ACR–ASNR–SNIS–SPR PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE ANGIOGRAPHY (MRA) OF THE HEAD AND NECK

ACR–ASNR–SNIS–SPR PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE ANGIOGRAPHY (MRA) OF THE HEAD AND NECK

The American College of Radiology, with more than 40,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice parameters and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice parameters and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice parameter and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review and approval. The practice parameters and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice parameter and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

PREAMBLE

This document is an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. Practice Parameters and Technical Standards are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care[1]. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology and our collaborating medical specialty societies caution against the use of these documents in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the practitioner considering all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidance in this document, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in this document when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by variables such as the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology after publication of this document. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from the guidance in this document may consider documenting in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves the science, and the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to the guidance in this document will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The purpose of this document is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

---

1 *Iowa Medical Society and Iowa Society of Anesthesiologists v. Iowa Board of Nursing, 831 N.W.2d 826 (Iowa 2013) Iowa Supreme Court refuses to find that the "ACR Technical Standard for Management of the Use of Radiation in Fluoroscopic Procedures (Revised 2008)" sets a national standard for who may perform fluoroscopic procedures in light of the standard's stated purpose that ACR standards are educational tools and not intended to establish a legal standard of care. See also, Stanley v. McCarver, 63 P.3d 1076 (Ariz. App. 2003) where in a concurring opinion the Court stated that "published standards or guidelines of specialty medical organizations are useful in determining the duty owed or the standard of care applicable in a given situation" even though ACR standards themselves do not establish the standard of care.*

I. INTRODUCTION

This practice parameter was revised collaboratively by the American College of Radiology (ACR), the American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), the Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery (SNIS), and the Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR).

Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) is a general term that refers to various MRA techniques used for the diagnostic evaluation, quantitative or qualitative severity assessment, and the surveillance of vascular diseases of the brain, head, and neck. MRA is a rapidly evolving technology; therefore, general recommendations can be made regarding imaging techniques. Detailed imaging protocols have been omitted to avoid promoting obsolete methodology. The practitioner should periodically review the imaging protocols and update them as needed using resources from the literature, major MR manufacturers, and professional imaging society meetings and their websites (eg, ASNR, International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, Society of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, Society for Magnetic Resonance Angiography, and other similar resources).

MRA is a valuable imaging tool that may be used to evaluate a broad spectrum of vascular diseases [1, 2]. Compared with catheter-based angiography, MRA is noninvasive without risk of vascular injury, ischemic neurological complications, or iodinated contrast reactions. Compared with vascular ultrasound, it is less operator dependent, has greater freedom from interference by body habitus, and provides greater 3-D capability. MRA of the head and neck can be performed without any contrast, which is particularly useful in imaging pediatric and pregnant patients. Hemodynamic information can also be obtained from non-contrast MRA [3]. These benefits must be balanced against the limitations and technical artifacts of MRA, such as degraded image quality due to patient motion, slow or turbulent flow, and/or susceptibility effects. Presently, MRA has lower spatial resolution in comparison with computed tomography (CT) or digital subtraction angiography, but emerging high-resolution MRA techniques have the potential to replace current examination techniques [4-12]. The ACR Manual on Contrast Media [13] provides detailed recommendations for the use of contrast agents in at-risk groups [13].

Children typically demonstrate a different spectrum of neurovascular conditions, and as such, imaging protocols tailored for adult patients may not be optimal or appropriate in the pediatric setting. MRA of the head and neck can provide valuable information for both congenital and acquired pediatric vascular disorders in the brain and neck without the concern for radiation to the developing central nervous system. However, the successful MRA evaluation of pediatric patients is more complex and poses unique technical and safety issues [14]. In general, the rapid intracranial flow in pediatric patients may be leveraged for time-of-flight (TOF) MRA sequences of the head, and sometimes of the neck, avoiding contrast administration and reducing the need for contrast enhanced (CE)-MRA, which can be technically challenging for those not familiar with this technique. The size of the pediatric patient requires MRA scanning with a decreased field of view (FOV) to delineate smaller structures. Finally, sedation may be necessary in order to limit motion artifacts and obtain a diagnostic-quality examination.

Application of this practice parameter should be in accordance with the ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [15] and the ACR–SIR Practice Parameter for Minimal and/or Moderate Sedation/Analgesia [16].

II. INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS

A. Adult and Pediatric Indications for MRA of the head and neck

MRI/MRA is the primary imaging modality of choice for the initial evaluation of the head and neck vasculature in children [17]. It is a noninvasive and low-risk examination free of ionizing radiation as compared with conventional endovascular or CT angiographic procedures. Studies of pediatric stroke that compared MRA with conventional angiography found MRA to be accurate in delineating stenosis and/or occlusion and able to demonstrate vascular anatomy in a variety of pathological conditions [18-25]. In some clinical instances, follow-up CT or catheter angiography may be necessary to further characterize the abnormality or, in the case of the latter, provide therapy.

Indications for MRA of the head and neck include, but are not limited to, the detection and evaluation of the following:

1. Atherosclerotic or nonatherosclerotic steno-occlusive disease, thromboembolism, or vasospasm in the setting of acute or chronic cerebral ischemia, and infarction [26-30]

2. Traumatic injury to vessels of the head and neck, including dissection [31-33]
3. Intracranial or extracranial aneurysms, pseudoaneurysms, and venous varices [27, 28, 30, 34-38]
4. Intracranial or extracranial, congenital or acquired arteriovenous malformations (AVMs), vein of Galen malformations, dural venous malformations, arteriovenous fistulas, proliferative angiopathy, hemangiomas, venous malformations, lymphatic malformations, or other low-flow vascular malformations [27, 28, 30, 39-43]
5. Etiology of intracranial/intraspinal hemorrhage
6. Vasculitis and vasculopathy including, but not limited to, collagen vascular disease [44, 45]; flow-meditated dilatation; sickle cell [46]; Moyamoya disease or steno-occlusive vasculopathy [47]; and nonatherosclerotic, noninflammatory vasculopathy
7. Tumor vascular supply, tumor invasion, encasement, or constriction of vasculature
8. Localization of relevant vascular anatomy/pathology for preoperative and/or radiation treatment planning
9. Relevant vascular anatomy/pathology for preprocedural and/or postprocedural evaluation and determining the effect of therapeutic interventions, including endovascular embolization and/or stent placement in treatment of stenosis, dissections, aneurysms, AVMs, tumor embolization [28], and/or posttreatment changes following interventional/surgical procedures or radiation therapy [48, 49]
10. Soft-tissue vascular anomalies in the head and neck [50]
11. Vascular status following extracorporeal membrane oxygenation
12. Pulsatile tinnitus, bruits, and neuralgia that might result from vascular etiology
13. Dural venous sinus thrombosis and intracranial venous steno-occlusive disease [39, 40, 43]
14. Intracranial hyper- and hypotension

B. Evaluation of the aortic arch and subclavian arteries in adults and children may require separate techniques and sequences. Indications include, but are not limited to, the detection and evaluation of the following [51-53]:

1. Dissection of the aorta and/or great vessels
2. Aneurysm of the aorta and/or great vessels
3. Atherosclerotic occlusive disease of the great vessels and subclavian steal
4. Congenital abnormalities of the aorta, including coarctation, double aortic arch, and aberrant subclavian artery
5. Superior vena cava syndrome or unilateral upper-extremity edema
6. Normal vascular anatomy versus aneurysms/masses for preoperative planning

C. Safety Guidelines and Possible Contraindications

See the ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [15] and the ACR Manual on MR Safety [54].

Peer-reviewed literature pertaining to MR safety should be reviewed on a regular basis [1, 24]

III. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

See the ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [15].

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

The written or electronic request for MRA of the head and neck should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). The provision of additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination or a provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical problem or question and consistent with the state scope of practice requirements. (ACR Resolution 35 adopted in 2006 – revised in 2016, Resolution 12-b)

The supervising physician must have an adequate understanding of the indications, benefits, and risks of the examination as well as any alternative imaging procedures. The physician must be familiar with potential hazards associated with MRI, including unsafe devices and potential adverse reactions to contrast media. The physician should be familiar with relevant ancillary studies that the patient may have undergone (see the ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings [55]). The physician performing MRI interpretation must have a clear understanding and knowledge of the anatomy and pathophysiology relevant to the MRI examination.

The supervising physician must also understand the pulse sequences to be used and their effect on the appearance of the images, including the potential generation of image artifacts. Standard imaging protocols may be established and varied on a case-by-case basis when necessary. These protocols should be reviewed and updated periodically.

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

A. Patient Selection

The physician responsible for the examination should supervise patient selection and preparation and be available in person, by phone, or via HIPAA-compliant real-time messaging services for consultation. Patients must be screened and interviewed before the examination to exclude individuals who may be at risk by exposure to the MR environment (eg, incompatible metallic implants surgical devices, etc). See the ACR Manual on MR Safety [54].

Certain indications require administration of intravenous (IV) contrast media. IV contrast enhancement should be performed using appropriate injection protocols and in accordance with the institution's policy on IV contrast used. Patients receiving contrast agents should be evaluated for potential risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) according to the recommendations in the chapter on NSF in the ACR Manual on Contrast Media [13, 56].

Patients suffering from anxiety or claustrophobia may require sedation or additional assistance. Administration of moderate sedation may enable achievement of a successful examination. If moderate sedation is necessary, refer to the ACR–SIR Practice Parameter for Minimal and/or Moderate Sedation/Analgesia [16]. Additional considerations and equipment may be required in critically ill or intubated patients under general anesthesia.

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

B. Facility Requirements

Appropriate emergency equipment and medications must be immediately available to treat adverse reactions associated with administered medications. The equipment and medications must be monitored for inventory and drug expiration dates on a regular basis. The equipment, medications, and other emergency support must also be appropriate for the range of ages and sizes in the patient population.

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

C. Examination Technique

MRA is a general term that refers to a diverse group of MR pulse sequences. Multiple methods can be used to generate signal from flowing blood, and each method may be performed with a variety of coils, acquisition sequences, and display techniques. TOF gradient recall echo techniques rely on flow-related enhancement to generate images of blood flow within the vascular lumen. Anatomic vascular images and quantitative measurements of flow velocity can be obtained using phase-contrast (PC) MRA techniques in which the image contrast is generated by velocity-induced phase shifts. CE MRA relies on enhancement of the blood signal by paramagnetic contrast agents and typically uses rapid, 3-D T1-weighted gradient-echo acquisitions. CE MRA can provide higher spatial resolution with first-pass techniques or temporal resolution with time-resolved 4-D

techniques [57-60]. Vascular images can also be generated by arterial spin-labeling (ASL), and blood can be directly imaged using methods such as inflow inversion recovery [61-63]. Practitioners using MRA must understand the artifacts and limitations of each imaging technique. The most common MRA sequences use 2-D and 3-D TOF, 3-D PC, 3-D CE, and 4-D CE time-resolved techniques.

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

C. Examination Technique

1. Noncontrast TOF MRA

In 2-D TOF MRA acquisitions, contrast between flowing blood and stationary surrounding tissue is generated by acquiring multiple thin slices oriented perpendicular to the direction of blood flow to maximize the signal enhancement due to inflow of blood within vascular structures. These 2-D slices are combined to form a 3-D volume data set. Vascular structures are isolated from the surrounding tissue by projecting the pixels with maximum intensity into multiple planar views called maximum intensity projection (MIP) images. Three-dimensional TOF techniques directly acquire a 3-D volume. Multiple 3-D volumes using short echo time/repetition time sequences are typically obtained with overlapping edges to provide coverage of the region of interest. Focused assessment of the vascular structures from the 3-D volume data can also be displayed with planar- and volume-rendered MIP imaging [64-67].

MRA data sets can also be displayed as 2-D source images. The supervising physician should always review the source images in an effort to improve diagnostic accuracy. Review of the source images can reduce possible confusion of T1 shortening related to proteinaceous cysts, fat, or thrombus with flow-related enhancement; assist in diagnosis by differentiating overlapping structures, differentiate artifacts caused by tissue motion due to swallowing, cardiac pulsation, or respiration between sequential 2-D slices; and identify artifacts that can cause spurious increase or decrease in flow-related signal [68].

Rotating displays of 3-D volumetric MIP images allow separation of vessels that are superimposed on routine planar projections. The supervising physician should be familiar not only with MIP displays but also with surface displays, volume displays, and multiplanar reformatting techniques, including their strengths and limitations. The type and frequency of artifacts will vary with each display technique; thus, the supervising physician must understand the potential errors with each method [69].

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

C. Examination Technique

2. CE MRA

Contrast Enhanced (CE) MRA
CE 3-D MRA combines a fast T1-weighted gradient-echo acquisition with an IV-administered paramagnetic contrast agent [70]. Such contrast-based agents reduce the T1 relaxation time of blood and nearly eliminate SAT effects, thus leading to a more accurate assessment of vascular stenosis. CE MRA has been evaluated for use in assessing the cervical carotid and vertebral arteries, the intracranial arteries as well as the aortic arch, ascending great vessels, and descending thoracic aorta. CE MRA has been successful in demonstrating atherosclerotic occlusive diseases, dissections, aneurysms, congenital anomalies, vascular malformations, and vascular infiltration by tumor. It does not routinely require cardiac gating, which makes it a more widely applicable technique in patients with cardiac arrhythmias. Furthermore, respiratory artifacts can be reduced by breath-holding, and artifacts seen in TOF MRA due to slow or turbulent flow-related enhancement or in-plane dephasing encountered with vascular tortuosity are markedly reduced. These advantages make CE MRA very useful for imaging of the aortic arch, great vessels, and cervical vasculature but can also improve visualization of the intracranial circulation.

Rapid cervical and intracranial circulation (typically 8-10 seconds) makes CE MRA of the head and neck vasculature particularly challenging. Arch and head and neck MRA studies require very accurate timing of the acquisition in relation to the contrast bolus; this may be performed with the utilization of one of the bolus-timing sequences outlined below. If the images are obtained too early, the arterial structures may not be visualized, or incompletely visualized. Late acquisition will result in reduced arterial signal, venous

opacification/contamination, and soft-tissue enhancement. Ideally, the center of the k-space is scanned during the first pass of the bolus [71].

CE MRA is optimized when the center of the k-space is sampled near the peak arterial concentration of the contrast. Centric encoding is an example of a vascular imaging technique that improves capture of the arterial phase of the bolus and reduces venous contamination. Three basic CE MRA techniques have been developed to improve arterial phase k-space filling: test bolus timing, fluoroscopic triggering, and time-resolved imaging [30, 72-77]. For test bolus timing, an initial small test dose is first administered, and continuous 2-D imaging is performed to determine the optimal imaging time interval. For fluoroscopic triggering, a rapid real-time 2-D gradient echo is acquired during the injection of the entire bolus, allowing the MR technologist or an automatic trigger based on a preplaced region of interest to initiate the acquisition such that the center of the k-space is sampled during maximum arterial enhancement. Time-resolved MRA imaging is performed with rapid scanning repeatedly over the region of interest, with oversampling of the central lines of the k-space every few seconds. Increased temporal resolution of time-resolved MRA imaging allows delineation of the arterial and venous phases, arteriovenous shunting, and early venous drainage for the assessment of cervical spinal or intracranial AVMs and fistulas.

Contrast injection rates of 2– 4 mL/sec generate a bolus profile with a 5 7-second arterial phase. This is desirable because most techniques require several seconds to sample the center of the k-space. The contrast injection volume may vary based on the size and condition of the patient [74]. For example, very large patients or those with poor cardiac output may require a timing bolus and a larger volume of contrast to offset the effects of contrast dilution in the blood pool. The use of a power injector facilitates control of the injection rate and helps to standardize the protocol. Following contrast injection, the power injector can rapidly switch and inject a saline flush to optimize the bolus. In pediatric patients, the combined demands of smaller bolus volume and rapid circulation time require that the injection rate be adjusted to the patient body habitus. The size and location of the IV also needs special consideration in young children.

Finally, saturation (SAT) bands are less effective when the intravascular T1 signal is significantly reduced. In CE MRA, a poorly timed contrast bolus with undesirable venous enhancement cannot be overcome by the selective placement of SAT bands, and the relevant arterial anatomy may be obscured [78-80].

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

C. Examination Technique

3. Phase-Contrast (PC) MRA

PC MRA techniques are based on the protons that move through a magnetic field, and they acquire a phase shift directly proportional to their velocity. The magnitude of the phase shift can be measured, and an image of the flowing blood can be generated analogous to that obtained with the TOF technique and dependent on the protons' directional flow velocity. When the proper velocity encoding is selected, 2-D PC MRA imaging data can also be used to measure flow velocity or flow volume. This technique can also be helpful in the assessment of reversal of blood flow in a vessel, such as in subclavian steal [81]. Flow quantification with 2-D PC MRA techniques across intracranial vertebrobasilar stenoses has shown promise as a predictor of ischemic stroke in the posterior intracranial circulation [82]. Contrast may be used to augment the signal obtained from blood flow in PC MRA acquisitions. In some instances, it is necessary to gate the PC MRA acquisition to the cardiac cycle for optimum flow assessment. When 3-D PC MRA is used for flow quantification with time-resolved volumetric acquisitions, it is frequently called 4-D flow MRI/MRA; its utilization in the hemodynamic characterization of intracranial aneurysms and AVMs is a topic of ongoing research [61, 83-87].

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

C. Examination Technique

4. Arterial Spin Labeling (ASL) MRA

Investigations with continuous, pseudocontinuous, and inflow inversion recovery ASL methods have demonstrated clinical feasibility for MRA but are more commonly used for perfusion imaging [62, 63]. ASL has significant limitations with respect to MRA imaging, including the requirement of reasonably high arterial

velocities and knowledge of flow direction and therefore is not widely used in clinical practice.

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

C. Examination Technique

5. MR Vessel Wall Imaging (VWI)

High-field (>3T), high-resolution (<1 mm voxels) MR vessel wall imaging (VWI) protocols are optimized to image cervical and intracranial arterial wall pathology with 2-D or 3-D black-blood MRI (BB MRI) using multiple tissue weightings (pre- and postcontrast T1-, proton density, and/or T2-weighted sequences). Depending on 2-D versus 3-D scan protocols and vendor-specific sequences, various blood, fat, and cerebrospinal fluid suppression techniques have been described, including spin echo, spatial pre-SAT band, double inversion recovery, intravoxel phase dispersion, diffusion sensitizing gradients, flow-sensitive dephasing, or delay alternating with nutation for tailored excitation. Although carotid MR VWI protocols are typically 2-D BB MRI sequences, isotropic 3-D BB MRI sequences are often employed for intracranial MR VWI for volumetric coverage and multiplanar reformatted reconstructions of this tortuous vasculature, but with increased scanning times [88]. Despite BB MRI sequences being developed to evaluate vessel wall pathology, the vessel lumen is also well delineated with higher sensitivity for stenosis and higher specificity for vessel occlusions than TOF MRA, with near equivalent accuracy to CT angiography (CTA)/digital subtraction angiography methodologies [89-91].

Cervical MR VWI may be valuable in the diagnostic assessment of dissections and high-risk carotid and vertebral atherosclerotic disease. Specific biomarkers of carotid atherosclerosis with histopathological correlation have been shown to be associated with cerebrovascular ischemic events, including plaque volume/thickness, thin/ruptured fibrous cap, lipid-rich necrotic core, intraplaque, hemorrhage, and/or adventitial enhancement. Preliminary evidence suggests that high-risk plaque features on MR VWI are associated with ischemic stroke risk that may be independent of the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) criteria for symptomatic carotid stenosis, although further investigation is warranted [92-98].

Intracranial MR VWI has been an evolving adjunctive technique to better characterize various neurovascular pathologies over standard luminal imaging. Multiple studies have proposed high-risk or culprit intracranial atherosclerotic plaque features associated with symptomatic ischemia, including eccentric plaque thickness/irregularity, positive (adaptive) vessel wall remodeling, intraplaque hemorrhage, and plaque enhancement. Other intracranial MR VWI findings, such as the concentric pattern and presence/absence of vessel wall enhancement, may assist in diagnosing and differentiating inflammatory vasculitis, steno-occlusive vasculopathy/moyamoya disease, and reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome [88, 99, 100].

Early evidence suggests the value of MR VWI in the assessment of intracranial aneurysms, due to suspected pathology of neovascularization and inflammation of the vessel wall in the setting of an unstable atherosclerotic plaque or intracranial aneurysm. Thick, circumferential, or pronounced aneurysm wall enhancement may be associated with ruptured aneurysms or unstable (symptomatic or enlarging) unruptured aneurysms with moderately high specificity [101-104]. However, few longitudinal and prospective studies have evaluated unruptured aneurysm wall enhancement as a predictor of aneurysm growth/rupture, independent of other known anatomic risk factors [105]. Further studies are warranted to assess the role of MR VWI in the differentiation and risk stratification of neurovascular diseases, standardization of protocols, and technical considerations of contrast injection delays and turbulent flow artifacts [106, 107]. MR VWI may be performed solely or as a part of an MRI or MRA examination.

IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

C. Examination Technique

6. MR Venography

MR venography (MRV) of the head and neck is useful in the evaluation of the intracranial and extracranial venous anatomy and its variants and developmental, structural, or flow abnormalities. Flow-related enhancement or contrast enhancement of the cervical and intracranial veins enables the assessment of venous patency, congenital or acquired stenosis, focal wall thickening, annulus, abnormal valves, webs, septa and flaps, dural venous sinus and cortical vein thrombosis, jugular vein thrombosis, idiopathic intracranial hypertension, and

intracranial hypotension. Venous pathology has also been implicated in a number of other neurological diseases, such as exertional headache, cough headache, and transient global amnesia [108]. Dural venous sinus thrombosis accounts for 0.5% to 1% of all strokes and can be seen in a number of conditions—including dehydration, hypercoagulable states, infection, tumor invasion—in conjunction with oral contraceptives, and pregnancy, especially in the third trimester and during puerperium [108-110].

MRV offers several advantages to CT venography, including lack of ionizing radiation, improved thrombus visualization, and greater sensitivity for detecting parenchymal lesions, and venous infarcts. Additionally, specific MRV techniques can provide functional flow information that is reproducible and allows assessment of flow impairment, hemodynamically significant venous stenosis, presence/absence of collateral venous drainage, and venous reflux [111, 112].

Analogous to MRA, MRV sequences employ 2-D TOF, 3-D PC, or 3-D CE techniques. Although ASL perfusion-weighted imaging can identify hyperintense signal or a "bright sinus" appearance in the setting of dural venous sinus thrombosis with increased sensitivity compared with the susceptibility vessel sign or empty delta sign, it does not offer significant advantages to standard MRV techniques. Newer techniques, such as 2-D Cine PC MRV and 4-D MRA, have been studied for various quantitative flow applications [108, 109, 111-113]. MRV display protocols should be modified to focus on the head and neck venous structures, using planar- and volume-rendered MIP imaging as well as multiplanar reformatting techniques for 3-D CE MRV.

Noncontrast 2-D TOF MRV relies on flow-related enhancement to produce vascular images by manipulating the magnitude of magnetization (longitudinal magnetization vector), differentiating stationary tissue (low signal intensity) from blood flow (high-signal intensity). In imaging the venous system of the head and neck, an inferior SAT pulse is placed to eliminate arterial inflow signal. Advantages include operator independence, reproducibility, and a large FOV to visualize venous anatomy and pathology. Disadvantages of 2-D TOF MRV include stair-step artifact with 3-D MIP reconstructions, in-plane dephasing resulting in signal loss or "flow gaps" due to SAT, and flow parallel to the scan plane. T1 hyperintense signal or "T1 shine through" from intracellular or extracellular methemoglobin/thrombus may falsely simulate normal blood flow, and arachnoid granulations or hypoplastic dural sinuses may mimic venous thrombosis. Two-dimensional TOF is also more sensitive to image degradation due to patient motion and misregistration, magnetic field inhomogeneities, and susceptibility artifact from air, calcium, or metal. Three-dimensional TOF techniques are not typically used because of severe in-plane SAT effects and signal loss [108, 112, 113].

PC MRV (2-D or 3-D) uses velocity-induced phase shifts imparted on moving spins to distinguish flowing blood from the surrounding tissues. The signal from stationary tissue is suppressed by a bipolar gradient pulse of equal magnitude and opposite direction. Using a transverse magnetization vector, signal in flowing blood is linearly proportional to the velocity of the spins. Spins in blood moving toward the heart are assigned a hyperintense "bright" signal, and spins in blood moving away from the heart are assigned a hypointense "dark" signal. As opposed to high-velocity encoding (40-70 cm/sec) for arterial inflow, low velocity encoding (10-20 cm/sec) is required for venous flow. PC MRV offers the advantages of improved background tissue suppression, slow flow detection with smaller voxel sizes, flow direction, and quantification. Disadvantages include operator dependence on correct velocity encoding, long acquisition times as a result of applying multidirectional gradients, increased susceptibility to motion artifacts, and intravoxel dephasing/signal loss with turbulent flow. The acquisition time can be reduced by using high field strengths, parallel imaging, and optimized k-0 space sampling [108, 109, 112, 114]. Two-dimensional Cine PC sequences can also be used for accurate flow quantification in the head and neck veins, preferably with cardiac gating and recommended velocity encoding of 50 cm/sec. At various levels (C2-3, C5-6, and C7-T1), a slice of interest is placed perpendicular to the vessel's longitudinal axis (flow direction) and flow rate is calculated from a flow velocity curve as a function of time [108]. Time-resolved 3-D PC MRA or 4-D flow MRI are evolving sequences to assess quantitative flow dynamics of the arteries and veins throughout the cardiac cycle, potentially allowing measurements of pressure gradients in the dural sinuses and jugular veins. However, longer acquisition and postprocessing times as well as lower spatial resolution limit clinical application in the smaller intracranial vasculature [108].

Three-dimensional CE MRV techniques are able to evaluate the superficial and deep intracranial veins and dural sinuses. This technique relies on T1 shortening of enhanced venous blood rather than flow-related enhancement,

overcoming in-plane SAT artifacts seen with TOF techniques. Several other advantages of 3-D CE MRV techniques include a large FOV, isotropic volumetric imaging for multiplanar reformatting, higher spatial resolution, faster scan times, higher signal-to-noise ratios, and higher contrast-to-noise ratios. It may help differentiate acute from chronic venous thrombosis, with intense periadventitial enhancement seen with acute thrombosis. Intravascular webs/septa and arachnoid granulations are better delineated with 3-D CE MRV techniques. It is also less susceptible to quality degradation by patient motion, magnetic field inhomogeneity, and susceptibility artifacts from air or metal [108]. Time-resolved CE MRA techniques provide dynamic visualization of both the arterial and venous phases and can be leveraged for assessment of arteriovenous shunts, albeit at a lower spatial resolution than standard 3-D CE MRA/MRV studies.

In addition, volumetric T1 postcontrast techniques (in which flow suppression techniques are not used) with enhancement of the venous sinuses are also a useful technique in evaluating the venous sinuses, including to exclude venous thrombosis and identify stenosis as well as venous vascular variants.

V. DOCUMENTATION

Reporting should be in accordance with the ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings [55].

In addition to examining the vascular structures of interest, the MRA source images should be examined for extravascular abnormalities that may have clinical relevance. These abnormalities should be described in the formal report of the examination. When MRA/MRV techniques are used for determining carotid stenosis, the report should reflect the methodology and reference the criteria for percent stenosis outlined in the NASCET or based on methods validated against NASCET measurement [115-118]. The percent stenosis must be calculated using the distal cervical internal carotid artery diameter, in which the walls are parallel, for the denominator. Similar to CTA, MRA with attention to the acquisition parameters and postprocessing techniques can provide cross-sectional measurements of stenosis that correlate with properly performed NASCET estimates of percent stenosis obtained with catheter angiography [119]. In the setting of near occlusion, it may not be accurate to calculate percent stenosis ratios in the presence of poststenotic arterial dilatation. Some MRA techniques may not be amenable to quantitative measurements, in which case qualitative assessment of stenosis should be provided.

Specific policies and procedures related to MRI safety should be in place with documentation that is updated annually and compiled under the supervision and direction of the supervising MRI physician. Guidelines that deal with potential hazards associated with the MRI examination of the patient as well as to others in the immediate area should be provided. Screening forms must also be provided to detect those patients who may be at risk for adverse events associated with the MRI examination [120-122].

VI. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

Equipment performance monitoring should be in accordance with the ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Magnetic Resonance (MR) Imaging Equipment [123].

The MR equipment specifications and performance must meet all state and federal requirements. These requirements include, but are not limited to, specifications of maximum static magnetic field strength, maximum rate of change of the magnetic field strength (dB/dt), maximum radiofrequency power deposition (specific absorption rate), and maximum acoustic noise levels.

A 3-D postprocessing workstation capable of creating multiplanar reformations, MIP images, and 3-D volume renderings or shaded surface displays is required. The workstation should also allow the direct measurement of vascular diameters and, when appropriate, path lengths and branch angles, either from source or reformatted images.

VII. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing under the heading *ACR Position Statement on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control and Patient Education* on the ACR website

(https://www.acr.org/Advocacy-and-Economics/ACR-Position-Statements/Quality-Control-and-Improvement).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This parameter was revised according to the process described under the heading *The Process for Developing ACR Practice Parameters and Technical Standards* on the ACR website (https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Practice-Parameters-and-Technical-Standards) by the Committee on Practice Parameters – Neuroradiology of the ACR Commission on Neuroradiology, the Committee on Practice Parameters – Interventional and Cardiovascular Radiology of the ACR Commission on Interventional and Cardiovascular Radiology, and the Committee on Practice Parameters – Pediatric Imaging of the ACR Commission on Pediatric Radiology in collaboration with the ASNR, the SNIS, and the SPR.

Writing Committee – members represent their societies in the initial and final revision of this practice parameter

ACR
De Leacy, Reade MBBS, Chair
Brown, Patrick MD
Goldman-Yassen, Adam MD

SNIS
Dabus, Guilherme MD
Heit, Jeremy J MD, PhD
Hetts, Steven W MD

ASNR
Lin, Doris D.M. MD, PhD
Segovis, Colin MD, PhD
Singhal, Aparna MD

SPR
Reddy, Kartik M MD
Ryan, Maura E MD
Saigal, Gaurav MD

Committee on Practice Parameters - Neuroradiology
(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Shah, Lubdha M MD, Chair
Amrhein, Timothy J MD
Becker, Jennifer L MD
Chaudhry, Umar S MD
Hutchins, Troy A MD
Ormsby, Jacob MBA, MD
Sio, Terence Tai-Weng MD, MS
Aiken, Ashley H MD
Austin, Matthew J MD
Blackham, Kristine A MD
Chiang, Gloria C MD
Mazaheri, Parisa MD
Riley, Kalen MD

Committee on Practice Parameters and Technical Standards
(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Caplin, Drew M MD, Chair

Committee on Practice Parameters - Pediatric Imaging
(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Amodio, John B MD, Chair
Bhimaniya, Sudhir X MBBS, MD
Collard, Michael MD
Lai, Hollie A MD
Lasiecka, Zofia M MD, PhD
Li, Arleen MD
Noda, Sakura MD
Vatsky, Seth DO
Alizai, Hamza MD
Blumfield, Einat MD
Goldman-Yassen, Adam MD
Lala, Shailee V MD
Laufer, Adina MD
Maloney, John A MD
Shah, Summit MD

Committee on Practice Parameters - Interventional
(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Kaufman, Claire MD, Chair
Dickey, Kevin MD
Lee, Margaret H MD
Marx, M Victoria MD
Tomihama, Roger T MD
Daly, Kevin MD
Kay, Dennis MD
Makary, Mina S MD
Noor, Amir MD
Yeisley, Christopher MD

Bulas, Dorothy I MD, Chair, Commission on Pediatric Radiology
Jordan, John E MD, Chair, Commission on Neuroradiology
Larson, David B MBA, MD, Chair, Commission on Quality and Safety
Min, Robert MD, Chair, Commission on Interventional Radiology

Comments Reconciliation Committee

Amodio, John B MD
Bulas, Dorothy I MD
Dabus, Guilherme MD
Goldman-Yassen, Adam MD
Hetts, Steven W MD
Kaufman, Claire MD
Lin, Doris D.M. MD, PhD
Reddy, Kartik M MD
Saigal, Gaurav MD
Shah, Lubdha M MD
Brown, Patrick MD
Caplin, Drew M MD
De Leacy, Reade MBBS
Heit, Jeremy J MD, PhD
Jordan, John E MD
Larson, David B MBA, MD
Min, Robert MD
Ryan, Maura E MD
Segovis, Colin MD, PhD
Singhal, Aparna MD

REFERENCES

1. Rosamond W, Flegal K, Friday G, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics--2007 update: a report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Circulation. 2007 Feb 06;115(5):e69-171.
2. Dyke LM. Magnetic Resonance Angiography: Principles and Applications. Med Phys. 2013 Dec;40(12).
3. Navot B, Hecht EM, Lim RP, Edelman RR, Koktzoglou I. MR Angiography Series: Fundamentals of Non-Contrast-enhanced MR Angiography. Radiographics. 2021;41(5):E157-E158.
4. Grobner T. Gadolinium--a specific trigger for the development of nephrogenic fibrosing dermopathy and nephrogenic systemic fibrosis? Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2006; 21(4):1104-1108.
5. Boyd AS, Zic JA, Abraham JL. Gadolinium deposition in nephrogenic fibrosing dermopathy. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2007 Jan;56(1):27-30.
6. Sadowski EA, Bennett LK, Chan MR, et al. Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis: risk factors and incidence estimation. Radiology. 2007; 243(1):148-157.
7. Broome DR. Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis associated with gadolinium based contrast agents: a summary of the medical literature reporting. Eur J Radiol. 2008 May;66(2):230-4.
8. Agarwal R, Brunelli SM, Williams K, Mitchell MD, Feldman HI, Umscheid CA. Gadolinium-based contrast agents and nephrogenic systemic fibrosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2009 Mar;24(3):856-63.
9. Bryant BJ, Im K, Broome DR. Evaluation of the incidence of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis in patients with moderate renal insufficiency administered gadobenate dimeglumine for MRI. Clin Radiol. 2009 Jul;64(7):706-13.
10. Schneider G, Schürholz H, Kirchin MA, Bücker A, Fries P. Safety and adverse effects during 24 hours after contrast-enhanced MRI with gadobenate dimeglumine (MultiHance) in children. Pediatr Radiol. 2013 Jan;43(2):202-11.
11. Burel J, Gerardin E, Vannier M, et al. Follow-up of Intracranial Aneurysms Treated by Flow Diverters: Evaluation of Parent Artery Patency Using 3D-T1 Gradient Recalled-Echo Imaging with 2-Point Dixon in Combination with 3D-TOF-MRA with Compressed Sensing. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2022 Apr;43(4):554-559.
12. Zhao DL, Li RY, Li C, et al. Assessment of the degree of arterial stenosis in intracranial atherosclerosis using 3D

high-resolution MRI: comparison with time-of-flight MRA, contrast-enhanced MRA, and DSA. Clin Radiol. 2023 Feb;78(2):S0009-9260(22)00496-2.
13. American College of Radiology. ACR Committee on Drugs and Contrast Media. Manual on Contrast Media. Available at: https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Clinical-Tools-and-Reference/Contrast-Manual.
14. Huisman TA, Singhi S, Pinto PS. Non-invasive imaging of intracranial pediatric vascular lesions. Childs Nerv Syst. 2010 Oct;26(10):1275-95.
15. American College of Radiology. ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Available at: https://gravitas.acr.org/PPTS/GetDocumentView?docId=146+&releaseId=2
16. American College of Radiology. ACR–SIR Practice Parameter For Minimal and/or Moderate Sedation/Analgesia. Available at https://gravitas.acr.org/PPTS/GetDocumentView?docId=95+&releaseId=2
17. Bowers KJ, Deveber GA, Ferriero DM, Roach ES, Vexler ZS, Maria BL. Cerebrovascular disease in children: recent advances in diagnosis and management. J Child Neurol. 2011 Sep;26(9):1074-100.
18. Aviv RI, Benseler SM, Silverman ED, et al. MR imaging and angiography of primary CNS vasculitis of childhood. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2006 Jan;27(1):192-9.
19. Chooi WK, Woodhouse N, Coley SC, Griffiths PD. Pediatric head and neck lesions: assessment of vascularity by MR digital subtraction angiography. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2004 Aug;25(7):1251-5.
20. Chung T, Krishnamurthy R. Contrast-enhanced MR angiography in infants and children. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am. 2005 Feb;13(1):161-70, vi-vii.
21. Husson B, Lasjaunias P. Radiological approach to disorders of arterial brain vessels associated with childhood arterial stroke-a comparison between MRA and contrast angiography. Pediatr Radiol. 2004 Jan;34(1):10-5.
22. Mukundan S, Fuchs H, Alexander MJ, Grant GA. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography of vascular malformations in pediatric patients. Case report. J Neurosurg. 2007 Sep;107(3 Suppl):228-31.
23. Padayachee TS, Bingham JB, Graves MJ, Colchester AC, Cox TC. Dural sinus thrombosis. Diagnosis and follow-up by magnetic resonance angiography and imaging. Neuroradiology. 1991;33(2):165-7.
24. Wiznitzer M, Masaryk TJ. Cerebrovascular abnormalities in pediatric stroke: assessment using parenchymal and angiographic magnetic resonance imaging. Ann Neurol. 1991 Jun;29(6):585-9.
25. Wiznitzer M, Ruggieri PM, Masaryk TJ, Ross JS, Modic MT, Berman B. Diagnosis of cerebrovascular disease in sickle cell anemia by magnetic resonance angiography. J Pediatr. 1990 Oct;117(4):551-5.
26. Cosottini M, Pingitore A, Puglioli M, et al. Contrast-enhanced three-dimensional magnetic resonance angiography of atherosclerotic internal carotid stenosis as the noninvasive imaging modality in revascularization decision making. Stroke. 2003 Mar;34(3):660-4.
27. Debrey SM, Yu H, Lynch JK, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance angiography for internal carotid artery disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Stroke 2008;39:2237-48.
28. U-King-Im JM, Trivedi R, Cross J, et al. Conventional digital subtraction x-ray angiography versus magnetic resonance angiography in the evaluation of carotid disease: patient satisfaction and preferences. Clin Radiol. 2004 Apr;59(4):358-63.
29. Steinlin M. A clinical approach to arterial ischemic childhood stroke: increasing knowledge over the last decade. Neuropediatrics. 2012 Feb;43(1):1-9.
30. Willinek WA, von Falkenhausen M, Born M, et al. Noninvasive detection of steno-occlusive disease of the supra-aortic arteries with three-dimensional contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography: a prospective, intra-individual comparative analysis with digital subtraction angiography. Stroke. 2005 Jan;36(1):38-43.
31. Lévy C, Laissy JP, Raveau V, et al. Carotid and vertebral artery dissections: three-dimensional time-of-flight MR angiography and MR imaging versus conventional angiography. Radiology. 1994 Jan;190(1):97-103.
32. Tolhurst SR, Vanderhave KL, Caird MS, et al. Cervical arterial injury after blunt trauma in children: characterization and advanced imaging. Journal of Pediatric Orthopedics. 33(1):37-42, 2013 Jan.
33. Vertinsky AT, Schwartz NE, Fischbein NJ, Rosenberg J, Albers GW, Zaharchuk G. Comparison of multidetector CT angiography and MR imaging of cervical artery dissection. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2008;29(9):1753-1760.
34. Adams WM, Laitt RD, Jackson A. The role of MR angiography in the pretreatment assessment of intracranial aneurysms: a comparative study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2000 Oct;21(9):1618-28.
35. Anzalone N, Scomazzoni F, Cirillo M, et al. Follow-up of coiled cerebral aneurysms at 3T: comparison of 3D time-of-flight MR angiography and contrast-enhanced MR angiography. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2008 Sep;29(8):1530-6.
36. Hetts SW, English JD, Dowd CF, Higashida RT, Scanlon JT, Halbach VV. Pediatric intracranial aneurysms: new and enlarging aneurysms after index aneurysm treatment or observation. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2011;32(11):2017-2022.
37. Li MH, Li YD, Tan HQ, et al. Contrast-free MRA at 3.0 T for the detection of intracranial aneurysms. Neurology.

77(7):667-76, 2011 Aug 16.
38. Wallace RC, Karis JP, Partovi S, Fiorella D. Noninvasive imaging of treated cerebral aneurysms, part I: MR angiographic follow-up of coiled aneurysms. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2007;28(6):1001-8.
39. Leach JL, Fortuna RB, Jones BV, Gaskill-Shipley MF. Imaging of cerebral venous thrombosis: current techniques, spectrum of findings, and diagnostic pitfalls. Radiographics. 2006;26 Suppl 1:S19-41; discussion S42-13.
40. Liang L, Korogi Y, Sugahara T, et al. Evaluation of the intracranial dural sinuses with a 3D contrast-enhanced MP-RAGE sequence: prospective comparison with 2D-TOF MR venography and digital subtraction angiography. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2001 Mar;22(3):481-92.
41. Roccatagliata L, Bracard S, Holmin S, Soderman M, Rodesch G. Pediatric intracranial arteriovenous shunts: a global overview. Childs Nerv Syst. 2013 Jun;29(6):907-19.
42. Taschner CA, Gieseke J, Le Thuc V, et al. Intracranial arteriovenous malformation: time-resolved contrast-enhanced MR angiography with combination of parallel imaging, keyhole acquisition, and k-space sampling techniques at 1.5 T. Radiology. 2008 Mar;246(3):871-9.
43. Tsai FY, Wang AM, Matovich VB, et al. MR staging of acute dural sinus thrombosis: correlation with venous pressure measurements and implications for treatment and prognosis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 1995 May;16(5):1021-9.
44. Aviv RI, Benseler SM, DeVeber G, et al. Angiography of primary central nervous system angiitis of childhood: conventional angiography versus magnetic resonance angiography at presentation. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 28(1):9-15, 2007 Jan.
45. Twilt M, Benseler SM. The spectrum of CNS vasculitis in children and adults. [Review]. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 8(2):97-107, 2011 Dec 20.
46. Zimmerman RA. MRI/MRA evaluation of sickle cell disease of the brain. Pediatr Radiol. 2005;35(3):249-257.
47. Houkin K, Nakayama N, Kuroda S, Nonaka T, Shonai T, Yoshimoto T. Novel magnetic resonance angiography stage grading for moyamoya disease. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2005;20(5):347-54.
48. Nüssel F, Wegmüller H, Huber P. Comparison of magnetic resonance angiography, magnetic resonance imaging and conventional angiography in cerebral arteriovenous malformation. Neuroradiology. 1991;33(1):56-61.
49. Pollock BE, Gorman DA, Brown PD. Radiosurgery for arteriovenous malformations of the basal ganglia, thalamus, and brainstem. J Neurosurg. 2004 Feb;100(2):210-4.
50. Kim JS, Chandler A, Borzykowski R, Thornhill B, Taragin BH. Maximizing time-resolved MRA for differentiation of hemangiomas, vascular malformations and vascularized tumors. [Review]. Pediatr Radiol. 42(7):775-84, 2012 Jul.
51. Van Grimberge F, Dymarkowski S, Budts W, Bogaert J. Role of magnetic resonance in the diagnosis of subclavian steal syndrome. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2000 Aug;12(2):339-42.
52. Wutke R, Lang W, Fellner C, et al. High-resolution, contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography with elliptical centric k-space ordering of supra-aortic arteries compared with selective X-ray angiography. Stroke. 2002 Jun;33(6):1522-9.
53. Yang CW, Carr JC, Futterer SF, et al. Contrast-enhanced MR angiography of the carotid and vertebrobasilar circulations. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2005;26:2095-101.
54. American College of Radiology. ACR Committee on MR Safety. 2026 ACR Manual on MR Safety. Available at: https://edge.sitecorecloud.io/americancoldf5f-acrorgf92a-productioncb02-3650/media/ACR/Files/Clinical/Radiology-Safety/Manual-on-MR-Safety.pdf.
55. American College of Radiology. ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings. Available at https://gravitas.acr.org/PPTS/GetDocumentView?docId=74+&releaseId=2
56. Starekova J, Pirasteh A, Reeder SB. Update on Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agent Safety, From the AJR Special Series on Contrast Media. [Review]. AJR. American Journal of Roentgenology. 223(3):e2330036, 2024 09.
57. Chandra T, Pukenas B, Mohan S, Melhem E. Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am. 2012 Nov;20(4):S1064-9689(12)00099-2.
58. Honglei Z, Zhang W, Prince MR. Technical aspect of contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography. New York: Springer; 2012; 65-73.
59. Schanker BD, Walcott BP, Nahed BV, et al. Time-resolved contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography in the investigation of suspected intracranial dural arteriovenous fistula. J Clin Neurosci. 2011 Jun;18(6):837-9.
60. Schrauben EM, Johnson KM, Huston J, et al. Reproducibility of cerebrospinal venous blood flow and vessel anatomy with the use of phase contrast-vastly undersampled isotropic projection reconstruction and contrast-enhanced MRA. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2014 May;35(5):999-1006.
61. Glockner JF, Takahashi N, Kawashima A, et al. Non-contrast renal artery MRA using an inflow inversion

recovery steady state free precession technique (Inhance): comparison with 3D contrast-enhanced MRA. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2010;31(6):1411-1418.
62. Wu H, Block WF, Turski PA, Mistretta CA, Johnson KM. Noncontrast-enhanced three-dimensional (3D) intracranial MR angiography using pseudocontinuous arterial spin labeling and accelerated 3D radial acquisition. Magn Reson Med. 2013 Mar 01;69(3):708-15.
63. Wu H, Block WF, Turski PA, et al. Noncontrast dynamic 3D intracranial MR angiography using pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling (PCASL) and accelerated 3D radial acquisition. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2014;39(5):1320-1326.
64. Babiarz LS, Romero JM, Murphy EK, et al. Contrast-enhanced MR angiography is not more accurate than unenhanced 2D time-of-flight MR angiography for determining > or = 70% internal carotid artery stenosis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2009;30:761-8.
65. Willinek WA, Born M, Simon B, et al. Time-of-flight MR angiography: comparison of 3.0-T imaging and 1.5-T imaging--initial experience. Radiology. 2003 Dec;229(3):913-20.
66. Edelman RR, Hesselink J. Clinical Magnetic Resonance Imaging 3rd ed. Philadelphia, Pa: WB Saunders Co; 2004.

67. Wallace RC, Karis JP, Partovi S, Fiorella D. Noninvasive imaging of treated cerebral aneurysms, Part II: CT angiographic follow-up of surgically clipped aneurysms. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2007 Aug;28(7):1207-12.
68. Nederkoorn PJ, Elgersma OE, Mali WP, Eikelboom BC, Kappelle LJ, van der Graaf Y. Overestimation of carotid artery stenosis with magnetic resonance angiography compared with digital subtraction angiography. J Vasc Surg. 2002 Oct;36(4):806-13.
69. Anderson CM, Saloner D, Tsuruda JS, Shapeero LG, Lee RE. Artifacts in maximum-intensity-projection display of MR angiograms. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1990 Mar;154(3):623-9.
70. Prince MR, Grist TM, Debatin JF. Contrast MR Angiography. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag; 2003.
71. U-King-Im JM, Trivedi RA, Graves MJ, et al. Contrast-enhanced MR angiography for carotid disease: diagnostic and potential clinical impact. Neurology. 2004 Apr 27;62(8):1282-90.
72. Lim RP, Shapiro M, Wang EY, et al. 3D time-resolved MR angiography (MRA) of the carotid arteries with time-resolved imaging with stochastic trajectories: comparison with 3D contrast-enhanced Bolus-Chase MRA and 3D time-of-flight MRA. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2008 Nov;29(10):1847-54.
73. Lohan DG, Tomasian A, Saleh RS, Singhal A, Krishnam MS, Finn JP. Ultra-low-dose, time-resolved contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography of the carotid arteries at 3.0 tesla. Invest Radiol. 2009 Apr;44(4):207-17.
74. Nael K, Moriarty JM, Finn JP. Low dose CE-MRA. Eur J Radiol. 2011;80(1):2-8.
75. Nael K, Ruehm SG, Michaely HJ, et al. High spatial-resolution CE-MRA of the carotid circulation with parallel imaging: comparison of image quality between 2 different acceleration factors at 3.0 Tesla. Invest Radiol. 2006 Apr;41(4):391-9.
76. Willinek WA, Hadizadeh DR, von Falkenhausen M, et al. 4D time-resolved MR angiography with keyhole (4D-TRAK): more than 60 times accelerated MRA using a combination of CENTRA, keyhole, and SENSE at 3.0T. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2008 Jun;27(6):1455-60.
77. Foo TK, Saranathan M, Prince MR, Chenevert TL. Automated detection of bolus arrival and initiation of data acquisition in fast, three-dimensional, gadolinium-enhanced MR angiography. Radiology. 1997 Apr;203(1):275-80.
78. Nederkoorn PJ, Elgersma OE, van der Graaf Y, Eikelboom BC, Kappelle LJ, Mali WP. Carotid artery stenosis: accuracy of contrast-enhanced MR angiography for diagnosis. Radiology. 2003 Sep;228(3):677-82.
79. Nederkoorn PJ, Mali WP, Eikelboom BC, et al. Preoperative diagnosis of carotid artery stenosis: accuracy of noninvasive testing. Stroke. 2002 Aug;33(8):2003-8.
80. Townsend TC, Saloner D, Pan XM, Rapp JH. Contrast material-enhanced MRA overestimates severity of carotid stenosis, compared with 3D time-of-flight MRA. J Vasc Surg. 2003 Jul;38(1):36-40.
81. Drutman J, Gyorke A, Davis WL, Turski PA. Evaluation of subclavian steal with two-dimensional phase-contrast and two-dimensional time-of-flight MR angiography. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 1994 Oct;15(9):1642-5.
82. Amin-Hanjani S, Pandey DK, Rose-Finnell L, et al. Effect of Hemodynamics on Stroke Risk in Symptomatic Atherosclerotic Vertebrobasilar Occlusive Disease. JAMA Neurol. 73(2):178-85, 2016 Feb.
83. Ansari SA, Schnell S, Carroll T, et al. Intracranial 4D flow MRI: toward individualized assessment of arteriovenous malformation hemodynamics and treatment-induced changes. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 34(10):1922-8, 2013 Oct.
84. Byrne G, Mut F, Cebral J. Quantifying the large-scale hemodynamics of intracranial aneurysms. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2014;35(2):333-338.
85. Edjlali M, Roca P, Rabrait C, et al. MR selective flow-tracking cartography: a postprocessing procedure applied

to four-dimensional flow MR imaging for complete characterization of cranial dural arteriovenous fistulas. Radiology. 270(1):261-8, 2014 Jan.
86. Markl M, Wu C, Hurley MC, et al. Cerebral arteriovenous malformation: complex 3D hemodynamics and 3D blood flow alterations during staged embolization. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2013 Oct;38(4):946-50.
87. Schnell S, Ansari SA, Vakil P, et al. Three-dimensional hemodynamics in intracranial aneurysms: influence of size and morphology. J Magn Reson Imaging. 39(1):120-31, 2014 Jan.
88. Mandell DM, Mossa-Basha M, Qiao Y, et al. Intracranial Vessel Wall MRI: Principles and Expert Consensus Recommendations of the American Society of Neuroradiology. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2017 Feb;38(2):218-229.
89. Al-Smadi AS, Abdalla RN, Elmokadem AH, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of High-Resolution Black-Blood MRI in the Evaluation of Intracranial Large-Vessel Arterial Occlusions. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2019 Jun;40(6):954-959.
90. Bai X, Lv P, Liu K, et al. 3D Black-Blood Luminal Angiography Derived from High-Resolution MR Vessel Wall Imaging in Detecting MCA Stenosis: A Preliminary Study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2018 Oct;39(10):1827-1832.
91. Lee NJ, Chung MS, Jung SC, et al. Comparison of High-Resolution MR Imaging and Digital Subtraction Angiography for the Characterization and Diagnosis of Intracranial Artery Disease. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2016 Dec;37(12):2245-2250.
92. DeMarco JK, Huston J. Imaging of high-risk carotid artery plaques: current status and future directions. Neurosurg Focus. 2014 Jan;36(1):E1.
93. Etesami M, Hoi Y, Steinman DA, et al. Comparison of carotid plaque ulcer detection using contrast-enhanced and time-of-flight MRA techniques. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2013 Jan;34(1):177-84.
94. Mughal MM, Khan MK, DeMarco JK, Majid A, Shamoun F, Abela GS. Symptomatic and asymptomatic carotid artery plaque. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2011 Oct;9(10):1315-30.
95. Qiao Y, Etesami M, Astor BC, Zeiler SR, Trout HH, Wasserman BA. Carotid plaque neovascularization and hemorrhage detected by MR imaging are associated with recent cerebrovascular ischemic events. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2012 Apr;33(4):755-60.
96. Singh N, Moody AR, Gladstone DJ, et al. Moderate carotid artery stenosis: MR imaging-depicted intraplaque hemorrhage predicts risk of cerebrovascular ischemic events in asymptomatic men. Radiology. 2009 Aug;252(2):502-8.
97. Wasserman BA. Advanced contrast-enhanced MRI for looking beyond the lumen to predict stroke: building a risk profile for carotid plaque. Stroke. 2010 Oct;41(10 Suppl):S12-6.
98. Saam T, Hetterich H, Hoffmann V, et al. Meta-analysis and systematic review of the predictive value of carotid plaque hemorrhage on cerebrovascular events by magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013 Sep 17;62(12):S0735-1097(13)02529-1.
99. Gupta A, Baradaran H, Al-Dasuqi K, et al. Gadolinium Enhancement in Intracranial Atherosclerotic Plaque and Ischemic Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. [Review]. Journal of the American Heart Association. 5(8), 2016 08 15.J Am Heart Assoc. 5(8), 2016 08 15.
100. Wang Y, Liu X, Wu X, Degnan AJ, Malhotra A, Zhu C. Culprit intracranial plaque without substantial stenosis in acute ischemic stroke on vessel wall MRI: A systematic review. Atherosclerosis. 2019 Aug;287():S0021-9150(19)31375-9.
101. Larsen N, von der Brelie C, Trick D, et al. Vessel Wall Enhancement in Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms: An Indicator for Higher Risk of Rupture? High-Resolution MR Imaging and Correlated Histologic Findings. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2018 Sep;39(9):1617-1621.
102. Edjlali M, Guédon A, Ben Hassen W, et al. Circumferential Thick Enhancement at Vessel Wall MRI Has High Specificity for Intracranial Aneurysm Instability. Radiology. 2018 Oct;289(1):181-187.
103. Matouk CC, Mandell DM, Günel M, et al. Vessel wall magnetic resonance imaging identifies the site of rupture in patients with multiple intracranial aneurysms: proof of principle. Neurosurgery. 2013 Mar;72(3):492-6; discussion 496.
104. Nagahata S, Nagahata M, Obara M, et al. Wall Enhancement of the Intracranial Aneurysms Revealed by Magnetic Resonance Vessel Wall Imaging Using Three-Dimensional Turbo Spin-Echo Sequence with Motion-Sensitized Driven-Equilibrium: A Sign of Ruptured Aneurysm?. Clinical Neuroradiology. 26(3):277-83, 2016 Sep.Clin Neuroradiol. 26(3):277-83, 2016 Sep.
105. Roa JA, Zanaty M, Osorno-Cruz C, et al. Objective quantification of contrast enhancement of unruptured intracranial aneurysms: a high-resolution vessel wall imaging validation study. J Neurosurg. 2021 Mar 01;134(3):862-869.
106. Lehman VT, Brinjikji W. Vessel Wall Imaging of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms: Ready for Prime Time? Not so Fast!. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2019 Jun;40(6):E26-E29.
107. Vergouwen MDI, Backes D, van der Schaaf IC, et al. Gadolinium Enhancement of the Aneurysm Wall in

Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms Is Associated with an Increased Risk of Aneurysm Instability: A Follow-Up Study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2019 Jul;40(7):1112-1116.
108. Paoletti M, Germani G, De Icco R, Asteggiano C, Zamboni P, Bastianello S. Intra- and Extracranial MR Venography: Technical Notes, Clinical Application, and Imaging Development. Behav Neurol. 2016;2016():2694504.
109. Ayanzen RH, Bird CR, Keller PJ, McCully FJ, Theobald MR, Heiserman JE. Cerebral MR venography: normal anatomy and potential diagnostic pitfalls. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2000 Jan;21(1):74-8.
110. Zivadinov R, Bastianello S, Dake MD, et al. Recommendations for multimodal noninvasive and invasive screening for detection of extracranial venous abnormalities indicative of chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency: a position statement of the International Society for Neurovascular Disease. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2014 Nov;25(11):S1051-0443(14)00746-5.
111. Ferro JM, Bousser MG, Canhao P, et al. European Stroke Organization guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of cerebral venous thrombosis - endorsed by the European Academy of Neurology. Eur J Neurol 2017;24:1203-13.
112. Patel MR. Brain imaging in venous sinus thrombosis. Available at: https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/338750-overview.
113. Krinsky G. Body MR venography: The new gold standard. Available at: https://appliedradiology.com/articles/body-mr-venography-the-new-gold-standard. Accessed September 23, 2019.
114. Campeau N, Patton A. Enhance 3D phase contrast angiographic magnetic resonance venography of the brain: initial clinical experience in 23 patients. Proceedings of the ISMRM Annual Meeting.
115. Bland RD, Clarke TL, Harden LB. Rapid infusion of sodium bicarbonate and albumin into high-risk premature infants soon after birth: a controlled, prospective trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1976 Feb 01;124(3):263-7.
116. Bartlett ES, Walters TD, Symons SP, Fox AJ. Carotid stenosis index revisited with direct CT angiography measurement of carotid arteries to quantify carotid stenosis. Stroke. 2007 Feb;38(2):286-91.
117. Eliasziw M, Smith RF, Singh N, Holdsworth DW, Fox AJ, Barnett HJ. Further comments on the measurement of carotid stenosis from angiograms. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) Group. Stroke. 1994 Dec;25(12):2445-9.
118. Fox AJ. How to measure carotid stenosis. Radiology. 1993 Feb;186(2):316-8.
119. Bartlett ES, Walters TD, Symons SP, Fox AJ. Quantification of carotid stenosis on CT angiography. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2006 Jan;27(1):13-9.
120. Sawyer-Glover AM, Shellock FG. Pre-MRI procedure screening: recommendations and safety considerations for biomedical implants and devices. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2000 Jul;12(1):92-106.
121. Shellock FG, Crues JV. MR procedures: biologic effects, safety, and patient care. Radiology. 2004 Sep;232(3):635-52.
122. Shellock FG. Reference Manual for Magnetic Resonance Safety, Implants, and Devices. Los Angeles, Calif: Biomedical Research Publishing Group; 2005.
123. American College of Radiology. ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Magnetic Resonance (MR) Imaging Equipment. Available at https://gravitas.acr.org/PPTS/GetDocumentView?docId=57+&releaseId=2

*Practice parameters and technical standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council. For practice parameters and technical standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the practice parameter or technical standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.

<u>Development Chronology for this Practice Parameter</u>

2000 (Resolution 11)
Revised 2005 (Resolution 7)
Amended 2006 (Resolution 35)
Revised 2010 (Resolution 21)
Amended 2012 (Resolution 8 – title)
Amended 2014 (Resolution 39)
Revised 2015 (Resolution 10)
Revised 2020 (Resolution 43)
Amended 2023 (Resolution 2c)

Revised 2025 (Resolution 19)