central nervous system involvement in complex regional pain syndrome. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2009;30:1279-84.
- 166. Suarez RO, Whalen S, Nelson AP, et al. Threshold-independent functional MRI determination of language dominance: a validation study against clinical gold standards. Epilepsy & behavior: E&B 2009;16:288-97.
- 167. Weber MA, Henze M, Tuttenberg J, et al. Biopsy targeting gliomas: do functional imaging techniques identify similar target areas? Investigative radiology 2010;45:755-68.
- 168. Wengenroth M, Blatow M, Guenther J, Akbar M, Tronnier VM, Stippich C. Diagnostic benefits of presurgical fMRI in patients with brain tumours in the primary sensorimotor cortex. European radiology 2011;21:1517-25.
- 169. Deibler AR, Pollock JM, Kraft RA, Tan H, Burdette JH, Maldjian JA. Arterial spin-labeling in routine clinical practice, part 1: technique and artifacts. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2008;29:1228-34.
- 170. Deibler AR, Pollock JM, Kraft RA, Tan H, Burdette JH, Maldjian JA. Arterial spin-labeling in routine clinical practice, part 2: hypoperfusion patterns. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2008;29:1235-41.
- 171. Deibler AR, Pollock JM, Kraft RA, Tan H, Burdette JH, Maldjian JA. Arterial spin-labeling in routine clinical practice, part 3: hyperperfusion patterns. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2008;29:1428-35.
- 172. Emblem KE, Zoellner FG, Tennoe B, et al. Predictive modeling in glioma grading from MR perfusion images using support vector machines. Magnetic resonance in medicine: official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 2008;60:945-52.
- 173. Haris M, Husain N, Singh A, et al. Dynamic contrast-enhanced derived cerebral blood volume correlates better with leak correction than with no correction for vascular endothelial growth factor, microvascular

- density, and grading of astrocytoma. Journal of computer assisted tomography 2008;32:955-65.
- 174. Kim MJ, Kim HS, Kim JH, Cho KG, Kim SY. Diagnostic accuracy and interobserver variability of pulsed arterial spin labeling for glioma grading. Acta Radiol 2008;49:450-7.
- 175. Lacerda S, Law M. Magnetic resonance perfusion and permeability imaging in brain tumors. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2009;19:527-57.
- 176. Saindane AM, Law M, Ge Y, Johnson G, Babb JS, Grossman RI. Correlation of diffusion tensor and dynamic perfusion MR imaging metrics in normal-appearing corpus callosum: support for primary hypoperfusion in multiple sclerosis. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2007;28:767-72.
- 177. Hangel G, Schmitz-Abecassis B, Sollmann N, et al. Advanced MR Techniques for Preoperative Glioma Characterization: Part 2. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging 2023;57:1676-95.
- 178. Hirschler L, Sollmann N, Schmitz-Abecassis B, et al. Advanced MR Techniques for Preoperative Glioma Characterization: Part 1. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging 2023;57:1655-75.
- 179. Wada T, Togao O, Tokunaga C, et al. Grading of gliomas using 3D CEST imaging with compressed sensing and sensitivity encoding. European journal of radiology 2023;158:110654.
- 180. Liu R, Wang X, Zhao Z, et al. A comparative study of quantitative metrics in chemical exchange saturation transfer imaging for grading gliomas in adults. Magnetic resonance imaging 2023;96:50-59.
- 181. Ambarki K, Wahlin A, Birgander R, Eklund A, Malm J. MR imaging of brain volumes: evaluation of a fully automatic software. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2011;32:408-12.
- 182. Curiati PK, Tamashiro JH, Squarzoni P, et al. Brain structural variability due to aging and gender in cognitively healthy Elders: results from the Sao Paulo Ageing and Health study. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2009;30:1850-6.
- 183. Fleisher AS, Sun S, Taylor C, et al. Volumetric MRI vs clinical predictors of Alzheimer disease in mild cognitive impairment. Neurology 2008;70:191-9.
- 184. Heckemann RA, Hammers A, Rueckert D, Aviv RI, Harvey CJ, Hajnal JV. Automatic volumetry on MR brain images can support diagnostic decision making. BMC medical imaging 2008;8:9.
- 185. Reig S, Sanchez-Gonzalez J, Arango C, et al. Assessment of the increase in variability when combining volumetric data from different scanners. Human brain mapping 2009;30:355-68.
- 186. Aljabar P, Rueckert D, Crum WR. Automated morphological analysis of magnetic resonance brain imaging using spectral analysis. NeuroImage 2008;43:225-35.
- 187. Cardenas VA, Studholme C, Gazdzinski S, Durazzo TC, Meyerhoff DJ. Deformation-based morphometry of brain changes in alcohol dependence and abstinence. NeuroImage 2007;34:879-87.
- 188. Jack CR, Jr., Bernstein MA, Fox NC, et al. The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI): MRI methods. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI 2008;27:685-91.
- 189. Kim JH, Suh SI, Seol HY, et al. Regional grey matter changes in patients with migraine: a voxel-based morphometry study. Cephalalgia: an international journal of headache 2008;28:598-604.
- 190. Moorhead TW, Gountouna VE, Job DE, et al. Prospective multi-centre Voxel Based Morphometry study employing scanner specific segmentations: procedure development using CaliBrain structural MRI data. BMC medical imaging 2009;9:8.
- 191. Shen S, Szameitat AJ, Sterr A. VBM lesion detection depends on the normalization template: a study using simulated atrophy. Magnetic resonance imaging 2007;25:1385-96.
- 192. Shiino A, Watanabe T, Kitagawa T, et al. Different atrophic patterns in early- and late-onset Alzheimer's disease and evaluation of clinical utility of a method of regional z-score analysis using voxel-based morphometry. Dementia and geriatric cognitive disorders 2008;26:175-86.
- 193. Xu L, Pearlson G, Calhoun VD. Joint source based morphometry identifies linked gray and white matter group differences. NeuroImage 2009;44:777-89.
- 194. Heers M, Rampp S, Stefan H, et al. MEG-based identification of the epileptogenic zone in occult peri-insular epilepsy. Seizure: the journal of the British Epilepsy Association 2012;21:128-33.
- 195. Denby CE, Vann SD, Tsivilis D, et al. The frequency and extent of mammillary body atrophy associated with surgical removal of a colloid cyst. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2009;30:736-43.
- 196. Ganeshan B, Miles KA, Young RC, Chatwin CR, Gurling HM, Critchley HD. Three-dimensional textural analysis of brain images reveals distributed grey-matter abnormalities in schizophrenia. European radiology 2010;20:941-8.
- 197. Goodwin JA, Vidyasagar R, Balanos GM, Bulte D, Parkes LM. Quantitative fMRI using hyperoxia calibration: reproducibility during a cognitive Stroop task. NeuroImage 2009;47:573-80.

- 198. Hobbs NZ, Henley SM, Wild EJ, et al. Automated quantification of caudate atrophy by local registration of serial MRI: evaluation and application in Huntington's disease. NeuroImage 2009;47:1659-65.
- 199. Sati P, Cross AH, Luo J, Hildebolt CF, Yablonskiy DA. In vivo quantitative evaluation of brain tissue damage in multiple sclerosis using gradient echo plural contrast imaging technique. NeuroImage 2010;51:1089-97.
- 200. Shen S, Szameitat AJ, Sterr A. An improved lesion detection approach based on similarity measurement between fuzzy intensity segmentation and spatial probability maps. Magnetic resonance imaging 2010;28:245-54.
- 201. Wilson SM, Ogar JM, Laluz V, et al. Automated MRI-based classification of primary progressive aphasia variants. NeuroImage 2009;47:1558-67.
- 202. Zacharaki EI, Wang S, Chawla S, et al. Classification of brain tumor type and grade using MRI texture and shape in a machine learning scheme. Magnetic resonance in medicine: official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 2009;62:1609-18.
- 203. Gu M, Kim DH, Mayer D, Sullivan EV, Pfefferbaum A, Spielman DM. Reproducibility study of whole-brain 1H spectroscopic imaging with automated quantification. Magnetic resonance in medicine: official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 2008;60:542-7
- 204. Horska A, Barker PB. Imaging of brain tumors: MR spectroscopy and metabolic imaging. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2010;20:293-310.
- 205. Majos C, Bruna J, Julia-Sape M, et al. Proton MR spectroscopy provides relevant prognostic information in high-grade astrocytomas. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2011;32:74-80.
- 206. Sundgren PC. MR spectroscopy in radiation injury. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2009;30:1469-76.
- 207. American College of Radiology. ACR–ASNR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy of the Central Nervous System. Available at: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Spectroscopy.pdf. Accessed January 30, 2023.
- 208. Arimura H, Yoshiura T, Kumazawa S, et al. Automated method for identification of patients with Alzheimer's disease based on three-dimensional MR images. Academic radiology 2008;15:274-84.
- 209. Lee AD, Leow AD, Lu A, et al. 3D pattern of brain abnormalities in Fragile X syndrome visualized using tensor-based morphometry. NeuroImage 2007;34:924-38.
- 210. Mills RJ, Young CA, Smith ET. 3D MRI in multiple sclerosis: a study of three sequences at 3 T. The British journal of radiology 2007;80:307-20.
- 211. Moraal B, Roosendaal SD, Pouwels PJ, et al. Multi-contrast, isotropic, single-slab 3D MR imaging in multiple sclerosis. European radiology 2008;18:2311-20.
- 212. Bello L, Fava E, Casaceli G, et al. Intraoperative mapping for tumor resection. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2009;19:597-614.
- 213. Helms G, Dathe H, Kallenberg K, Dechent P. High-resolution maps of magnetization transfer with inherent correction for RF inhomogeneity and T1 relaxation obtained from 3D FLASH MRI. Magnetic resonance in medicine: official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 2008;60:1396-407.
- 214. Portaccio E, Stromillo ML, Goretti B, et al. Neuropsychological and MRI measures predict short-term evolution in benign multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2009;73:498-503.
- 215. Ropele S, Fazekas F. Magnetization transfer MR imaging in multiple sclerosis. Neuroimaging clinics of North America 2009;19:27-36.
- 216. Wu Y, Storey P, Carrillo A, et al. Whole brain and localized magnetization transfer measurements are associated with cognitive impairment in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus. AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology 2008;29:140-5.
- 217. Hofkes SK, Iskandar BJ, Turski PA, Gentry LR, McCue JB, Haughton VM. Differentiation between symptomatic Chiari I malformation and asymptomatic tonsilar ectopia by using cerebrospinal fluid flow imaging: initial estimate of imaging accuracy. Radiology 2007;245:532-40.
- 218. American College of Radiology. ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Magnetic Resonance (MR)Imaging Equipment. Available at: https://www.acr.org/-media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Equip.pdf. Accessed January 30, 2023.
- 219. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Devices and Radiological Health. Submission of Premarket Notifications for Magnetic Resonance Diagnostic Devices

Revise 62024 (Rescolutions 44) and Food and Drug Administration Staff. Available at:

https://fda.report/media/92921/Submission-of-Premarket-Notifications-for-Magnetic-Resonance-Diagnostic-Devices---Guidance-for-Industry-and-Food-and-Drug-Administration-Staff.pdf. Accessed January 30, 2023.

- 220. Alibek S, Vogel M, Sun W, et al. Acoustic noise reduction in MRI using Silent Scan: an initial experience. Diagn Interv Radiol 2014;20:360-3.
- 221. Burns J, Policeni B, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Neuroendocrine Imaging. J Am Coll Radiol. 2019;16(5S):S161-S173.
- 222. Korutz AW, Obalajuluwa A, Lester MS, et al. Pacemakers in MRI for the Neuroradiologist. AJNR Dec. 2017, 38 (12) 2222-2230; DOI: https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5314

Development Chronology for this Practice Parameter

2002 (Resolution 8)

Amended 2006 (Resolution 35)

Revised 2008 (Resolution 21)

Revised 2013 (Resolution 6)

Amended 2014 (Resolution 39)

Revised 2019 (Resolution 17) Revised 2024 (Resolution 4)

^{*}Practice parameters and technical standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council. For practice parameters and technical standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the practice parameter or technical standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.